Dr. Sudkamp continued his report by summarizing two key points from Dr. Hopkins’ budget remediation update on campus email the day in. [https://www.wright.edu/sites/www.wright.edu/files/uploads/2017/Feb/meeting/Board_IEES2017_020917.pdf]

A mid-year analysis of the ongoing bud- get remediation has revealed the need to create a budget for the next fiscal year. 
Revenue and enrollment, particular- ly international enrollment, are down from this point last year.
While domestic enrollment is up, the additional College-Credit-Plus stu- dents do not provide significant reve- nue.
Overall tuition revenue is down $15M from the projected amount in the current fiscal year budget.
In the past, projected investment income was factored into the budget but will not be built into the model for the next fiscal year budget.
The university needs to start rebuild- ing its unrestricted reserves.

The administration will be pursuing a mid-three-fold approach to address this is- sues:
• Maintaining, consolidating, and ren-oving duplication from across the cam- pus (academic and service units)
• Reaching out to all units to perform a zero-investing duplication from across the cam- pus (academic and service units)
• Reaching out to all units to perform a zero-investing duplication from across the cam- pus (academic and service units)
• Reaching out to all units to perform a zero-investing duplication from across the cam- pus (academic and service units)

The administration will be looking for new big ideas (major reorganiza- tion, new ways or methods of doing things, etc)
The Board of Trustees have requested a related plan by the April 2017 meeting. Provost Sudkamp and CFO John Chastain are developing tem- plates for the VPs and Deans to com- plete the processes mentioned above.

Dr. Sudkamp continued his report by updating the Senate on several issues regarding the Governor’s budget pro- posal and other issues coming from Co- lumbus:
• The proposed budget calls for no in- crease in tuition and fees for the next year.
• The university will be required to complete a duplicated course evalu- ation, which requires the Board of Trustees to evaluate and report on all courses and programs based on enrollment and cost data.
• Regional duplication academic pro- gram review: Wright State will need to work with Central State to identi- fy duplication programs between the two institutions and enter an agree- ment regarding how the collaboration can be utilized.

Other actions mentioned at past Senate meetings for the duplicated low enrollment program analysis was to maintain a program or course involving students at one of the two institutions or submitting rationale regarding why any duplicated programs would not be continued.

Proposed Faculty tenure review to require the Board of Trustees to cre- ate a pathway to tenure based on commercialization.

• The proposed budget calls for no in- crease in tuition and fees for the next year.

Executive Committee Report Draft UAPRC Update
At its January 23 meeting the Senate directed the EC to address the recom- mendation of the Ad Hoc Core Evalua- tion Committee regarding enhanced fac- ulty and student involvement in the Wright State Core. The Executive Committee has proposed re-charging the Undergraduate Program Review Committee as the Undergra- duate Core Curriculum Review Committee and giving it broader powers to review the Wright State Core curriculum. The Executive Committee also par- ticularly courses tagged with Multicultu- ral Competence, Integrated Writing and Service Learning attributes and to set a timeline for course improvement or to recommend removing attributes from the Wright State Core.

The Executive Committee recommended that University Faculty Senate Vice President, one faculty mem- ber leader from each college, and the three from the 360 Review Committee be tasked to meet with the external review committee to review the draft UAPRC.

The EC recommended that the President not be required to provide hot hors d’oeuvres/reception for new Senators to the Senate for the April meeting. The new Senators will be sent an email regarding the election procedure.

The EC voted in January 2015 to prohibit any individual from running for faculty president for three years after. The only eligible to run for one of these offices and a Senator for the fall election. The EC met with Lukas Wiercinski, Presi- dente, of PSU Student Government Asso- ciates to learn about its activities and accomplishments for the year and invit- ed him to present to the full Senate.
Faculty Senate Election – Update
The nomination period for Faculty Presi- dent, Faculty Vice President, and Faculty Senate Vice Presidents concluded on Monday, Febru- ary 20th. Nominees were contacted via WSU email and have until February, 24th to accept their nomination to be placed on the ballot. The complete slate of candidates will be announced once all nominees have accepted or the acceptance deadline has passed. Qual- ifications ballots will be distributed after spring break and voting will con- clude on Friday, March 30th. Please re- fer to the Senate election webpage for additional information: [https://www.wright.edu/sites/www.wright.edu/files/ faculty-membership/senate-elections]

Old Business
New Program of Study
In its Electrical and Computer Engineering Technology, the program is intended to provide students with valuable training for post-graduate careers in electrical engineering fields, and was approved by the senate without discussion. The full text of the program request can be found here: [https://www.wright.edu/sites/www.wright.edu/files/in- formation/S2017/14732_Electrical_Computer_Eng- ineer_TECH_ELECT_Commented.pdf]
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