Undergraduate Student Success Committee

Meeting Notes

March 7, 2018

Attendance:

Thomas Fenton, Cindra Holland, Craig Baudendistel, Amanda Spencer, Tim Littell, and Romena Holbert

Notes

I. Meeting was called to order at 12:00 pm

II. Old Business

A. First Year Seminars

The committee was updated regarding first year seminar program and curriculum development. The committee also expressed its support for the Library’s request that one of its staff be appointed to the USSC.

B. CCP Data

The committee reviewed OIRA dashboard data and performance metrics. There was discussion related to how CCP will fit into WSU’s overall strategic plan with regards to enrollment variation between on and off campus registration, completion rates, performance outcomes, and retention into degree seeking programs. There was also discussion related to advising freshman students with CCP portfolios and the unique challenges those students face taking upper tier courses in their preliminary periods of study.

C. Student Success Center survey/data

The committee reviewed updated data from the SSC student survey. Much of the qualitative data indicated the significance of the emotional/affective aspects of the classroom experience, and the willingness/effectiveness of faculty usage of scale-up facilities. The committee also noted the survey’s indicated effectiveness of Learning Assistants and their impact on the quality of the learning environment. It was suggested that some measures should be implemented to encourage or incentivize faculty assigned to SA classrooms to undergo training or professional development.
D. Policy 3404 – Required Adviser Approval

The committee reviewed revisions to admission policy 3404 and agreed as to the policy’s accuracy in regards to the classifications of students affected. The committee will provide feedback to the UAPC.

E. Academic Reorganization

The committee reviewed the ARC 2.12.18 final report. There was discussion as to how the reorganization plan would impact advising resources and the provision of services. Committee members also expressed some reservations about the ARC’s preferred recommendation for the new college’s name.

III. Meeting adjourned at 1:30pm