

HONORS HANDBOOK



REVISED: 3/24/16

Located on the web at:

http://nursing.wright.edu/

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing and Health

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Honors Overview	. 3
The Honors Project	. 5
NUR 4980: Project Proposal Paper	. 6
NUR 4990: Final Project Paper	. 7
Departmental Honors Project Advisor Checklist	. 9
Departmental Honors Program Student/Advisor Contract	11
Departmental Honors Program Schedule of Hours for 4990	12
Human Subjects and Research/Agency Approval	13
Guidelines for Agency Permission for Honors Project	14
CORE/CORE Scholar	15
NUR 4980 Syllabus	16
NUR 4990 Syllabus	18
NUR 4980 Grading Rubric	19
NUR 4990 Grading Rubric	23

Wright State University Semester Departmental Honors Requirements for the

College of Nursing & Health

The Departmental Honors Scholar degree designation is awarded through the University Honors Program at Wright State University. The College of Nursing and Health Departmental Honors requirements are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements

- Cumulative and nursing major GPA of 3.2
- Junior standing
- NUR 3300 pre or corequisite
- Students who have failed a nursing course or required course in the program of study are not eligible to enter or continue in the nursing departmental honors program.

Proposal and Approval Process

- Students develop a proposal based on college handbook requirements in NUR 4980.
- Students will select a faculty adviser with mutual interests in NUR 4980 who will provide oversight
 of implementation of project and final paper during NUR 4990. Faculty adviser must approve
 registration for NUR 4990.
- Final projects are submitted to the Assistant Dean for Undergraduate Programs who will serve as the Honors Coordinator. Final grades for NUR 4990 are based on completed project and final paper as outlined in the grading rubric for NUR 4990.

Academic Requirements

- Students must complete 4-6 semester hours over 2-4 semesters. This includes 2 semester hours for NUR 4980 and 2-4 semester hours for NUR 4990.
- Grading will be pass/fail for NUR 4980 and college-approved "A-F" scale for NUR 4990.
- Dissemination of some kind will be required and based on the type of project.
- Students must maintain cumulative GPA of 3.0 to remain in departmental honors and continue the sequence of NUR 4980/4990.

Evaluation

- A faculty member serving as course instructor for NUR 4980 determines whether a student has successfully completed the course objectives for NUR 4980. The student receives a pass/fail grade based on course syllabus and grading rubrics.
- A single faculty member serving as an adviser will determine if a student has successfully
 completed the project requirements based on the college approved grading scale of "A-F" for
 NUR 4990. The student will receive the letter grade based on the Honors Project grading rubric.

Project Oversight

• Single faculty adviser will ensure students meet expectations of NUR 4980/NUR 4990 course requirements. The Assistant Dean for Undergraduate Programs will provide overall oversight of the departmental honors program.

Timeline

 Students notified of their eligibility for departmental honors the semester prior to entrance of NUR 3300 either Fall or Spring semester depending on when they were admitted to the program.
 Students will have 2-4 semesters to complete the requirements of NUR 4980/4990 starting with the semester they take NUR 3300.

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing and Health

THE HONORS PROJECT

The honors project is designed to provide students with the opportunity to pursue additional knowledge in a specialized area of interest in nursing. It may include working with a faculty member on a research or evidenced-based project or development of a presentation to a specific group, a display or brochure, or booklet which is designed to meet the needs of a specific population or audience. The honors project topic is defined by the student's purposes and imagination in conjunction with a faculty adviser. The project proposal is completed during NUR 4980 and implemented during NUR 4990 as follows:

- 1. Identify an area of interest for the project (NUR 4980).
- 2. Develop a written project proposal (NUR 4980).
- 3. Conduct a preliminary search of the literature related to the project (NUR 4980).
- 4. Develop goals and objectives for self-directed learning in implementation of the project (NUR 4980).
- 5. Develop a time line for completion (NUR 4980).
- 6. Complete the project (NUR 4990).
- 7. Share the knowledge gained from the project in a formal APA-formatted paper and dissemination of some kind (NUR 4990).

NUR 4980 PROJECT PROPOSAL PAPER

The proposal is written using the most recent APA format guidelines. Proposals should include a projected budget and a projected time schedule. The proposal paper is organized in the following manner:

- 1. Title page
- 2. Abstract (1 paragraph)
- 3. Table of contents
- 4. List of tables (only if tables are used)
- 5. List of figures or illustrations (only if figures or illustrations are used)
- 6. Text (see below for summary of content for each chapter)
- 7. Appendixes
- 8. Reference list in APA format

Chapter I. Introduction

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Purpose(s)
- 3. Statement of project
- 4. Significance of the project
- 5. Objectives/PICOT question/Research Questions
- 6. Completion of chapter with a brief summary of this chapter and an overview of succeeding chapters

Chapter II. Review of the Literature

- 1. Review of related literature (which demonstrates current state of knowledge)
- 2. Identification of the theoretical framework (if appropriate)
- Summary paragraph (how this project will add to the present state of knowledge)

Chapter III. Description of Project

This chapter is a complete description of the special project including:

- 1. Detailed description of specific project, including appropriate definitions of terms
- 2. Plans for implementation (setting, population, steps, tools, etc.)
- 3. Projected use of the product and/or audience
- 4. Plans for evaluation of project
- 5. Plans for dissemination of project
- 6. Summary

For further instructions, see NUR 4980 proposal grading rubric.

NUR 4990 FINAL PROJECT PAPER

The final project paper is built on the project proposal is formatted using the most recent APA format guidelines. The final project paper is organized in the following manner:

- Title page
- 2. Abstract (1 paragraph)
- 3. Table of contents
- 4. List of tables (only if tables are used)
- 5. List of figures or illustrations (only if figures or illustrations are used)
- 6. Text (see below for summary of content for each chapter)
- 7. Appendices
- 8. Reference list in APA format

Chapter I. Introduction

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Purpose(s)
- 3. Statement of project
- 4. Significance of the project
- 5. Objectives/PICOTquestion/Research Questions
- Completion of chapter with a brief summary of this chapter and an overview of succeeding chapters

Chapter II. Review of the Literature

- 1. Comprehensive review of related literature
- 2. Identification of the theoretical framework (if appropriate)
- 3. Summary paragraph (relate review of the literature to the purpose of study)

Chapter III. Description of Project

This chapter is a presentation of the special project.

- 1. Detailed description of specific project, including appropriate definitions of terms
- 2. Steps/tools used to implement project including setting, population
- 3. Description of the product/project implementation
- 4. Plans for evaluation of project
- 5. Plans for dissemination of project
- 6. Summary

Chapter IV. Analysis of Data or Project Evaluation

- 1. Present a description and analysis of the specific project.
- 2. Discuss implementation, including any barriers and how they were addressed
- 3. Describe results of evaluation
- 4. Describe how dissemination of the project and feedback
- 5. Summary paragraph of results of project.

Chapter V. Conclusions and Discussions

- 1. Conclusion A presentation of possibilities that can be derived from the project; discuss the limitations
- 2. Discussion The identification of implications for nursing practice; possible uses for the product; and recommendations for future projects

See NUR 4990 grading rubric for further instructions.

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing and Health DEPARTMENTAL HONORS PROJECT ADVISOR CHECKLIST

Name of Student:	 	 	
Title of Project:	 	 	
Name of Advisor:	 		

Check if completed	LIST OF ITEMS
	Copy of contract with name of the student, project title, and name of advisor and schedule
	of hours are on record with:
	☐ University Honors Department Date Completed:
	☐ CONH Assistant Dean, Undergraduate Programs Date Completed:
	☐ CONH Student Affairs Office Date completed:
	Faculty has obtained a copy of the CONH Honors Handbook (can be found at
	nursing.wright.edu—scroll down page to bottom right-hand corner)
	Student has a copy of the Departmental Honors project description.
	Student has been advised of the Call for Abstracts for small grants awarded on a
	competitive basis for
	☐ Fall semester
	☐ Spring semester
	Discussed with student appropriate forums for presentations or suggestions for
	dissemination
	Criteria for grading method discussed with student (Pass/Fail-NUR 4980; A-F-NUR 4990).
	Grades submitted in Wings Express for Fall semester
	(after approval by CONH Assistant Dean)
	Grades submitted in Wings Express for Spring semester
	(after approval by CONH Assistant Dean)
	Grades submitted in Wings Express for Summer semester
	(after approval by CONH Assistant Dean)
	Notification of drop-outs sent to University Honors Department
	Notification of drop-outs sent to CONH Assistant Dean
	Notification of drop-outs sent to CONH Office of Student Affairs
	Graduation form filled out and sent to Assistant Dean who will forward to the University
	Honors Department (ext. 2660) (This form should come from them approximately one
	semester prior to the expected graduation date.)
	Confirm expected completion of project with the CONH Office of Student Affairs and
	Assistant Dean for Undergraduate Programs one semester prior to graduation date or as
	early in the semester as possible.

Check if completed	LIST OF ITEMS		
·	Copies of completed projects with grading rubric to:		
	☐ Student		
	☐ Assistant Dean, Undergraduate Programs		
	☐ Advisor in Student Affairs		
	☐ University Honors Department (only after approved by CONH Assistant Dean)		
	Plan to attend Honors Student Reception at end of term student is graduating		
	Letter of recommendation for student placed in student's permanent file		

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing & Health DEPARTMENTAL HONORS PROGRAM STUDENT/ADVISOR CONTRACT

Name of Stu	dent:	
Title of Proje	ct:	
	isor:	
	TIMELINE	
	NUR 4980 for 2 credit hours during	gsemester.
1-4 credits	NUR 4990 for credit hours dur	ring semester.
with a maximum of 4 and a	NUR 4990 for credit hours dur	ring semester.
minimum of 2.	NUR 4990 for credit hours dur	ring semester.
45 hours of voutlining pla	ding to university policy, students must devotors for each credit of NUR 4990. Submit contone in to complete appropriate number of hours reduled appointments will be held:	ontract along with Program Schedule
This honors p	project will be graded using NUR 4990 grading	g rubric.
Anticipated of	late of completion (Term/Year):	
-	date of graduation (Term/Year):	
Student Sign	ature	 Date
Faculty Signa	ture dent Faculty Advisor University Honors CO	Date NH Student Affairs, and Assistant Dean

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing & Health DEPARTMENTAL HONORS PROGRAM

STUDENT/ADVISOR PROGRAM SCHEDULE OF HOURS

Student Name:		
Faculty Advisor:		
Term/Year:	Number of Credits of NUR 4990):
Total number of hours require	d for term:	_(45 hours/credit)

Specific Student Activities Planned	Specific Student Outcomes Anticipated	Projected Timeline/Number of Hours Anticipated	Outcomes and Final Number of Hours Completed (completed at end of semester)
		Total Hours for Semester:	Hours

Human Subjects and Research +

In accordance with federal guidelines to institutions conducting research involving human subjects, the Institutional Review Board to the University has been established. It is the function of this Committee to assess the risk factors to human participants that may be incurred in the proposed research. Research studies can only be initiated after approval from the Committee. The Office of Research Studies, 201J University Hall, provides a document that describes policies, procedures, and principles that apply to <u>all</u> research, including student projects, involving human subjects at Wright State University. An office copy is also available in the College of Nursing and Health Student Affairs Office for your inspection. Faculty may consult with the College of Nursing and Health faculty representative to the Human Subjects Committee for assistance. The form requesting approval for research involving human subjects is submitted to the Committee, and notification of approval is given in writing to the student and the honors advisor. A copy of the Committee's approval should be on file in the College of Nursing and Health Student Affairs Office.

Agency Approval +

Upon obtaining human subjects approval, the student submits the agency approval request form, the human subject's approval, and a copy of the signed proposal, to the agency. It is recommended that the student consult with appropriate agency officials to explain details of the study and determine the appropriate actions needed to obtain agency approval. Agency officials will also provide guidance for the student in making arrangements to inform personnel of the study and in gaining their cooperation. A copy of agency approval should be on file in the College of Nursing and Health Student Affairs Office.

+ The advisor will assist the student in obtaining the above approvals.

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing & Health GUIDELINES FOR AGENCY PERMISSION FOR HONORS PROJECT

	orm is provided as a format guideline and s ements.	hould be adapted to individual agency	
THE			
GRAN ⁻	TS TO		
Wrigh		ng to a Bachelors in Science degree in Nursions fusing the agency's facilities in order to stud	_
Title o	f Project:		
The co	onditions mutually agreed upon are as follo	ows:	
2.3.4.	identified in the final report. The agency (wants) (does not want) a corcompleted.	in the final report. ive personnel in the agency (may) (May not inference with the student when the report in it is the completed report to be circulated the	is
 Signat	ure of Agency Personnel	 Date	
Title o	f Agency Personnel		
 Studer	nt Signature	 Date	
Facult	y Signature	Date	
Note:	This form is filled out after approval of fac	culty advisor in conjunction with obtainment	t of

human subject approval from the WSU Institutional Review Board. Copies are to be distributed

as follows: Agency, Faculty Advisor, and CONH Office of Student Affairs. Original student keeps.

Wright State University CORE/CORE Scholar

NON-EXCLUSIVE DISTRIBUTION LICENSE

By signing and submitting this license, you (the author(s) or copyright owner) grants to Wright State University Libraries the non-exclusive right to reproduce, translate (as defined below), and/or distribute your submission (including the abstract) worldwide in print and electronic format and in any medium, including but not limited to audio or video.

You agree that the Wright State University Libraries may, without changing the content, translate the submission to any medium or format for the purpose of preservation.

You also agree that the Wright State University Library may keep more than one copy of this submission for purposes of security, backup, and preservation.

You represent that the submission is your original work, and that you have the right to grant the rights contained in this license. You also represent that your submission does not, to the best of your knowledge, infringe upon anyone's copyright.

If the submission contains material for which you do not hold copyright, you represent that you have obtained the unrestricted permission of the copyright owner to grant Wright State University Libraries the rights required by this license, and that such third-party owned material is clearly identified and acknowledged within the text or content of the submission.

If the submission is based upon work that has been sponsored or supported by an agency or organization other than Wright State, you represent that you have fulfilled any right of review or other obligations required by such contract or agreement.

Wright State University Libraries will clearly identify your name(s) and/or department as the author(s) or owner(s) of the submission, and will not make any alteration, other than is allowed by this license, to your submission.

Item/collection to be submitted to CORE/CORE Scholar			
Donartment			
Department			
Name			
Signature Date			

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing and Health NUR 4980

Course Title: Honors Project Proposal Development

Credit Hours: 2 credit hours

Catalog Description: Under the guidance of a faculty member, students develop an honors project

proposal.

Prerequisites: NUR 3300 minimum grade of "C"

Corequisites: None

Restrictions: Admission to the College of Nursing and Health Honors Program

Student Learning Outcomes

1. Demonstrate critical reading skills for analysis and synthesis of content in the professional literature.

- 2. Demonstrate effective technical writing skills in the development of an honors proposal.
- 3. Apply concepts learned in NUR 3300 related to evidence-based practice and nursing research in proposal development.

Method of Instruction

Honors project proposal, self-tutorial

Course Requirements

- 1) Successful completion of honor's proposal development (see grading rubric in *Honor's Student Handbook*).
- 2) Submission of the final written honor's proposal to faculty adviser.

Evaluation

Grading Scale: pass/fail Methods of evaluation:

- 1. Literature search on proposal topic
- 2. Literature review tables
- 3. Honors project proposal

Academic Integrity: Your assignments are to be done as an individual effort unless otherwise stated. The University Guidelines for Academic Integrity apply to all work for the class. Please refer to your student handbook (college and university, university Code of Conduct) for general policy and procedures regarding student conduct. You are responsible for knowing these policies.

Assignments and Course Outline

Determined by individual student project.

Approved CONH: 2/13/12; 11/15/15; 12/2/15 (CoNH Faculty Senate)

Workflow approval: 3/11/12; 3/24/16 (#14567)

R:\off_conh-restricted\staff\Academic Affairs\Semester Syllabi\NUR 4980 Honors Project Syllabus.docx

Wright State University-Miami Valley College of Nursing and Health

COURSE NUMBER: NUR 4990

COURSE TITLE: Nursing Honors Independent Study

CURRICULUM PLACEMENT: Junior/Senior year

FACULTY: Contracted individually with faculty adviser

PREREQUISITES: NUR 4980

CATALOGUE DESCRIPTION: Under the guidance of a faculty member, students implement and complete the honors project proposed in NUR 4980.

CREDIT ALLOCATION: Variable credit of 1-4 semester hours which can be repeated over several semesters. Program requires a minimum total of 2 semester hours but may be extended to a maximum of 4 semester hours.

COURSE OBJECTIVES:

- 1. Apply evidence based practice and/or research principles in the implementation of the honor's project.
- 2. Demonstrate ability to work independently and interdependently in a goal directed manner to complete the honor's project.
- 3. Demonstrate appropriate technical writing skills.
- 4. Disseminate the findings of the honors project in an appropriate venue.
- 5. Additional objectives as identified in the individual project.

TEACHING STRATEGIES: Faculty guidance on a topic of the student's choosing.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS:

- 1. Successful completion of project
- 2. Dissemination of findings
- 3. Submission of copy of final written document to advisor and the office of University Honors.

EVALUATION METHODS:

- 1. Appropriate progress for credit hour distribution
- 2. Final paper meets structural criteria found in the Honor's Handbook
- 3. Quality of final paper meets criteria standards

GRADING: Standard A-F based on grading rubric

TOPICAL OUTLINE: Determined by individual student project

CONH Approval: 2/13/12

Grade change approved: 9/10/12 Workflow approval: 3/11/12

Student Name:	Completion Date:
	-
Faculty Advisor:	
•	
Project Title:	

Honors Proposal Paper for NUR 4980 GRADING RUBRIC

CRITERIA	EXCELLENT	GOOD	MARGINAL	DOES NOT MEET STANDARDS
Introductory Section (17)	Excellent (17)	Good (13)	Marginal (9.6)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
	Excellent (1)	Good (0.5)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Introduction paragraph (1)	Clearly discusses the purpose and organization of the paper. Relevance to nursing is indicated	Clearly identifies just one of the two requirements – Purpose and organization or relevance to nursing.	Introduces the topic	No introductory paragraph provided
	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Problem/Purpose (5)	Clearly identified problem, purpose. Includes topic, setting and population.	Problem, purpose, project provided.	Vague description of problem and purpose. Problem and purpose, significance of project unclear or not understandable.	No problem, purpose or project description
	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Significance (5)	Clearly identified significance of project. A general discussion of the topic/problem— who does it impact, when or where is it seen. Relevance to nursing indicated.	Significance of project provided. Scope of problem identified but relevance to nursing not indicated.	Vague description of significance of project. Significance of project unclear or not understandable	No significance of the project provided
Objectives for a project, OR objective	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
or PICOT question for critical appraisal of literature, OR research questions/ hypotheses of research study objectives (5)	Clearly identified	Provided.	Unclear or not understandable	Not provided

Summary (1) Comprehensive summary paragraph with the verview of proposal and introduction of review of literature Section (36)					
Comprehensive summary paragraph with introduction of review of proposal and introduction of review of literature.		Excellent (1)	Good (0.5)	Marginal (0.3)	
Excellent (12) Good (9) Marginal (18) Does not Meet Standard (0)	Summary (1)	summary paragraph with overview of proposal and introduction of review	paragraph with introduction of review of	with introduction of review of literature provided but limited and	Summary paragraph with introduction of review of literature
Coverall strength of review (12) Excellent (13) Excellent (14) Excellent (15) Excellent (15) Excellent (16) Excellent (17) Excellent (18) Excellent (18) Excellent (18) Excellent (18) Excellent (19) Exc	Literature Section				
Overall strength of review (12) Overall strength of review (12) Provided (12) Excellent (12) Research articles (12) Research articles (12) Synthesis/ Excellent (12) Synthesis/ Excellent (12) Overall strength of review (12) For each article, detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations. Leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling. Synthesis/ Excellent (12) For each article, detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations. Leveling of evidence tables provided, but lacks or organized and limited with some references older than 5 years (exceluding for sentinel literature). Significance of current literature and how it defines the project. Good (9) Marginal (6) Does not Meet Standard (0) Not tables with leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling. Fixellent (12) Synthesis/ Excellent (12) Good (9) Marginal (6) Does not Meet Standard (0) Minimal discussion of specific articles or references. Leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling. Synthesis/ Excellent (12) Good (9) Marginal (6) Does not Meet Standard (0) Not tables with leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling. Does not Meet Standard (0)		Excellent (12)	Good (9)	Marginal (6)	
Research articles (12) For each article, detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations. Leveling of evidence tables provided with correct leveling of each reference (12) Research articles (12) For each article, detailed discussion of specific articles or references. Leveling of evidence tables not provided or has many errors in leveling if provided. Leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling. Synthesis/ Excellent (12) Good (9) Marginal (6) Standard (0) No tables with leveling of evidence. For each article, detailed discussion of specific articles or references. Leveling of evidence tables not provided or has many errors in leveling if provided. Eveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling. Does not Meet		organized review of literature with multiple pertinent references, all within the last 5 years (except for sentinel literature). Clear articulation of significance of current literature and how it	organized review of literature with several pertinent references, all within the last 5 years (except for sentinel literature). Significance of current literature and how it defines the project	literature provided, but lacks organized and limited with some references older than 5 years (excluding for sentinel literature). Limited description of significance of current literature and how it defines	literature provided. Review of literature provided but lacks significance to the project and contains literature older than 5 years Significance of current literature and how it defines the project unclear or not
Research articles (12) Research articles (13) Research articles (14) Research articles (15) Research articles (16) Research articles (16) Research articles (18) Research articles (19) Research articles (10) Research articles (12) Research articles (12) Research articles (18) Research articles (18) Research articles (18) Research articles (18) Reveling of evidence. Reveling of evidence tables not provided or has many errors in leveling if provided. Reveling of (18) Revelling of (19) Revelling of (18) Revelling		Excellent (12)	Good (9)	Marginal (6)	
* KACEHENI (17) CENNO (9) WIATOINALINI		detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations. Leveling of evidence tables provided with correct leveling of each	detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations Leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors	discussion of specific articles or references. Leveling of evidence tables not provided or has many errors in leveling if	
	_	Excellent (12)	Good (9)	Marginal (6)	

	Identify similarities and differences from research articles or other literature. Conclusions are made specific to the project based on the review. For examples are there gaps in the research, conflicting findings, or preliminary support for an EBP project. Clearly written summary describing relationship of literature to purpose of project.	Identify similarities and differences from research articles or other literature. Summarizes what is known based on the review. Summary paragraph provided with brief description of relationship to project.	Identifies few similarities and differences from research articles or other literature. Summary paragraph provided but vague description of relationship to project.	No similarities and differences from research articles or other literature. No summary paragraph provided
Method or Project Design Section (34)	Exemplary (37)	Good (27)	Marginal (16.6)	Does not Meet Standards (0)
	Excellent (1)	Good (0.5)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Introductory Paragraph (1)	Well written with clear discussion of purpose and organization of this section.	Discusses clearly the purpose and organization of the section.	Vague introduction. Purpose or organization not clearly stated for section.	No introductory paragraph provided
	Excellent (7)	Good (5)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Setting and Population (7)	Detailed description of setting and population (sample) for the project.	Setting and population (sample) for the project identified.	Details missing related to setting and population (sample) for the project.	No setting or population information provided
	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Marginal (6)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Description of the Project Implementation (10)	Excellent (10) Detailed and organized description of the project implementation; description of implementation steps (plan/procedure). Clearly describes project in a way that it could be easily replicated. Organized by objectives or research questions. References/rationale provided to support strategies.	Good (8) Well written description of the project implementation steps (plan/procedure); description of implementation provides many details to allow for replication, but some details missing. Some references to support strategies.	Marginal (6) Description of project implementation (plan/procedure) provided but lacks numerous details that would prevent easy replication	

	Pertinent terms explained extensively.	Pertinent terms understandable.	Pertinent terms mentioned but not explained.	No explanation of pertinent terms.
	Excellent (7)	Good (5)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Ethical/Legal (7)	Comprehensive presentation of all ethical and legal implications. IRB process included if relevant.	Presents both ethical and legal implications for project.	Missing numerous details related to ethical or legal implications of project	No discussion of ethical or legal issues.
	Excellent (8)	Good (6)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Plan for Evaluation (8)	Detailed plan for evaluation of project outcomes (data analysis plan if research). Organized by objectives or research questions. Specific processes or instruments provided.	Plan for evaluation provided. Organized by objectives or research questions. Specific processes or instruments identified.	Minimal details	No plan for evaluation provided
	Excellent (1)	Good (0.5)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Summary (1)	Detailed summary of project implementation provided.	Summary paragraph provided	Summary paragraph not provided but brief and vague	Summary paragraph not provided
Format (10)	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Marginal (6)	Does not Meet Standards (<6)
	Well organized and written. No errors in grammar. No APA errors specific to citations or format of paper specific to handbook.	Well organized and written. Minimal APA or format errors.	Organized per criteria but not well written with some grammar and organizational problems. APA errors	Poor writing and organization. Numerous APA and format errors.
Turnitin.com Completed (P/F)		Pass	Fail	
Total for Each column =				Fail
Total score for all columns =	_	PA	ASS 75% or better	

Student Name:	Completion Date:
Faculty Advisor:	
Project Title:	

Honors Final Project for NUR 4990 GRADING RUBRIC

I. Project Process					
	Excellent (25)	Good (20)	Marginal (15)	Does not Meet Standards (0	
Completion (25)	Project or project steps completed beyond expectations exceeding all expected deadlines. Submission of final paper to University Honors office and CONH for posting on CORE. Dissemination of project.	Project or project steps completed meeting expectations within expected deadlines	Project or project steps completed according to expectations. Minor deadlines missed.	Project or project steps completed but did not meet expectations or deadlines.	
	II.	Final Paper			
Introductory Section (5)	Excellent (4)	Good (3.2)	Marginal (2.4)	Does not Meet Standard (0)	
	Excellent (0.5)	Good (0.4)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)	
Introductory Paragraph (0.5)	Clearly discusses the purpose and organization of the paper. Relevance to nursing is indicated	Clearly identifies just one of the two requirements – Purpose and organization or relevance to nursing	Introduces the topic	Introductory paragraph not provided	
	Excellent (1)	Good (0.8)	Marginal (0.6)	Does not Meet Standard (0)	
Problem, Purpose (1)	Clearly identified problem, purpose. Includes topic, setting and population.	Problem, purpose, project provided.	Vague description of problem and purpose. Problem and purpose, significance of project unclear or not understandable.	Problem, purpose, or project description not provided.	
	Excellent (1)	Good (0.8)	Marginal (0.6)	Does not Meet Standard (0)	
Significance (1)	Clearly identified significance of project. A general discussion of the topic/problem— who does it impact, when or where is it seen. Relevance to nursing indicated.	Significance of project provided. Scope of problem identified but relevance to nursing not indicated.	Vague description of significance of project. Significance of project unclear or not understandable	Significance of project not provided	

Objectives for a project, OR	Excellent (1)	Good (0.8)	Marginal (0.6)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
objective or PICOT question for critical appraisal of literature, OR research questions/hypotheses if research study Objectives (1)	Clearly identified	Provided	Unclear or not understandable	Not provided
	Excellent (0.5)	Good (0.4)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Summary (0.5)	Comprehensive summary paragraph with overview of proposal and introduction of review of literature.	Summary paragraph with introduction of review of literature provided.	Summary paragraph with introduction of review of literature provided but limited and brief.	Summary paragraph with introduction of review of literature missing.
Review of Literature /section (15)	Excellent (15)	Good (12)	Marginal (9)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Overall strength of review (5)	Exemplary, well- organized review of literature with multiple pertinent references, All within the last 5 years (except for sentinel literature). Clear articulation of significance of current literature and how it defines the project.	Well-written and organized review of literature with several pertinent references, All within the last 5 years (except for sentinel literature). Significance of current literature and how it defines the project provided but brief.	Review of literature provided, but lacks organized and limited With some references older than 5 years (excluding for sentinel literature). Limited description of significance of current literature and how it defines the project.	No review of the literature provided or what review of literature provided lacks significance to the project and contains literature older than 5 years Significance of current literature and how it defines the project unclear or not provided.
	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Research articles (5)	For each article, detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations Leveling of evidence tables provided with correct leveling of each reference	For each article, detailed discussion includes purpose of study, setting, sample, design briefly the methods used, findings and limitations Leveling of evidence tables provided, but may have some errors in leveling.	Minimal discussion of specific articles or references. Leveling of evidence tables not provided or has many errors in leveling if provided.	No tables with leveling of evidence.
Synthesis/summary	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Marginal (3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
(5)	Identify similarities and differences from research articles or other	Identify similarities and differences from research articles or	Identifies few similarities and differences from	No similarities and differences from research articles or

	literature. Conclusions are made specific to the project based on the review. For examples are there gaps in the research, conflicting findings, or preliminary support for an EBP project. Clearly written summary describing relationship of literature to purpose of project.	other literature. Summarizes what is known based on the review. Summary paragraph provided with brief description of relationship to project.	research articles or other literature. Summary paragraph provided but vague description of relationship to project.	other literature. No summary paragraph provided
Method or Project Design Section (15)	Excellent (16)	Good (13.8)	Marginal (9.6)	Does not Meet Standards (0)
	Excellent (0.5)	Good (0.4)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Introductory Paragraph (0.5)	Well written with clear discussion of purpose and organization of this section.	Discusses clearly the purpose and organization of the section.	Vague introduction. Purpose or organization not clearly stated for section.	Introductory paragraph not provided
	Excellent (2)	Good (1.6)	Marginal (1.2)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Setting and Population (2)	Detailed description of setting and population (sample) for the project.	Setting and population (sample) for the project identified.	Details missing related to setting and population (sample) for the project.	Setting and population not provided
	Excellent (7)	Good (5.6)	Marginal (4.2)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Description of the Project Implementation (7)	Detailed and organized description of the project implementation; description of implementation steps (plan/procedure). Clearly describes project in a way that it could be easily replicated. Organized by objectives or research questions. References/rationale provided to support strategies.	Well written description of the project implementation steps (plan/procedure); description of implementation provides many details to allow for replication, but some details missing. Some references to support strategies.	Description of project implementation (plan/procedure) provided but lacks numerous details that would prevent easy replication	No description of project implementation. Project implementation (plan/procedure) minimal and lacks details of any kind that would allow easy replication or understanding of how project was implemented and evaluated.
Definition of Terms (1)	Excellent (1)	Good (0.8)	Marginal (0.6)	Does not Meet Standard (0)

	Pertinent terms explained extensively.	Pertinent terms understandable.	Pertinent terms mentioned but not explained.	No explanation of pertinent terms.
	Excellent (3)	Good (2.4)	Marginal (1.8)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Ethical/Legal (3)	Comprehensive presentation of all ethical and legal implications. IRB process included if relevant.	Presents both ethical and legal implications for project.	Missing numerous details related to ethical or legal implications of project	Ethical Legal implications not provided
	Excellent (2)	Good (1.6)	Marginal (1.2)	Does not Meet Standard (0)
Plan for Evaluation (2)	Detailed plan for evaluation of project outcomes (data analysis plan if research). Organized by objectives or research questions. Specific processes or instruments provided.	Plan for evaluation provided. Organized by objectives or research questions. Specific processes or instruments identified.	Minimal details	Plan for evaluation not provided
	Excellent (0.5)	Good (0.4)	Marginal (0.3)	Does not Meet Standards (0)
Summary (0.5)	Detailed summary of project implementation provided.	Summary paragraph provided	Summary paragraph not provided but brief and vague	Summary paragraph not provided
	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Marginal (6)	Does not Meet Standards (0)
Project Evaluation (or Analysis if Research Project) (10)	Excels in analysis or evaluation of significance of project, including analysis of outcomes/results and significance to nursing. Clearly written and insightful summary paragraph provided.	Well organized and comprehensive analysis or evaluation of project, including analysis of outcomes/results and significance to nursing. Summary paragraph provided.	Adequate but vague analysis of project and results, including significance to nursing. Summary paragraph provided but very brief.	No analysis provided or clear articulation of significance of project, including significance to nursing. Summary paragraph missing.
	Excellent (20)	Good (16)	Marginal (12)	Does not Meet Standards (0)
Conclusion and Discussion (20)	Insightful and articulate discussion of future possibilities and prospects for the future based on results of the project. Comprehensive discussion of implications for nursing and recommendations for future projects. Final paper summary provides comprehensive overview of project.	Well written discussion of future possibilities and prospects for the future based on results of the project. Discussion of implications for nursing and recommendations for future projects provided. Final paper summary provided but lacks details.	Discussion of future possibilities and prospects for the future based on results of the project provided but vague. Discussion of implications for nursing and recommendations for future projects mentioned but brief. Final paper summary provided but lacks comprehensive summary of entire paper.	Limited and not understandable discussion of future possibilities and prospects for the future based on results of the project. Discussion of implications for nursing and recommendations for future projects missing. No overall summary.

	Excellent (10)	Good (8)	Marginal (6)	Does not Meet Standards (0)
Format (10)	Nearly perfect use of APA formatting (title page, headers, headings, in-text citations, quotations, reference list, etc.). Almost free of spelling, punctuation, and grammatical errors. Word choice excellent. Sentence style fits paper and purpose with sentences that are varied, yet clearly structured.	Well organized and written. Minor errors in APA formatting that do not distract for understanding paper. Some errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar but do not distract the reader. Sentences generally clear, well-structured and focused, although some are awkward or ineffective.	Organized per criteria. Several APA errors, but does not impede overall understanding of the paper. Some inappropriate word choices. Sentence structure generally correct, but sentences may be wordy, repetitive, or confusing.	Many APA errors that distract from reading and understanding content of the paper. Contains many mechanical errors or important errors that block understanding of content. Several awkward or ungrammatical sentences; sentence structure simple or monotonous.
Turnitin.com Completed (P/F)		Pass	Fail	
Total for Each column =				
Total score for all columns =				