2002 – 2003 Delaware Study

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Wright State University to the Doctoral II Institutions

The following tables compare Wright State University's main campus data to a peer group of institutions consisting of the Doctoral II schools according to the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education. The following institutions are included in the 2002-03 peer group:

- Baylor University
- Clarkson University
- Cleveland State University
- DePaul University
- East Carolina University
- Florida International University
- Indiana State University
- Indiana University Purdue Univ. at Indianapolis
- Louisiana Tech University
- Middle Tennessee State University
- Montana State University Bozeman
- Seton Hall University

- Tennessee State University
- University of Central Florida
- University of Colorado Denver
- University of Louisiana Lafayette
- University of Maine
- University of Missouri St. Louis
- University of Montana Missoula
- University of New Hampshire
- University of San Francisco
- University of South Dakota
- Wichita State University
- Wright State University

Departmental CIP Codes Listed by WSU College

- The following tables are grouped by WSU's colleges and listed by department with the corresponding peer norm data.
- Each department has been assigned a CIP code that relates to the discipline and subject matter taught within the department.
- A few departments are listed under the same general CIP code.
- The corresponding peer norm data is listed by CIP code.

Comparison of Student FTE per Faculty FTE

The following tables compare Fall 2002 WSU's **Student FTE** per **Faculty FTE** to the Doctoral II Peer Norms.

For each college the Student FTE per Faculty FTE data is divided into three sections:

- Undergraduate Student FTE per Faculty FTE
- Graduate Student FTE per Faculty FTE
- Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE

For each section the Faculty FTE divisor remains the same according to type of faculty.

The **Faculty FTE*** is presented according to faculty type:

• Tenure and Tenured-Track Faculty:

Full Professors, Associate Professors, and Assistant Professors.

• Other Regular Faculty:

Non-Tenure Track, Full-Time Instructors and Lectures.

• Supplemental Faculty:

Adjunct faculty and staff who teach but whose primary job responsibility is not teaching.

• All Faculty:

Includes all of the above and the Teaching Assistants.

Student credit hours (which are converted into **Student FTE****) are linked to the department by the funding unit of the faculty of record for the course. In other words, the department that pays the faculty teaching the course receives the student credit hours.

For courses that are co-taught the student credit hours are prorated according to faculty type or department, if necessary.

Note: In the *Academic Data Series* the student credit hours follow the department that lists the course (i.e. the course prefix), which is in contrast to the Delaware Study method which follows the funding unit of the faculty of record.

^{*} Part-time Faculty FTE is calculated by dividing the number of course credit hours taught by 12.

^{**} Student FTE is calculated by dividing the number of undergraduate student credit hours by 15, and graduate student credit hours by 9.

Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE

The data collected for this portion of the study includes financial information for the Fiscal Year 2002-03, and student credit hour data for the Academic Year 2002-03 (Fall, Winter, Spring terms).

- Direct Instructional Expense includes:
 - 1) Salaries: All wages paid to support the instructional function in a given department or program during the fiscal year. This includes faculty, clerical, professionals (e.g., lab technicians), graduate student stipends (but not tuition waivers), and any other personnel who support the teaching function and whose salaries and wages are paid from the department's instructional budget.
 - 2) Benefits: Expenditures for benefits associated with the personnel for whom salaries and wages were reported on the previous entry.
 - 3) Other Than Personnel Costs: This category includes non-personnel items such as travel, supplies and expense, non-capital equipment purchases, etc., that are typically part of a department or program's cost of doing business. Excluded from this category are items such as central computing costs, centrally allocated computing labs, graduate student tuition remission and fee waivers, etc.
- Total Student FTE is calculated by the following:

Undergraduate Student Credit Hours divided by 45.

Graduate Student Credit Hours divided by 27.

Note: The *Academic Data Series - Revenue & Expense Analysis* includes expenses such fee waivers and data processing charges, whereas the Delaware Study excludes these types of expenses.

College Averages and Peer Norm Averages

- WSU's departmental CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "% of the Peer Norm."
- College averages of Student FTE per Faculty FTE are indicated for each faculty category and by level of Student FTE.
 Corresponding peer norm averages are also indicated. Both College Averages and Peer Norm Averages are weighted.
- There are several instances in which there is no corresponding peer norm data for a particular WSU department/CIP code. This occurs because the peer analysis included CIP codes when at least five institutions reported data for that particular CIP code. CIP codes that had less than five institutions reporting data were not included in the peer analysis.
- When WSU department/CIP code does not have corresponding peer norm data the WSU department/CIP code data is not included in the College average which is indicated by ***.
- There are a few instances in which there is no WSU department/CIP code data for a particular type of faculty or level of Student FTE.

 In these cases the corresponding peer norm data is not included in the peer norm average which is also indicated by ***.
- There are some instances when WSU's department/CIP code data was identified as an outlier ^{\$\frac{9}{8}\$} in the peer analysis. In these cases the data is shown, but it is not included in the College average. This is indicated by a * next to the data point. When this occurs the corresponding peer norm is also removed from the peer norm average calculation and it is indicated by **.

Comparison of Wright State University to Doctoral II Institutions Peer Norms

This report compares WSU to the peer norms according to the following method:

- 1) Every WSU department's CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "'% of the Peer Norm."
- 2) For every college the overall average of all the departments within the college is calculated as a weighted average. The weighted average is also calculated for all the corresponding peer norms. The college's overall weighted average is compared to the overall peer norm weighted average and this is shown a percentage.
- ** Refined means were used in the peer analysis. After the means and standard deviations were calculated, the outliers were identified and removed. The refined mean was then calculated without the outliers.

Student FTE per Faculty FTE Comparison of WSU Departments to Peer CIP Norms

Wright State University DELAWARE STUDY

Delaware Study: National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Wright State University Colleges vs. Peer Norm Averages

					Fall Te	rm Total S	Student F	TE per Fa	culty FTE						structional
	Те	Tenured an nure-Track F		Ot	her Regular I	Faculty	Su	pplemental Fa	aculty	Inch	All Faculty ades Teaching As		% SCH		per Total ent FTE
	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.		Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm Avg.
COLA Average / Total Peer Norm Average	132.7	17.3 14.0	123.7%	37.0	22.2 18.8	118.1%	50.7	13.6 18.3	74.2%	229.2	16.1 15.6	103.3%	3.0% 4.5%	\$4,133 \$4,190	98.6%
RSCB Average / Total	52.0	18.0	89.2%	10.0	26.2	89.3%	19.0	24.3	87.2%	81.7	20.3	91.5%	14.3%	\$5,200	114.2%
Peer Norm Average		20.2			29.4			27.8			22.2		17.1%	\$4,555	
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	49.0	12.9 13.5	95.6%	8.0	23.3 24.1	96.5%	22.2	19.2 20.0	95.9%	88.1	14.2 14.9	95.8%	33.5% 20.8%	\$5,438 \$5,971	91.1%
CEHS Average / Total	45.1	21.5	143.7%	8.0	17.9	95.7%	36.2	16.1	98.3%	89.3	18.6	125.0%	34.3%	\$3,802	81.9%
Peer Norm Average		14.9			18.7			16.3			14.9		30.5%	\$4,641	
CONH Average / Total Peer Norm Average	13.0	12.3 9.4	130.6%	13.0	7.7 10.5	73.3%	8.0	10.8 11.2	96.0%	34.0	10.2 9.7	104.7%	21.6% 13.9%	\$8,234 \$8,983	91.7%
COSM Average / Total	112.4	17.1 16.3	104.7%	17.5	24.2	72.3%	30.6	25.7 26.2	97.9%	186.7	18.6 18.2	102.2%	6.4%	\$4,669	110.9%
Peer Norm Average Campus Average / Total	404.2	17.2	112.5%	93.5	22.7	101.4%	166.7	18.4	89.2%	709.0	17.1	100.5%	12.4%	\$4,210 \$4,638	102.5%
Campus Peer Norm Average		15.3	112.370	75.5	22.4	101.470	100.7	20.6	69.270	703.0	17.1	100.570	10.1%	\$4,523	102.370

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

					F	all Teri	n Under	graduate S	Student	FTE per	· Fac	ulty F	TE			
COL	L A ergraduate student i	FTE	Ter	Tenured a		Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Suţ	plemental	Facul	ty	Incl	All Fac	-	tants
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.#	-	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE	/ 9/	% of Peer Norm
5.01	Non-Western World Peer Norm	0340	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.8	63.4	***	-	1.8	63.4	***	-
5.02 5.02	African & African Amer. S Peer Norm	0240	0.5	7.5 13.2	56.6%	-	26.2	***	0.2	1.6 18.5		8.7%	0.7	6.0 15.6		38.5%
5.02 5.02	Women's Studies Peer Norm	0392	-	13.2	***	-	26.2	***	1.0	21.6 18.5	1	117.0%	1.0	21.6 15.6		138.5%
9.01	Communications Peer Norm	0317	10.0	17.7 15.9	111.3%	2.0	26.9 15.9	169.0%	0.7	20.6		98.7%	13.0	18.8 16.9		111.0%
16.01 16.01	Modern Languages Peer Norm	0342	6.0	10.4 9.4	110.6%	6.0	18.5 16.0	115.8%	9.0	16.3 15.5	1	105.2%	21.3	15.3 13.9		109.6%
16.12 16.12	Classics Peer Norm	0333	3.0	31.3	*** _	-	-	-	0.9	19.4 -	***	-	3.9	28.5	***	-
23.01	English Peer Norm	0330	19.7	7.3 10.0	73.3%	13.0	15.9 18.5	86.3%	9.2	19.5 17.3	1	112.3%	49.5	14.1 15.2		92.5%
30.06	Applied Behavioral Science Peer Norm	0391	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	-		-	0.8	-		-
38.01 38.01	Philosophy Peer Norm	0371	3.0	17.1 14.7	116.3%	-	23.1	***	0.8	22.4 29.2		76.7%	3.8	18.3 19.5		93.5%
38.02 38.02	Religion Peer Norm	0374	3.5	9.1 12.9	70.1%	1.0	35.1 24.3	144.4%	1.5	32.0 31.8	1	100.6%	6.0	19.1 17.9		106.7%
44.07 44.07	Social Work Peer Norm	0386	4.0	4.9 5.3	91.3%	2.0	16.3 10.9	149.7%	0.7	19.7 10.0	* 1	197.3%	6.7	9.7 6.9		140.4%
45.07 45.07	Geography Peer Norm	0383	1.0	35.9 19.7	182.7%	1.0	69.1 32.0	215.8%	0.8	7.8 33.5		23.3%	2.8	40.3 19.2	*	210.1%

				Fa	all Terr	n Underg	graduate S	Student	FTE per	Faculty F	TE		
COLA UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT I	FTE	Ter	Tenured a		Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Suj	oplemental l	Faculty	Inc	All Facu ludes Teaching	,
CIP		# Faculty	Stdt FTE/		,	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty		% of Peer
	Dept.#	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
54.01 History 54.01 Peer Norm	0385	16.0	35.8 18.5	193.2%	1.0	40.4	142.6%	0.8	27.8	***	17.8	34.4	172.0%
34.01 Peer Norm			18.3			28.3			21.8	***		20.0	
45.10 Political Science	0384	10.0	28.9	162.8%	3.0	42.7	168.4%	0.7	11.9	44.9%	13.7	31.1	* 175.2%
45.10 Peer Norm			17.7			25.3			26.6			17.7	**
45.11 Sociology & Anthropology	0387	9.5	26.3	136.7%	3.0	15.2	51.8%	0.9	17.5	57.1%	13.5	23.3	112.6%
45.11 Peer Norm			19.3			29.3			30.6			20.7	
44.02 Urban Affairs	0388	5.0	4.4	*** _	-	-	-	1.3	15.9	*** _	6.3	6.8	*** _
44.02 Peer Norm			-			-			-			-	
50.05 Theatre Arts	0318	18.0	11.5	108.8%	2.0	9.6	60.3%	8.6	5.5	44.6%	28.6	9.6	65.8%
50.05 Peer Norm			10.6			15.9			12.4			14.6	
50.07 Art & Art History	0310	9.0	8.3	73.1%	-	_	-	2.6	23.8	157.3%	11.6	11.9	90.8%
50.07 Peer Norm			11.4			14.5	***		15.1			13.1	
50.09 Music	0315	14.0	8.4	120.0%	3.0	21.1	219.4%	8.9	2.7	29.1%	26.5	7.7	83.5%
50.09 Peer Norm			7.0			9.6			9.4			9.3	
COLA Average / Total		132.7	16.3	129.1%	37.0	16.5	91.1%	50.7	13.0	75.2%	229.2	14.9	103.2%
Peer Norm Average			12.6			18.1			17.3			14.4	
Campus Average / Total		404.2	13.1	103.7%	93.5	21.1	99.4%	166.7	15.8	89.2%	709.0	13.9	98.0%
Campus Peer Norm Average			12.6			21.2			17.7			14.2	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA **Total**.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

						Fall T	erm Gra	duate	Stud	lent FT	E per Fac	culty FTE				
COLA GRADUATE STUDENT FTE		Те	Tenured a		ty	Oth	ner Regular	Faculty	,	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facu		ants
CIP Code Department Name	Dept. #	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	,	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	F	% of Peer Vorm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm
5.01 Non-Western World5.01 Peer Norm	0340	-	-		-	-	-		-	1.8	-	-	1.8	-		
5.02 African & African Amer. Std5.02 African & African Amer. Std.	0240	0.5	- 1.4	***	-	-	-		-	0.2	-	-	0.7	0.9	***	-
5.02 Women's Studies5.02 Peer Norm	0392	-	1.4	***	-	-	-		-	1.0	-	-	1.0	0.9	***	-
9.01 Communications9.01 Peer Norm	0317	10.0	2.0	***	-	2.0	<u>-</u> 1.1	***	-	0.7	0.3	***	13.0	1.1	***	-
16.01 Modern Languages16.01 Peer Norm	0342	6.0	1.4	***	-	6.0	0.1	***	-	9.0	0.2	- ***	21.3	0.8	***	-
16.12 Classics 16.12 Peer Norm	0333	3.0	1.3	***	-	-	-		-	0.9	-	-	3.9	1.0	***	-
23.01 English 23.01 Peer Norm	0330	19.7	2.3	-	131.3%	13.0	0.3	***	-	9.2	0.6	500.0%	49.5	1.1		111.1%
30.06 Applied Behavioral Science 30.06 Peer Norm	0391	0.5	13.2	***		-	-		-	0.3	20.9	*** _	0.8	16.4	***	
38.01 Philosophy 38.01 Peer Norm	0371	3.0	2.0	***	-	-	0.1	***	-	0.8	-		3.8	1.3	***	
38.02 Religion 38.02 Peer Norm	0374	3.5	2.2	***	-	1.0	-		-	1.5	0.2	***	6.0	1.4	***	-
44.07 Social Work 44.07 Peer Norm	0386	4.0	7.3	***	-	2.0	9.0	***	-	0.7	14.0	***	6.7	6.6	***	
45.07 Geography 45.07 Peer Norm	0383	1.0	- 1.9	***		1.0			-	0.8	4.0 1.6	257.1%	2.8	1.1 1.4		76.9%

					Fall T	erm Gra	duat	te Stud	lent FT	E per Fac	culty	y FTE				
COLA GRADUATE STUDENT FTE		Ter	Tenured a		Oth	ner Regular	Facu	lty	Suj	pplemental	Facu	ılty	Incl	All Facu udes Teaching		ants
		#		% of	#			% of	#			% of	#			% of
CIP		Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
Code Department Name	Dept. #	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
54.01 History	0385	16.0	1.7	115.4%	1.0	-		-	0.8	15.2	*	_	17.8	2.2		170.9%
54.01 Peer Norm			1.4			0.2	***			1.0	**			1.3		
45.10 Political Science	0384	10.0	2.0	81.8%	3.0	-		-	0.7	5.3		282.4%	13.7	1.8		84.2%
45.10 Peer Norm			2.4			0.9	***			1.9				2.1		
45.11 0 : 1 0 4 1 1	0207	0.5		00.00/	2.0	5.0	*		0.0				12.5	1.0		100.007
45.11 Sociology & Anthropology 45.11 Peer Norm	0387	9.5	1.1	90.9%	3.0	5.0	**	-	0.9	0.2	***	-	13.5	1.9		188.9%
43.11 Peel Norm			1.2			0.1	ጥጥ			0.2	***			1.0		
44.02 Urban Affairs	0388	5.0	5.8	*** _	_	-		_	1.3	0.7	***	_	6.3	4.7	***	_
44.02 Peer Norm	0200	0.0	-			-			1.5	-			0.5	-		
50.05 Theatre Arts	0318	18.0	-	-	2.0	-		-	8.6	-		-	28.6	-		-
50.05 Peer Norm			1.2	***		0.4	***			0.1	***			0.9	***	
50.05	0210	0.0							2.6							
50.07 Art & Art History	0310	9.0	- 1.1	***	-	0.7	***	-	2.6	- 0.1	***	-	11.6	-	***	-
50.07 Peer Norm			1.1	***		0.7	***			0.1	***			0.9	***	
50.09 Music	0315	14.0	0.9	88.9%	3.0	-		_	8.9	0.1		50.0%	26.5	0.4		66.7%
50.09 Peer Norm			1.0			0.4	***		4.7	0.2				0.7		
COLA Average / Total		132.7	1.4	98.8%	37.0	0.0		-	50.7	0.7		105.9%	229.2	0.8		70.9%
Peer Norm Average			1.4			-				0.7				1.1		
Campus Average / Total		404.2	4.3	82.9%	93.5	1.7		54.7%	166.7	2.7		56.2%	709.0	3.3		122.4%
Campus Peer Norm Average			5.2			3.0				4.7				2.7		

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

	Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE														Direct I	nstruction	nal
COLA TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Tenured a	Faculty	0/ 6		er Regular	-		pplemental	-		All Facul udes Teaching	Assistants	% SCH	-	e per Tot lent FTE	tal
CIP Code Department Name Dept. #	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of F Nor	
5.01 Non-Western World 0340 5.01 Peer Norm	-	-		-	-	-	-	1.8	63.4	*** _	1.8	63.4	*** _	0.0%	\$249 -	*** _	
5.02 African & African Amer. 0240 5.02 African & African Amer. Std.	0.5	7.5 13.5	5	5.6%	-	26.2	***	0.2	1.6 18.5	8.6%	0.7	6.0 15.8	38.0%	0.0%	\$46,259 \$4,836	* 956.6 **	6%
5.02 Women's Studies 0392 5.02 Peer Norm	-	13.5	***	-	-	26.2	***	1.0	21.6 18.5	116.8%	1.0	21.6 15.8	136.7%	0.0%	\$2,750 \$4,836	56.9) %
9.01 Communications 0317 9.01 Peer Norm	10.0	17.7 17.0	10	04.1%	2.0	26.9 16.8	160.1%	0.7	20.6 21.5	95.8%	13.0	18.8 18.4	102.2%	0.0% 3.6%	\$3,789 \$3,849	98.4	1%
16.01 Modern Languages 0342 16.01 Peer Norm	6.0	10.4 9.7	10	07.2%	6.0	18.5 16.1	114.9%	9.0	16.3 15.5	105.2%	21.3	15.3 14.6	104.8%	0.0%	\$3,530 \$4,186	84.3	3%
16.12 Classics 0333 16.12 Peer Norm	3.0	32.6	***	-	-	-	-	0.9	19.4	*** _	3.9	29.5	*** _	2.1%	\$4,705 -	***	
23.01 English 0330 23.01 Peer Norm	19.7	9.7 12.0	8	30.8%	13.0	15.9 18.8	84.6%	9.2	20.0 17.7	113.0%	49.5	15.2 16.6	91.6%	4.3% 3.7%	\$3,666 \$3,811	96.2	2%
30.06 Applied Behavioral Sciei 0391 30.06 Peer Norm	0.5	13.2	***	-	-	-	-	0.3	20.9	*** -	0.8	16.4	*** -	100.0%	\$1,583 -	*** _	
38.01 Philosophy 0371 38.01 Peer Norm	3.0	17.1 15.5	1	10.3%	-	23.2	***	0.8	22.4 29.2	76.7%	3.8	18.3 19.9	92.0%	0.0% 6.5%	\$3,487 \$3,607	96.7	7%
38.02 Religion 0374 38.02 Peer Norm	3.5	9.1 14.2	6	64.1%	1.0	35.1 24.4	143.9%	1.5	32.0 31.9	100.3%	6.0	19.1 18.7	102.1%	0.0%	\$4,727 \$4,139	114.2	2%
44.07 Social Work 0386 44.07 Peer Norm	4.0	4.8 11.7	4	1.0%	2.0	16.3 18.9	86.2%	0.7	19.7 17.8	110.7%	6.7	9.8 12.4	79.0%	0.0%	\$7,675 \$5,974	128.5	5%
45.07 Geography 0383 45.07 Peer Norm	1.0	35.9 20.7	1′	73.4%	1.0	69.1 32.0	215.9%	0.8	11.8 34.3	34.4%	2.8	41.4 20.0	* 207.0% **	1.6%	\$3,474 \$3,574	97.2	2%

			Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE														nstructional
COLA TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Те	Tenured nure-Track		lty	Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental 1	Faculty	Incl	All Facul	,	%	_	se per Total lent FTE
CIP Code Department Name D	Dept. #	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	,	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
54.01 History 54.01 Peer Norm	0385	16.0	37.5 20.0		187.5%	1.0	40.4 28.6	141.3%	0.8	15.3 29.4	52.0%	17.8	36.6 21.2	172.6%	2.9% 3.5%	\$2,252 \$3,702	60.8%
45.10 Political Science 45.10 Peer Norm	0384	10.0	30.9 19.3		160.1%	3.0	42.7 25.9	164.9%	0.7	17.2 29.5	58.3%	13.7	32.8 19.0	* 172.6% **	3.3% 4.4%	\$2,968 \$3,969	74.8%
45.11 Sociology & Anthropological 45.11 Peer Norm	0387	9.5	27.5 20.3		135.5%	3.0	20.2 29.8	67.8%	0.9	17.5 31.0	56.5%	13.5	25.2 21.4	117.8%	4.6% 2.4%	\$3,454 \$3,121	110.7%
44.02 Urban Affairs 44.02 Peer Norm	0388	5.0	10.1	***	-	-	-	-	1.3	16.5	*** _	6.3	11.5	*** _	29.3%	\$6,405	*** _
50.05 Theatre Arts 50.05 Peer Norm	0318	18.0	11.5 11.1		103.6%	2.0	9.6 16.1	59.6%	8.6	5.5 12.4	44.4%	28.6	9.6 15.0	64.0%	0.0%	\$9,425 \$6,365	148.1%
50.07 Art & Art History 50.07 Peer Norm	0310	9.0	8.3 12.1		68.6%	-	15.4	***	2.6	23.8 15.2	156.6%	11.6	11.8 13.6	86.8%	0.0% 2.5%	\$7,019 \$5,610	125.1%
50.09 Music 50.09 Peer Norm	0315	14.0	9.2 8.0		115.0%	3.0	21.1 10.4	202.9%	8.9	2.8 9.7	28.9%	26.5	8.2 9.9	82.8%	3.3% 5.5%	\$7,293 \$7,788	93.6%
COLA Average / Total Peer Norm Average		132.7	17.3 14.0		123.7%	37.0	22.2	118.1%	50.7	13.6	74.2%	229.2	16.1 15.6	103.3%	3.0%	\$4,133 \$4,190	98.6%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	17.2 15.3		112.5%	93.5	22.7 22.4	101.4%	166.7	18.4 20.6	89.2%	709.0	17.1 17.0	100.5%		\$4,638 \$4,523	102.5%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA **Total**.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

						Fall T	erm Und	ergraduate St	udent F	TE per Fa	culty FTE			
RSC UNDER	B GRADUATE STUDENT FTE			Tenured		(Other Regula	nr Faculty		Supplemental	Faculty		All Facu ncludes Teaching	
			#			#			#			#		
CIP			Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	Faculty		% of Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer
Code	Department Name	Dept.#	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
52.01	RSCB Administration	0401	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	13.8	55.6%	2.0	13.8	89.6%
52.01	Peer Norms			11.9	***		6.7	***		24.8			15.4	
52.03	Accountancy	0410	9.0	16.4	105.1%	2.0	29.3	104.3%	0.8	24.3	102.8%	11.8	19.1	104.7%
52.03	Peer Norms			15.6			28.1			23.7			18.3	
52.08	Finance	0421	7.0	15.1	107.1%	1.0	13.4	47.6%	0.3	37.6	156.7%	8.3	15.5	91.0%
52.08	Peer Norms	V	, , ,	14.1			28.1	1,10,10		24.0			17.1	, , , , ,
45.06	Economics	0440	11.0	13.1	80.7%	2.0	15.1	49.8%	9.1	10.1	47.5%	22.1	12.1	60.1%
	Center for Economic Education	0441							,,,		.,,,,,			
45.06	Peer Norms			16.2			30.4			21.3			20.1	
52.02	Management	0471	9.0	11.0	71.1%	1.0	30.4	115.4%	3.3	29.6	169.5%	13.3	17.0	98.5%
52.02	Peer Norms			15.5			26.3			17.5			17.3	
52.12	Managament Cai & Info Cus	0475	11.0	14.9	107.7%	3.0	25.6	122.3%	1.0	26.6	110.8%	15.7	17.1	94.5%
52.12	Management Sci & Info Sys. Peer Norms	0473	11.0	13.9	107.770	3.0	20.9	122.370	1.0	24.0	110.870	13.7	18.1	94.3%
32.12	i cei nomis			13.9			20.9			24.0			10.1	
52.14	Marketing	0480	5.0	15.6	101.7%	1.0	19.0	64.5%	2.5	22.5	81.3%	8.5	18.1	98.2%
52.14	Peer Norms			15.3			29.5			27.7			18.4	3 412 7 4
	verage / Total		52.0	14.2	95.7%	10.0	22.9	88.8%	19.0	17.4	80.0%	81.7	15.9	93.5%
Peer Nor	rm Average			14.8			25.7			21.8			17.0	
Campus	Average / Total		404.2	13.1	103.7%	93.5	21.1	99.4%	166.7	15.8	89.2%	709.0	13.9	98.0%
	Peer Norm Average			12.6			21.2			17.7			14.2	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in BSCBTotal.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

					Fall	Term G	raduate Stud	ent FTE	per Faci	ulty FTE			
RSCB GRADUATE STUDENT FTE			Tenure Tenure-Tra		C	ther Regula	ır Faculty	;	Supplement	al Faculty	In	All Fac	
av.		#	G. I. DODG	0/ OD	#	Q. 1. PPP /	0/ 07	#	G. I. DODG	0/ 00	#	G. 1. DODG	0/ 07
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.#	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	Faculty	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm
52.01 Business	0401		-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-		2.0	-	-
52.01 Peer Norms	0401	_	5.4	***		3.3	***	2.0	4.4	***	2.0	4.3	***
52.03 Accountancy	0410	9.0	3.1	82.4%	2.0	-	-	0.8	15.6	222.2%	11.8	3.4	79.5%
52.03 Peer Norms			3.8			2.0	***		7.0			4.3	
52.09 Finance	0421	7.0	4.2	60.69/	1.0			0.3			0.2	2.7	60.00/
52.08 Finance 52.08 Peer Norms	0421	7.0	4.3 6.2	69.6%	1.0	1.4	***	0.3	5.8	***	8.3	3.7 6.1	60.0%
Economics Economics	0440	11.0	2.6	02.00/	2.0	5.2	400.00/	0.1		102 10/	22.1	4.2	1/2 50/
45.06 Center for Economic Education	0441	11.0	2.6	92.0%	2.0	5.3	480.0%	9.1	6.2	193.1%	22.1	4.3	162.5%
45.06 Peer Norms			2.8			1.1			3.2			2.7	
52.02 Management	0471	9.0	4.8	91.5%	1.0			3.3	6.9	64.6%	13.3	4.9	102.3%
52.02 Management 52.02 Peer Norms	04/1	9.0	5.2	91.5%	1.0	4.6	***	3.3	10.7	04.0%	13.3	4.9	102.3%
52.12 Management Sci & Info Sys.	0475	11.0	5.6	87.7%	3.0	4.7	200.0%	1.0	10.7	480.0%	15.7	5.6	135.1%
52.12 Peer Norms			6.3			2.3			2.2			4.1	
52.14 Marketing	0480	5.0	1.0	25.7%	1.0	9.3	247.1%	2.5	10.7	152.4%	8.5	4.8	110.3%
52.14 Peer Norms			3.9			3.8			7.0			4.3	
RSCB Average / Total		52.0	3.8	69.9%	10.0	3.9	123.2%	19.0	7.7	91.5%	81.7	4.5	98.0%
Peer Norm Average			5.4			3.2			8.4			4.6	
Campus Average / Total		404.2	4.3	82.9%	93.5	1.7	54.7%	166.7	2.7	56.2%	709.0	3.3	122.4%
Campus Peer Norm Average			5.2			3.0			4.7			2.7	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in BSCBTotal.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

							Fall Terr	n Total Stu	ıdent F	TE per Fa	culty FTI	Ξ					structional
RSC TOTAL	B L STUDENT FTE		Tei	Tenured a		Ot	her Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental F	aculty	Inclu	All Faculty		%	_	per Total ent FTE
			#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	SCH		
CIP			Faculty		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer
Code	Department Name	Dept.#	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
52.01	Business	0401	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	13.8	47.1%	2.0	13.8	69.7%	0.0%	\$11,082	*** -
52.01	Peer Norms			17.3	***		15.3	***		29.3			19.8		11.0%	-	
52.02	A	0410	0.0	10.5	07.50/	2.0	20.2	102.00/	0.8	20.0	110.00/	11.0	22.6	07.00/	0.00/	¢5 (77	106 207
52.03 52.03	Accountancy Peer Norms	0410	9.0	19.5	97.5%	2.0	29.3 28.5	102.8%	0.8	39.9 33.3	119.8%	11.8	22.6	97.8%	9.8%	\$5,677 \$5,344	106.2%
32.03	reel Norms			20.0			20.3			33.3			23.1		13.770	\$3,344	
52.08	Finance	0421	7.0	19.4	95.6%	1.0	13.4	52.5%	0.3	37.6	136.2%	8.3	19.2	82.8%	12.4%	\$7,030	136.7%
52.08	Peer Norms			20.3			25.5			27.6			23.2		18.6%	\$5,143	
45.06	Economics	0440	11.0	15.6	79.2%	2.0	20.4	61.3%	9.1	16.4	63.6%	22.1	16.4	69.8%	17.7%	\$5,928	130.2%
45.06	Center for Economic Ed.	0441		10.5			22.2			25.0			22.5		12.70/	. ,	
45.06	Peer Norms			19.7			33.3			25.8			23.5		12.7%	\$4,554	
52.02	Management	0471	9.0	15.8	76.3%	1.0	30.4	97.4%	3.3	36.5	121.7%	13.3	21.9	95.2%	14.7%	\$4,219	94.3%
52.02	Peer Norms			20.7	, , , , ,		31.2	7,11,7		30.0			23.0	, , , , , ,	-	\$4,472	7 110 7 0
																,	
52.12	Management Sci & Info Sy	0475	11.0	20.6	103.5%	3.0	30.3	133.5%	1.0	37.3	135.6%	15.7	22.6	98.7%	16.3%	\$4,383	91.6%
52.12	Peer Norms			19.9			22.7			27.5			22.9		13.6%	\$4,787	
52.14	Marketing	0480	5.0	16.6	83.0%	1.0	28.3	85.0%	2.5	33.2	95.7%	8.5	22.9	97.9%	13.7%	\$4,593	90.4%
52.14	Peer Norms	0460	3.0	20.0	83.076	1.0	33.3	85.070	2.3	34.7	93.170	0.3	23.4	91.970	16.7%	\$5,078	90.470
32.17	1 CCI TOTHIS			20.0			33.3			JT.1			23.₹		10.770	\$5,076	
RSCR A	verage / Total		52.0	18.0	89.2%	10.0	26.2	89.3%	19.0	24.3	87.2%	81.7	20.3	91.5%	14.3%	\$5,200	114.2%
	rm Average		32.0	20.2	07.270	10.0	29.4	07.370	17.0	27.8	07.2/0	01.7	22.2	71.5/0	17.1%	\$4,555	117.2/0
2 001 210										-7.0					27.270	,	
	Average / Total		404.2	17.2	112.5%	93.5	22.7	101.4%	166.7	18.4	89.2%	709.0	17.1	100.5%		\$4,638	102.5%
Campus	Peer Norm Average			15.3			22.4			20.6			17.0		10.1%	\$4,523	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in BSCBTotal.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

				F	all Ter	m Under	graduate S	Student	FTE per	r Faculty F	TE		
CEHS UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT FTE		Ten	Tenured a ure-Track		Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Inc	All Fact	5
		#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		
CIP		-	Stdt FTE/	Peer	-	Stdt FTE/		Faculty			Faculty		
Code Department Name	Dept.#	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
CEHS Administration 13.01 Prof. Practice & Research Academic Support Services	0501 0512 0524	0.5	-	-	1.0	2.2	* 26.2%	2.7	0.7	6.4%	4.2	1.0	11.2%
13.01 Peer Norm			5.9	***		8.4	**		10.3			8.5	
13.04 Educational Leadership 13.04 Peer Norm	0518	12.5	5.9 2.5	240.5%	2.0	10.5 7.6	138.6%	7.5	5.3 1.6	329.2%	22.0	6.1 2.6	235.9%
13.13 Teacher Education Lab Expenience	0522 0525	18.1	9.9	57.8%	4.0	10.3	51.8%	6.7	17.5	101.5%	28.8	11.7	69.8%
13.13 Peer Norm			17.1			19.9			17.3			16.8	
31.05 Health, Physical Ed, Recreation 31.05 Peer Norm	0516	4.0	16.8 11.7	144.0%	1.0	22.9 15.5	148.3%	14.8	14.3 11.5	124.3%	19.8	15.3 10.8	141.4%
13.11 Human Services 13.11 Peer Norm	0520	10.0	3.1	*** -	-	-		4.5	15.5	*** _	14.5	6.9	*** _
CEHS Average / Total Peer Norm Average		45.1	9.2 10.1	91.8%	8.0	12.2 17.7	69.0%	36.2	11.7 11.7	99.9%	89.3	10.4 9.5	109.6%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	13.1 12.6	103.7%	93.5	21.1 21.2	99.4%	166.7	15.8 17.7	89.2%	709.0	13.9 14.2	98.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

						Fall 7	Term Gra	duate Stud	ent FT	E per Fac	ulty FTE				
CEI GRAD	IS WATE STUDENT FTE		Те	Tenured a		Ot	her Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facu udes Teaching	_	stants
			#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#			% of
CIP			Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
<u>Code</u>	Department Name	Dept. #	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
13.01	CEHS Administration Prof. Practice & Research Academic Support Services	0501 0512 0524	0.5	11.1	158.7%	1.0	2.8	48.1%	2.7	14.7	197.2%	4.2	11.4		168.6%
13.01	Peer Norm			7.0			5.8			7.4			6.8		
13.04 13.04	Educational Leadership Peer Norm	0518	12.5	19.4 14.0	138.9%	2.0	5.9 2.8	212.0%	7.5	8.7 9.3	92.9%	22.0	14.6 12.1		120.2%
15.01	Tool I (offin			11.0			2.0			7.5			12.1		
13.13	Teacher Education Lab Expenience	0522 0525	18.1	9.4	* 404.8%	4.0	7.0	* 2100.0%	6.7	4.8	477.8%	28.8	8.0	*	600.0%
13.13	Peer Norm			2.3	**		0.3	**		1.0			1.3	**	
31.05 31.05	Health, Physical Ed, Recreation Peer Norm	0516	4.0	3.9 5.2	74.5%	1.0	-		14.8	0.1	11.1%	19.8	0.9		47.1%
13.11 13.11	Human Services Peer Norm	0520	10.0	13.7	*** _	-	-	-	4.5	2.8	*** _	14.5	10.2	***	_
	Average / Total		45.1	16.5 8.0	206.9%	8.0	4.9	101.5%	36.2	3.3 6.8	48.5%	89.3	7.4 6.9		107.1%
reel INC	orm Average			0.0			4.0			0.0			0.9		
_	s Average / Total		404.2	4.3	82.9%	93.5	1.7	54.7%	166.7	2.7	56.2%	709.0	3.3		122.4%
Campu	s Peer Norm Average			5.2			3.0			4.7			2.7		

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

					Fall	Term To	tal Studen	ıt FTE p	er Facul	ty FTE					Direct I	nstructional
CEHS TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Ten	Tenured a ure-Track l	Faculty	Oth	ner Regular	Faculty	Suj	pplemental	,	Inclu	All Facult des Teaching A	Assistants	% SCH	_	e per Total ent FTE
				% of	#		% of	#		% of			% of	GRAD		
CIP			Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		-	Stdt FTE/	Peer		Expense	% of Peer
Code Department Name	Dept. #	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
CEHS Administration 13.01 Prof. Practice & Research Academic Support Serv.	0501 0512 0524	0.5	11.1	84.7%	1.0	5.0	* 32.1%	2.7	15.3	90.0%	4.2	12.4	88.6%	88.0%	\$7,613	137.1%
13.01 Peer Norm			13.1			15.6	**		17.0			14.0		39.5%	\$5,555	
13.04 Educational Leadership 13.04 Peer Norm	0518	12.5	25.3 17.4	145.4%	2.0	16.3 10.4	156.7%	7.5	13.9 12.3	113.0%	22.0	20.6 15.7	131.2%	58.7% 63.5%	\$4,442 \$5,278	84.2%
13.13 Teacher Education Lab Experience 13.13 Peer Norm	0522 0525	18.1	19.3	97.5%	4.0	17.4	82.5%	6.7	22.2	116.8%	28.8	19.7	105.3%	29.0%	\$3,645 \$3,381	107.8%
31.05 Health, Physical Ed, Recrea 31.05 Peer Norm		4.0	20.6 15.3	134.6%	1.0	22.9	121.2%	14.8	14.5 15.9	91.2%	19.8	16.1 14.0	115.0%	3.4% 11.1%	\$2,552 \$4,959	51.5%
13.11 Human Services	0520	10.0	16.7	*** _	-	-	-	4.5	18.2	*** _	14.5	17.2	*** -	47.0	\$4,980	*** _
13.11 Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
CEHS Average / Total Peer Norm Average		45.1	21.5 14.9	143.7%	8.0	17.9 18.7	95.7%	36.2	16.1 16.3	98.3%	89.3	18.6 14.9	125.0%	34.3% 30.5%	\$3,802 \$4,641	81.9%
Campus Average / Total		404.2	17.2 15.3	112.5%	93.5	22.7	101.4%	166.7	18.4	89.2%	709.0	17.1 17.0	100.5%	12.4%	\$4,638	102.5%
Campus Peer Norm Average			15.3			22.4			20.6			17.0		10.1%	\$4,523	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHSTotal.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

					F	all Ter	m Unde	rgra	duate \$	Student	FTE per	· Facı	ulty F	TE			
COSM undergraduate student fti	E	Ten	Tenured a		ty	Oth	er Regula	Facı	ulty	Suj	pplemental	Facult	y	Incl	All Facudes Teachin		tants
CIP Code Department Name De	ept.#	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	ģ	% of Peer Norm
	505	16.7	14.5 13.9		04.3%	4.1	15.8 39.0		40.5%	5.6	14.7 19.7		74.9%	33.3	11.7 15.7		74.2%
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bio 06 26.02 Peer Norm	521	3.0	1.8	***	-		-						-	3.0	1.8	***	
26.09 Biomedical Sciences 06 26.09 Peer Norm	525	1.8	6.5	***	-	0.0	-		-	0.2	-		-	2.0	5.9	***	-
26.04 Anatomy 06 26.04 Peer Norm	520	3.5	0.3	***	-	2.0	23.8	***	-	-	-		-	6.5	7.5	***	-
26.10 Pharm. & Toxicology 06 26.10 Pharm & Toxicology	527	-	-		-	0.5	4.7	***	-	0.1	78.3	***	-	0.5	13.3	***	-
26.07 Physiology & Biophysics 06 26.07 Peer Norm	523	2.6	0.1	***	-	-	-		-	0.3	100.2	***	-	2.9	11.3	***	-
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics 06 27.01 Peer Norm	597	29.8	10.8 13.1	8	82.7%	8.0	30.9 27.9		111.0%	12.3	28.2 27.1	1	04.2%	51.7	18.7 20.2		92.4%
30.01 COSM Administration 06 30.01 Peer Norm	501	1.0	5.3	***	-	-	-		-	1.4	19.5	***	-	2.8	12.0	***	-
40.05 Chemistry 06 40.05 Peer Norm	506	12.0	22.1 17.1	1	28.8%	-	23.7	***	-	1.3	20.3 23.7	8	85.4%	19.3	15.1 15.6		97.0%
40.06 Geological Sciences 06 40.06 Peer Norm	507	11.7	12.7 17.1	7	73.9%	-	31.5	***	-	0.8	9.6 29.5	3	32.5%	15.7	12.5 17.4		71.6%
40.08 Physics 06 40.08 Peer Norm	508	7.7	10.1 14.3	-	70.7%	1.0	23.8	***	-	2.8	29.5 20.2	1	46.2%	13.7	13.5 15.1		89.0%

				F	all Ter	m Under	gra	duate \$	Student	FTE per	· Fac	culty F	ТЕ			
COSM undergraduate student i	FTE	Ter	Tenured a		Oth	er Regular	Fac	ulty	Suj	pplemental	Facul	lty	Inc	All Facture All Fa	-	istants
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.#	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	'	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm
42.01 Psychology 42.01 Peer Norm	0609	21.0	17.7 15.1	117.7%	1.0	231.3 37.9	*	609.7%	4.2	29.9 30.2		98.9%	31.2	24.5 18.8		130.1%
51.10 Medical Technology 51.10 Peer Norm	0604	-	-	-	-	-		-	0.8	7.2	***	-	0.8	0.1	***	-
26.13 Environmental Health Environmental Science	0610 0611	1.7	3.1	*** _	0.9	2.5	***	-	0.8	11.6	***	-	3.4	4.9	***	-
26.13 Peer Norm			-			-				-				-		
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average		112.4	14.4 14.5	99.8%	17.5	25.8 34.6		74.6%	30.6	24.9 25.3		98.5%	186.7	16.9 16.8		100.7%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	13.1 12.6	103.7%	93.5	21.1 21.2		99.4%	166.7	15.8 17.7		89.2%	709.0	13.9 14.2		98.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM **Total**.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

						Fall T	erm Gra	duat	te Stud	lent FT	E per Fac	culty	y FTE				
COSM GRADUATE STUDENT FTE		Те	Tenured a		ty	Otl	ner Regular	Facul	ty	Su	pplemental	Facu	ılty	Inch	All Faculades Teaching		ants
CIP Code Department Name	Dept. #	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm
26.01 Biological Sciences 26.01 Peer Norm	0605	16.7	3.1 2.3		133.3%	4.1	0.3 0.2		150.0%	5.6	0.8 0.4		175.0%	33.3	1.7 1.6		107.1%
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bio 26.02 Peer Norm	0621	3.0	3.3	***		-	-			-	-		-	3.0	3.3	***	-
26.09 Biomedical Sciences 26.09 Peer Norm	0625	1.8	6.2	***	-	0.0				0.2	18.1	***		2.0	7.1	***	-
26.04 Anatomy 26.04 Peer Norm	0620	3.5	3.6	***	-	2.0	0.9	***		-	-		-	6.5	2.2	***	-
26.10 Pharm. & Toxicology 26.10 Pharm & Toxicology	0627	-				0.5				0.1			-	0.5			-
26.07 Physiology & Biophysics 26.07 Peer Norm	0623	2.6	1.7	***	-	-				0.3	5.3	***	-	2.9	2.0	***	-
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics 27.01 Peer Norm	0697	29.8	1.4 1.6		92.9%	8.0	0.1	***		12.3	0.2	***	-	51.7	0.9 0.8		114.3%
30.01 COSM Administration 30.01 Peer Norm	0601	1.0	1.9	***	-	-				1.4	0.5	***	-	2.8	0.9	***	-
40.05 Chemistry 40.05 Peer Norm	0606	12.0	3.6 2.0		177.8%				_	1.3	3.0		300.0%	19.3	2.4		183.3%
40.06 Geological Sciences 40.06 Peer Norm	0607	11.7	5.2	*	361.5%	-	- 0.8	***	-	0.8	10.0	*	1285.7%	15.7	4.3	*	433.3%
40.08 Physics 40.08 Peer Norm	0608	7.7	0.9 0.9		100.0%	1.0	3.8	***	-	2.8	0.1 1.0		11.1%	13.7	0.8 0.8		100.0%

					Fall T	erm Gra	duate Stud	lent FT	E per Fac	culty FTE				
COSM GRADUATE STUDENT FTE		Te	Tenured a		Otl	ner Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facu	-	ants
		#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#			% of
CIP		Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
Code Department Name	<u>Dept. #</u>	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
42.01 Psychology	0609	21.0	2.6	69.7%	1.0	-	_	4.2	0.6	41.7%	31.2	1.8		69.6%
42.01 Peer Norm			3.7			1.3	***		1.3			2.6		
51.10 Medical Technology 51.10 Peer Norm	0604	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	0.8	0.1	***	
26.13 Environmental Health Environmental Science 26.13 Peer Norm	0610 0611	1.7	4.9	*** _	0.9	1.6	*** _	0.8	0.9	*** _	3.4	3.1	***	
20.13 Teel Norm			-			-			-			-		
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average		112.4	1.8 2.3	79.1%	17.5	0.3 0.6		30.6	0.7 1.3	50.4%	186.7	1.4 1.4		100.2%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	4.3 5.2	82.9%	93.5	1.7 3.0	54.7%	166.7	2.7 4.7	56.2%	709.0	3.3 2.7		122.4%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM **Total**.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

		Fall Term Total Studen	t FTE per Faculty FTE		Direct Instructional
COSM TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty	Other Regular Faculty	Supplemental Faculty	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants	Expense per Total Student FTE
CIP Code Department Name Dept. #	# % of Faculty Stdt FTE/ Peer FTE Fac FTE Norm	# % of Faculty Stdt FTE/ Peer FTE Fac FTE Norm	# % of Faculty Stdt FTE/ Peer FTE Fac FTE Norm		GRAD Expense % of Peer Norm
26.01 Biological Sciences 0605 26.01 Peer Norm	16.7 17.5 109.4% 16.0	5 4.1 16.1 40.8% 39.5	5.6 15.5 73.8% 21.0		7.9% \$5,907 118.6% 5.9% \$4,981
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bi 0621 26.02 Peer Norm	3.0 5.1 *** -			3.0 5.1 *** -	52.4% \$9,151 *** -
26.09 Biomedical Sciences 0625 26.09 Peer Norm	1.8 12.6 *** -	0.0	0.2 18.1 *** -	2.0 13.1 *** -	41.9% \$12,585 *** -
26.04 Anatomy 0620 26.04 Peer Norm	3.5 3.9 *** -	2.0 24.7 *** -		6.5 9.7 *** -	15.0% \$8,332 *** -
26.10 Pharm. & Toxicology 0627 26.10 Pharm & Toxicology		0.5 4.7 *** -	0.1 78.3 *** -	0.5 13.4 *** -	- \$4,388 *** -
26.07 Physiology & Biophysics 0623 26.07 Peer Norm	2.6 1.7 *** -		0.3 105.6 *** -	2.9 13.4 *** -	- \$10,238 *** -
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics 0697 27.01 Peer Norm	29.8 12.3 84.8% 14.5	8.0 30.9 110.0% 28.1	12.3 28.4 104.8% 27.1		2.8% \$3,791 103.2% 2.6% \$3,673
30.01 COSM Administration 0601 30.01 Peer Norm	1.0 7.2 *** -		1.4 20.0 *** -	2.8 12.9 *** -	4.4% \$1,361 *** -
40.05 Chemistry 0606 40.05 Peer Norm	12.0 25.7 146.9% 17.5	23.9 ***	1.3 23.3 90.0% 25.9		8.8% \$5,610 90.6% 4.9% \$6,189
40.06 Geological Sciences 0607 40.06 Peer Norm	11.7 17.9 96.8% 18.5	32.0 ***	0.8 19.6 63.2% 31.0		17.3% \$7,322 146.5% 4.4% \$4,999
40.08 Physics 0608 40.08 Peer Norm	7.7 10.9 72.2% 15.1	1.0 3.8 15.8% 24.1	2.8 29.7 136.9% 21.7		3.3% \$5,879 102.9% 3.0% \$5,712

					Fall	Term To	otal Stud	ent FT	E per Facı	ulty FTE						Instructional
COSM TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Тег	Tenured a nure-Track		Oth	ner Regular	Faculty	;	Supplementa	l Faculty	Inch	All Facul	-	% SCH	-	se per Total dent FTE
		#		% of	#		% o	#		% of	#		% of	GRAD		
CIP			Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/			5		Faculty		Peer		Expense	% of Peer
<u>Code</u> <u>Department Name</u> <u>D</u>)ept. #	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norr	n FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
42.01 Psychology	0609	21.0	20.3	111.5%	1.0	231.3	* 576.8	% 4.2	30.4	91.3%	31.2	26.2	124.2%	4.2%	\$3,549	98.7%
42.01 Peer Norm			18.2			40.1	**		33.3			21.1		8.3%	\$3,594	
51.10 Medical Technology (0604	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	7.2	*** _	0.8	7.2	*** _	0.0%	\$9,157	*** _
51.10 Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
26.13	0610 0611	1.7	7.9	*** _	0.9	4.0	*** _	0.8	12.5	*** _	3.4	7.9	*** _	0.3	\$9,591	*** _
26.13 Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
COSM Average / Total		112.4	17.1	104.7%	17.5	24.2	72.39	6 30.6	25.7	97.9%	186.7	18.6	102.2%	6.4%	\$4,669	110.9%
Peer Norm Average			16.3			33.5		1	26.2	2 1 13 7 2		18.2		5.0%	\$4,210	
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	17.2 15.3	112.5%	93.5	22.7 22.4	101.4	% 166.	18.4	89.2%	709.0	17.1 17.0	100.5%	12.4% 10.1%	\$4,638 \$4,523	102.5%
Campus i cei Noriii Avelage			13.3			22.4			20.0			17.0		10.170	φ4,323	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM**Total**.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

			F	all Ter	m Under	graduate (Student	FTE per	· Faculty F	ТЕ		
CECS UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT FTE	Те	Tenured a		Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Suj	pplemental	Faculty	Inc	All Fac	2
CIP Code Department Name Depr	# Faculty # FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm
11.01 Computer Science 081 Computer Engineering 081 11.01 Peer Norm	10.0	6.6	61.6%	6.0	20.7	99.7%	7.8	26.7	129.4%	36.4	11.1	93.3%
14.01 CECS Administration 080 14.01 Peer Norm		-	-	1.0	8.2	*** _	-	-	-	1.7	4.9	*** _
14.05 Biomed & Hum Factors Erg. 084 14.05 Peer Norm	8.0	6.5	*** _		-		2.3	6.7	*** _	10.6	6.7	*** -
14.10 Electrical Engineering 08214.10 Peer Norm 082	10.0	2.8 8.0	35.0%	1.0	9.6 16.1	59.8%	6.5	6.4 13.5	47.5%	19.5	4.3 8.7	50.0%
14.19 Mechanical & Materials Engin 083 14.19 Peer Norm	13.0	4.2 8.1	52.1%		9.5	***	5.6	11.3 15.7	72.3%	19.9	5.9 8.5	70.1%
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	49.0	3.8 7.6	50.0%	8.0	19.1 21.6	88.6%	22.2	15.8 14.9	105.7%	88.1	8.0 9.5	84.1%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average	404.2	13.1 12.6	103.7%	93.5	21.1 21.2	99.4%	166.7	15.8 17.7	89.2%	709.0	13.9 14.2	98.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

						Fall T	erm Gra	duate Stud	lent FT	E per Fac	culty FTE				
CEC GRAD	CS UATE STUDENT FTE		Te	Tenured a		Oth	ner Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Inch	All Facul udes Teaching	,	nts
			#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#			% of
CIP			Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
<u>Code</u>	Department Name	Dept. #	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
11.01	Computer Science Computer Engineering	0810 0811	18.0	9.4	177.1%	6.0	1.6	107.7%	7.8	2.9	92.9%	36.4	5.6	1	156.3%
11.01	Peer Norm			5.3			1.4			3.1			3.6		
14.01	CECS Administration	0801	-	-	-	1.0	0.7	*** _	-	-	-	1.7	0.4	***	-
14.01	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		
14.05 14.05	Biomed & Hum Factors Erg. Peer Norm	0840	8.0	7.8	*** _	-			2.3	12.4	*** _	10.6	8.9	***	
14.10	Electrical Engineering	0820	10.0	13.0	254.3%	1.0	19.7	*** -	6.5	5.6	138.9%	19.5	9.6	2	268.8%
14.10	Peer Norm			5.1			-			4.0			3.6		
14.19	Mechanical & Materials Engir	0830	13.0	5.7	188.9%	-	-	-	5.6	1.9	47.2%	19.9	4.2	1	165.2%
14.19	Peer Norm			3.0			0.6	***		4.0			2.6		
CECS	Average / Total		49.0	9.1	158.5%	8.0	1.6	48.6%	22.2	3.5	53.7%	88.1	6.2	1	191.8%
Peer No	orm Average			5.8			3.2			6.5			3.3		
	s Average / Total		404.2	4.3	82.9%	93.5	1.7	54.7%	166.7	2.7	56.2%	709.0	3.3	1	122.4%
Campus	s Peer Norm Average			5.2			3.0			4.7			2.7		

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

					Fall Ter	m Total St	tudent F	TE per F	aculty FTI	E					nstructi	
CECS TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Te	Tenured a		Otl	ner Regula	r Faculty	Sup	plemental F	Faculty	Inclu	All Facul		%	_	se per To lent FTE	
CIP Code Department Name Dept. #	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	SCH GRAD	Expense		f Peer
Code Department Name Dept. # Computer Science 0810 Computer Engineering	18.0	13.5	106.3%		22.3	99.6%	7.8	29.6	123.3%	36.4	16.7	97.1%	23.1%	\$4,206		.7%
11.01 Peer Norm		12.7			22.4			24.0			17.2		21.3%	\$4,963		
14.01 CECS Administration 0801 14.01 Peer Norm	-	-	-	1.0	8.9	*** _	-	-	-	1.7	5.3	*** _	0.5%	\$981 -	***	-
14.05 Biomed & Hum Factors 1 0840 14.05 Peer Norm	8.0	14.4	*** _	-	-	-	2.3	19.1	*** _	10.6	15.6	*** _	44.7%	\$8,379 -	***	-
14.10 Electrical Engineering 0820 14.10 Peer Norm	10.0	15.8 13.1	120.6%	1.0	29.3 18.9	155.0%	6.5	11.9 16.7	71.3%	19.5	13.8 12.9	107.0%	57.0%	\$6,226 \$8,841	70	0.4%
14.19 Mechanical & Materials 0830 14.19 Peer Norm	13.0	9.9 11.5	86.1%	-	10.1	***	5.6	13.2 24.7	53.4%	19.9	10.2 11.0	92.7%	29.9% 15.5%	\$8,226 \$8,285	99	0.3%
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	49.0	12.9 13.5	95.6%	8.0	23.3 24.1	96.5%	22.2	19.2 20.0	95.9%	88.1	14.2 14.9	95.8%	33.5%	\$5,438 \$5,971	91	.1%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average	404.2	17.2 15.3	112.5%	93.5	22.7 22.4	101.4%	166.7	18.4 20.6	89.2%	709.0	17.1 17.0	100.5%	12.4%	\$4,638 \$4,523	102	2.5%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECSTotal.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

			F	all Ter	m Undergi	raduate S	Student	FTE per	Faculty F	TE		
CONH UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT FTE	Ter	Tenured an nure-Track F		Oth	er Regular Fa	aculty	Suj	pplemental F	aculty	Incl	All Facul	,
	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		
CIP	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer
Code Department Name Dept.#	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
51.16 Nursing Administration 1401 Nursing 1411	13.0	6.9	101.0%	13.0	5.8	59.6%	8.0	9.1	123.6%	34.0	7.0	91.3%
51.16 Peer Norm		6.8			9.7			7.3			7.7	
	100		101 50/	100		62.224		0.4	100.407		- 0	22.22/
CONH Average / Total	13.0	6.9	101.5%	13.0	5.8	63.3%	8.0	9.1	100.4%	34.0	7.0	92.2%
Peer Norm Average		6.8			9.2			9.0			7.6	
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average	404.2	13.1 12.6	103.7%	93.5	21.1	99.4%	166.7	15.8 17.7	89.2%	709.0	13.9 14.2	98.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

					Fall T	erm Grad	uate Stud	lent FT	E per Facu	ılty FTE			
CONH GRADUATE STUDENT FTE		Тег	Tenured and nure-Track Fa	-	Otl	ner Regular F	aculty	Su	pplemental F	aculty	Incl	All Faculty udes Teaching A	
		#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of
CIP		Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer
Code Department Name	<u>Dept. #</u>	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
51.16 Nursing Administration Nursing	1401 1411	13.0	5.4	186.4%	13.0	1.9	242.9%	8.0	1.7	86.5%	34.0	3.2	145.0%
51.16 Peer Norm			2.9			0.8			2.0			2.2	
CONH Average / Total		13.0	5.4	216.9%	13.0	1.9	200.3%	8.0	1.7	83.1%	34.0	3.2	156.6%
Peer Norm Average			2.5			0.9			2.1			2.1	
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	4.3 5.2	82.9%	93.5	1.7 3.0	54.7%	166.7	2.7 4.7	56.2%	709.0	3.3 2.7	122.4%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

DELAWARE STUDY

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2002-2003

Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

			Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE												Direct Instructional	
CONH TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			%	Expense per Total Student FTE	
CUD		#	C. I. ETE/	% of	#	C. L. ETE/	% of	#	C. l. ETE/	% of	#	C. I. ETE/	% of	SCH GRAD	Expense	0/ CD
CIP <u>Code</u> Department Name	Dept. #	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	fTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm		Expense	% of Peer Norm
51.16 Nursing Administration Nursing	1401 1411	13.0	12.3	121.3%	13.0	7.7	67.5%	8.0	10.8	98.1%	34.0	10.2	100.6%	21.6%	\$8,234	90.3%
51.16 Peer Norm			10.1			11.4			11.0			10.1		13.9%	\$9,116	
CONH Average / Total Peer Norm Average		13.0	12.3 9.4	130.6%	13.0	7.7 10.5	73.3%	8.0	10.8 11.2	96.0%	34.0	10.2 9.7	104.7%	21.6% 13.9%	\$8,234 \$8,983	91.7%
Campus Average / Total Campus Peer Norm Average		404.2	17.2 15.3	112.5%	93.5	22.7 22.4	101.4%	166.7	18.4 20.6	89.2%	709.0	17.1 17.0	100.5%	12.4% 10.1%	\$4,638 \$4,523	102.5%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.