## Core Course Assessment Plan, 2018-19 Element 6: Natural Sciences

Please complete all sections; do not delete section information. Submit to Pilot when complete.

| SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION  |
|---|
| Course Dept. Prefix: _SM Course #:1010  |
| Semester when assessment will occur: ☐ Spring ☐ Summer x Fall Year: 2018 or 2019  |
| Course Title: Scientific Thought and Reasoning (proposed name change) Currently: Scientific Literacy for the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century   |
| Section Types and number of sections offered in 2018-19. Complete all that apply. x Dayton face-to-face Lake face-to-face  Dayton online Lake online  Dayton Honors Lake Honors   |
| Attributes:x_ Integrative Writing in Core Multicultural Competency in Core Service Learning in Core   |
| Dept. Core Assessment Lead: Chris Wyatt christopher.wyatt@wright.edu Name email   |
| List at least two assessors; this may include course instructor only if there are multiple sections <u>and</u> multiple instructors of the course. Note - The instructor may not assess his/her students' papers.  • Michelle Newsome  • Pat Sonner  • Kathy Engisch  • Chris Wyatt |
| SECTION 2: ASSESSMENT PLAN  |
| It is preferable to have the assessment plan for all sections of a course. If not feasible, please complete an assessment plan for separate sections.   |
| Course Outcomes Check here if Outcomes have been modified.  |
| The course must address all 5 outcomes but must assess a minimum of 1 outcome. Highlight in yellow the outcome(s) you will assess. If you have modified the outcomes, please insert here in place of standard outcomes.   |

- 1. Understand the nature of scientific inquiry;
- 2. Critically apply knowledge of scientific theory and methods of inquiry to evaluate information from a variety of sources;
- 3. Distinguish between science and technology and recognize their roles in society;
- 4. Demonstrate an awareness of theoretical, practical, creative and cultural dimensions of scientific inquiry; and

5. Discuss fundamental theories underlying modern science.

Assignments. Select **one** of the options below for assessment of one or more outcomes

| ☐ Writ<br>assignn |           | gnment(s) tl  | nat addresses/add  | dress outcome(s). Include outcome #, title and description for each  |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------|--|
| Outcon            | ne #:     | Title:        |                    |  |
| Descrip           | tion of   | assignment:   |                    |  |
| □ Essa            | y questi  | on(s). Provid | de the question(s) | and outcome(s) below.  |
| 1.                | Outcor    | me #:         | Essay Question:    |  |
|                   |           |               |                    |  |
|                   |           |               |                    |  |
| □ Pilot           | asynch    | ronous writt  | ten discussion tha | t addresses outcome(s). Provide the outcome # and question(s).   |
|                   |           |               |                    | stion:   |
|                   |           |               |                    | stion:   |
| 3.                | Outcor    | ne #:         | _ Discussion Que   | stion:   |
| once. (           | Courses   | that are IW   | or SRV/SRVI mus    | the above" should not be used as the correct answer more than st use written assignments for those attributes. Complete the benchmark 66.7_% of the question(s) correctly. |
| 1                 | Outcor    | ne #:1        |                    |  |
| 1.                |           |               |                    |  |
|                   |           |               |                    |  |
|                   |           |               |                    |  |
|                   |           |               |                    |  |
| 2.                | Outcor    | ne #:         |                    |  |
|                   | a)        | Question:     |                    |  |
|                   | b)        | Question:     |                    |  |
|                   |           |               |                    |  |
|                   | d)        | Question:     |                    |  |
| 3.                |           | me #:         |                    |  |
|                   | a)        | Question:     |                    |  |
|                   | b)        | Question:     |                    |  |
|                   | ۲)<br>د)  | Question:     |                    |  |
|                   | uj        | Question.     |                    |  |
| Collec            | ting an   | d submittin   | ng the student as  | signment(s)  |
| W                 | ill uploa | ad assignme   | nt(s) to Pilot     | Will give access to assignment(s) on Pilot   |
| Other:            | Share     | via email     |                    |  |

<u>Rubric Selection (A, B)</u>. Select the items you feel best match your assignment(s) in the rubric(s) on the next pages. Please highlight in yellow. **If this course has an IW attribute, please also see section B.** 

A. Element 6 Rubric. Select the item(s) you will use in your rubric by highlighting in yellow the item(s). You may select one or more of them. As there is overlap, choose the items that best fit the assignment you select for assessment. The items below are taken from the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU) Value Rubrics for Critical Thinking and Inquiry and Analysis.

IF YOU ARE USING MARKER QUESTIONS FOR THE OUTCOME, DO NOT USE THIS RUBRIC.

| Item   | Mastery<br>4   | Partial Mastery<br>3   | Progressing 2   | Emerging<br>1  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | AACU Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric Items  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Explanation of issues  | Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.              | Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.                                | Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/ or backgrounds unknown. | Issue/ problem to<br>be considered<br>critically is stated<br>without clarification<br>or description.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evidence  Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion | Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis.  Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly. | Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.  Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning. | from source(s) with   | Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/ evaluation.  Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Influence of context and assumptions   | Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.                | several relevant contexts when presenting a position.  | Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).           | Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions).  Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position. |  |  |  |  |  |

| Student's position<br>(perspective,<br>thesis/hypothesis)  | Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue.  Limits of position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) are acknowledged. Others' points of view are synthesized within position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis). | Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue.  Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis). | Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue.  | Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious.  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Conclusions and related outcomes (implications and consequences)   | Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.  | Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.                                  | Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly. | Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified. |
| Item   | Mastery<br>4  | Partial Mastery<br>3   | Progressing 2  | Emerging<br>1  |
|  | AACU <u>Inqu</u>  | iry and Analysis VALUE Rul   | bric Items   |  |
| Topic selection  Identifies a creative, focused, and manageable topic that addresses potentially significant yet previously lessexplored aspects of the topic. |   | Identifies a focused and manageable/ doable topic that appropriately addresses relevant aspects of the topic.  | Identifies a topic that while manageable/doable, is too narrowly focused and leaves out relevant aspects of the topic.   | Identifies a topic that is far too general and wideranging as to be manageable and doable.   |
| Existing Knowledge,<br>Research, and/or<br>Views   | Synthesizes in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.  | Presents in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.  | Presents information from relevant sources representing limited points of view/approaches.   | Presents information from irrelevant sources representing limited points of view/ approaches.  |

| Design Process               | All elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are skillfully developed. Appropriate methodology or theoretical frameworks may be synthesized from across disciplines or from relevant sub disciplines. | Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are appropriately developed, however, more subtle elements are ignored or unaccounted for. | Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are missing, incorrectly developed, or unfocused.                         | Inquiry design<br>demonstrates a<br>misunderstanding<br>of the methodology<br>or theoretical<br>framework. |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Analysis                     | Organizes and synthesizes evidence to reveal insightful patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.  | Organizes evidence to reveal important patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.  | Organizes evidence,<br>but the organization is<br>not effective in<br>revealing important<br>patterns, differences,<br>or similarities. | Lists evidence, but it is not organized and/ or is unrelated to focus.                                     |
| Conclusions                  | States a conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.  | States a conclusion focused solely on the inquiry findings. The conclusion arises specifically from and responds specifically to the inquiry findings.   | States a general conclusion that, because it is so general, also applies beyond the scope of the inquiry findings.                      | States an ambiguous, illogical, or unsupportable conclusion from inquiry findings.                         |
| Limitations and Implications | Insightfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.   | Discusses relevant and supported limitations and implications.   | Presents relevant and supported limitations and implications.   | Presents limitations<br>and implications,<br>but they are<br>possibly irrelevant<br>and unsupported.       |

# B. If this is an IW course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them. Please highlight in yellow.

## Evaluation of two partial and one complete Journal Article Reports written throughout course.

| Item   | Mastery<br>4  | Partial Mastery<br>3  | Progressing 2  | Emerging<br>1   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).                                 | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.  | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).          | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |
| Content<br>Development   | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.  | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.  | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.   | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.  |
| Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary). | Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices | Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices | Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation                             | Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation.  |
| Sources and<br>Evidence  | Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing   | Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.  | Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.             | Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.   |
| Control of Syntax<br>and Mechanics   | Uses graceful language<br>that skillfully<br>communicates meaning<br>to readers with clarity and  | Uses straightforward<br>language that generally<br>conveys meaning to<br>readers. The language in   | Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although   | Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.  |

|--|

\_\_\_\_\_

#### SECTION 3: UCRC COMMITTEE REVIEW ONLY. DO NOT delete this section.

| Item                    | Complete / NA / Revision Requested | Comments  |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Learning Outcomes for   | Complete                           |   |
| Element 6 Natural       |                                    |   |
| Science                 |                                    |   |
| Assignments matched     | Complete                           |   |
| to Element 6 LOs        |                                    |   |
| Rubric for LOs          | Complete                           | Appropriate benchmark set.                          |
| Rubric for IW Attribute | Complete                           | Good job identifying the rubric assessment criteria |
| Assigned Approved       | Complete                           |   |
| Reviewers               |                                    |   |

| Committee Review Completed |      |
|----------------------------|------|
| Committee Chair Signature  | Date |

## **Core Assessment Element 6 Report Template**

A separate report needs to be submitted for each assessment plan approved by the Undergraduate Core Oversight Committee (UCOC).

This report must be uploaded to the Pilot course called Element 6 Core Course Assessment 2018-19 (continuous year) by Tuesday, October 1, 2019. The Final Report Dropbox link can be accessed via Content > Dropbox (Plans, Reports) > Final Report Dropbox.

**Date Report Submitted:** 10/4/19

**Element: Core Element 6 – Natural Science** 

Academic Year: 2018-2019

**Course and Sections Assessed:** SM1010 Scientific Literacy for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, sections 1, 3 and 4 in Fall 2018.

### **Assessment Plan:**

The assessment plan approved by the UCOC is filed on the pilot page under Content > Plans > (corresponding course folder)

Describe the final assessment plan that was implemented and explain any changes made to the approved plan. The submitted plan was followed.

#### **Assessment Data Collection:**

Scores from ISTAR Questions are loaded into an Excel Spreadsheet. A subsequent tally of the number of correct responses out of 3 questions was added. This was calculated separately for each section and a grand average obtained.

Journal Article reports were assessed with the indicated rubric section ("Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields"), over the course of three sequential report assignments. 39 students were assessed.

#### **Assessment Results:**

Marker questions:

SM1010-01 15/23 answered at least 2/3 correctly, 65%

SM1010-03 14/18 answered at least 2/3 correctly, 78%

SM1010-04 4/9 answered at least 2/3 correctly, 44%

Grand average is 66% of students answered 66.7% of the marker questions correctly. Two of the three sections exceeded the benchmark of 50% of students answering 66.7% correctly.

Journal Article report:

Mean scores were 1.6 (Report #1), 2.0 (Report #2) and 2.3 (Report #3)

Conclusion: students are improving in their "Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields" over the duration of the semester but there is room for further improvement.

#### **Assessment Feedback:**

Describe how the results were shared with the instructors of the courses assessed and the department chair. Results were emailed to the instructors. SM1010 is run out of the College office. Results will be shared with the Dean in a face to face meeting.

Describe any changes taken to the course and assessment plan based on the assessment of the courses.

Describe how and when the assessment results will be shared with the department curriculum committee. There is no relevant department curriculum committee; all assessment results from this college will be shared with college faculty in an assessment forum.

## **Assessment Administration Feedback**

The assessment of the courses was part of the Core assessment cycle. The assessment plan was reviewed and approved by the UCOC. The UCOC provided a presentation on tools available to assist with the assessment, including Watermark Aqua.

Describe any changes you recommend about the oversight of the assessment process by the UCOC and the Academic Affairs office.

# **UCOC Report Review**

| Item                 | Complete/NA | <b>Revision Requested</b> | Comments |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Identified Outcome   | XX          |                           |          |
| Assessed             |             |                           |          |
| Identified Procedure | XX          |                           |          |
| for Assessment       |             |                           |          |
| Summary of Results   | XX          |                           |          |
| Results Shared with  | XX          |                           |          |
| Instructor, Dept     |             |                           |          |
| Curriculum           |             |                           |          |
| Committee, etc.      |             |                           |          |
| Plan for             | XX          |                           |          |
| Improvements         |             |                           |          |

| Committee Review Completed XXX |         |            |  |        |                             |  |
|--------------------------------|---------|------------|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| Committee Chair Signature      | Se. ann | M. Bowling |  | Dato   | Docombor 2021               |  |
| Committee Chair Signature      |         | 9          |  | Date _ | _December 2021 <sub>_</sub> |  |