

Senate IT Committee
(draft) Meeting Minutes
January 10, 2017

Attendance

Thomas Wischgoll, Sheri Stover, Sharon Farra, Erik Potts, Cheryl Meyer, Sheila Shellaberger, Terri Klaus, Craig Woolley, Matt Almazan, Kenny Coon, Michael Stankas, Chris Roberts, Charles Platt, John Kurokawa

I. Tegrity/Panopto

Find out if there are concerns to move to Panopto and discontinue Tegrity, CTL can provide a list/number of faculty who are still using Tegrity. According to CaTS there are about 80 faculty members that are currently using Tegrity for classroom capture. CaTS/CTL will send an email to all faculty suggesting to move to Panopto and voice concerns if there are any about moving to Panopto.

II. D2L update

D2L is pushing for moving Pilot to the cloud. We need to determine if there are features that would be desirable within Pilot that are currently not available. Should we consider an alternative? What are the alternatives offering? The current license for D2L expires June 30, 2018. The transition typically takes a year with both versions running in parallel if we choose to switch. Are we pressuring D2L to fix some of the existing issues? There is a mechanism to request features which we can try. One option would be to look at the alternatives to make a more informed decision. Should we keep the exploration open to everyone or keep it to smaller group? It seems to be the consent to keep it open to everyone but make very clear that this is just an exploration and not necessarily resulting in a replacement of the LMS.

Regarding the move to the cloud there is some money saved due to not needing local maintenance but the additional cost for using the cloud would be significantly higher than the savings (around \$80K). D2L does not offer a disaster recovery plan by default which means that there is no guarantee for how long the down time may be. This is only available at extra cost. CaTS is currently working on improving the disaster recovery process for existing services, such as banner and possibly the LMS, by having backups of all databases offsite.

III. IT committee task: Best practice for replacing technology items

One idea is to have the Faculty IT committee make suggestions to the Faculty Senate followed by a vote in the senate to replace technology items instead of having service units make that call. There has not been any decision on a recommendation on this process yet and it will be discussed further in the following meetings.

IV. Adjourn.