

Core Course Assessment Plan, 2022-23
Element 4: Arts/Humanities

Please complete all sections; do not delete section information. Submit to Pilot when complete.

SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

Course Dept. Prefix: MUS Course #: 1210

Semester when assessment will occur: ☐ Fall 2022 ☒ Spring 2023 ☐ Summer 2023

Course Title: Music Listening

Section Types and number of sections offered in 2022-23. Complete all that apply.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dayton face-to-face	<input type="checkbox"/> Lake face-to-face
<input type="checkbox"/> Dayton online	<input type="checkbox"/> Lake online
<input type="checkbox"/> Dayton Honors	<input type="checkbox"/> Lake Honors

Note: If section at Lake Campus must include assessment of Lake Campus section(s) and faculty from Lake Campus as evaluators.

Attributes: ☐ Integrative Writing in Core
☐ Multicultural Competency in Core
☐ Service Learning in Core

Dept. Core Assessment Lead: Steven Aldredge steven.alredge@wright.edu
Name email

List at least two assessors; this may include course instructor only if there are multiple sections and multiple instructors of the course. Note - The instructor may not assess his/her students' papers.

- Ginger Minneman
- Steven Aldredge
- Stephen Wadsack
- Nathan Nagir
- Bill Jobert
- John Kurokawa

SECTION 2: ASSESSMENT PLAN

It is preferable to have the assessment plan for all sections of a course. If not feasible, please complete an assessment plan for separate sections.

Course Outcomes. ☐ Check here if Outcomes have been modified.

The course must address all 4 outcomes but must assess a minimum of 1 outcome. Highlight in yellow the outcome(s) you will assess. If you have modified the outcomes, please insert here in place of standard outcomes. The two rubrics that we are using match with 1 and 3 if want 2 or 4 we will assist you with identifying a rubric.

1. ****Critically analyze significant creative, literary, philosophical or religious works.**
2. Understand and discuss the complex blend of imaginative vision, socio-cultural context, ethical values, and aesthetic judgment in creative, philosophical, or religious works.
3. ****Recognize, evaluate and respond to creative, and philosophical, or religious works.**

4. Develop appropriate and ethical applications of knowledge in the humanities or the arts.

****Rubrics in Section A – Element – Fit with Outcomes #1 and #3. Assignments. Select one of the options below for assessment of one or more outcomes**

The goal of assessment is to determine the degree to which students are able to demonstrate the knowledge, skills, or competencies stated in each of the Core Learning Outcomes. Moreover, the assessment is intended to provide **your department** information regarding patterns of student performance relative to the learning outcomes so **you** may identify opportunities for actions to improve student attainment of the Core Learning Outcomes. With that goal in mind, please identify below the student work products you plan to examine to allow you to best determine the degree to which students are achieving the learning outcomes and to assist you with learning improvement efforts.

☐ Written assignment(s) that addresses/address outcome(s). Include outcome #, title and description for each assignment.

Outcome #: 1 Title: Essay on Mozart's Symphony No. 40 in G minor

Description of assignment:

Please write an essay discussing Mozart's Symphony No. 40 in G minor. You could write quite a bit about this work and not just because it is nearly 30 minutes long. Each movement is a wonderful example of a movement of a typical classical symphony in form and function, although with more than a few surprises. *What forms and texture are you able to discern in each movement? Where do the melodies go within the orchestra? For that matter, what is the makeup of the ensemble? What strikes you about how each movement starts? About how each movement ends? Are there any atypical idiosyncrasies you can hear? How are they different from what a "run of the mill" classical symphony would typically do? Where does Mozart take the mode both within and without each movement?*

☐ Essay question(s). Provide the question(s) and outcome(s) below.

1. Outcome #: _____ Essay Question: _____
2. Outcome #: _____ Essay Question: _____
3. Outcome #: _____ Essay Question: _____

☐ Pilot asynchronous written discussion that addresses outcome(s). Provide the outcome # and question(s).

1. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____
2. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____
3. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____

☐ Multiple Choice or T/F Marker questions – 3 to 4 questions per outcome. List the outcome and question numbers. A rubric is not used for Marker questions. "All the above" should not be used as the correct answer more than once. **Courses that are IW or SRV/SRVI must use written assignments for those attributes.** Complete the benchmark: We expect _____% of students to answer _____% of the question(s) correctly.

1. Outcome #: _____
 - a) Question: _____
 - b) Question: _____
 - c) Question: _____
 - d) Question: _____
2. Outcome #: _____

- a) Question: _____
 b) Question: _____
 c) Question: _____
 d) Question: _____

3. Outcome #: _____

- a) Question: _____
 b) Question: _____
 c) Question: _____
 d) Question: _____

Collecting and submitting the student assignment(s)

☒ Will upload assignment(s) to Pilot

☐ Will give access to assignment(s) on Pilot

☐ Paper Copies: Student workers will copy the assignment before any grading begins and scan a separate .pdf of every student's assignment. The student worker will then create a zip file of the ungraded student work to be sent to Tammy Kahrig for entry into the Aqua system. Once the student work has been entered into Aqua, faculty listed as "Assessors" will be contacted to review a random sample of the work according to the rubric and results will be compiled and returned to your department.

Other: _____

SECTION: RUBRIC SELECTION (A, B, C, & D)

Select the items you feel best match your assignment(s) in the rubric(s) on the next pages. Please highlight in yellow. **If this course has an IW attribute, please also complete section B. If the course has an MC attribute, please complete Section C. If the course has an SRV/SRVI attribute, please complete section D**

A. Element 4 Rubric. Select the item(s) you will use in your rubric by highlighting in yellow the item(s). You may select one or more of them. As there is overlap, choose the items that best fit the assignment you select for assessment. The items below are taken from the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU) Value Rubrics for Undergraduate Education.

If you have an MC, IW, and/or an SRV/SRVI attribute, please also see pages 6, 7, and 8.

IF YOU ARE USING MARKER QUESTIONS FOR THE OUTCOME, DO NOT USE THIS RUBRIC.

Benchmark: 80 % achieve a 3 level of performance rating on the rubric.

	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Benchmark 1
Acquiring Competencies	Reflect: Evaluates creative process and product using domain-appropriate criteria.	Create: Creates an entirely new object, solution, or idea that is appropriate to the domain.	Adapt: Successfully adapts an appropriate exemplar to his/her own specifications.	Model: Successfully reproduces an appropriate exemplar.
Taking Risks	Actively seeks out and follows through on untested and potentially risky directions or approaches to the assignment in the final product.	Incorporates new directions or approaches to the assignment in the final product.	Considers new directions or approaches without going beyond the guidelines of the assignment.	Stays strictly within the guidelines of the assignment

	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Benchmark 1
Solving Problems	Not only develops a logical, consistent plan to solve problem, but recognizes consequences of solution and can articulate reason for choosing solution.	Having selected from among alternatives, develops a logical, consistent plan to solve the problem.	Considers and rejects less acceptable approaches to solving problem.	Only a single approach is considered and is used to solve the problem.
Embracing Contradictions	Integrates alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas fully.	Incorporates alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in an exploratory way.	Includes (recognizes the value of) alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in a small way.	Acknowledges (mentions in passing) alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas.
Innovative Thinking	Extends a novel or unique idea, question, format, or product to create new knowledge or knowledge that crosses boundaries.	Creates a novel or unique idea, question, format, or product.	Experiments with creating a novel or unique idea, question, format, or product.	Reformulates a collection of available ideas.
Connecting, Synthesizing, Transforming	Transforms ideas or solutions into entirely new forms.	Synthesizes ideas or solutions into a coherent whole.	Connects ideas or solutions in novel ways.	Recognizes existing connections among ideas or solutions.
Comprehension	Recognizes implications of the arrangement or composition's contexts, perspectives, or issues beyond the assigned task within the classroom or beyond the composer's explicit message including broader social and political implications of the time period.	Uses the text, general background knowledge, and/or specific knowledge of how compositional techniques are representative of the time period and draws basic inferences about context, purpose, and influential impact of the work.	Evaluates how compositional techniques are representative of the time period and draws basic inferences about context, purpose, or influential impact of the work.	Apprehends vocabulary appropriately to paraphrase or summarize the information about the composition and composer.
Genres	Uses ability to identify texts within and across genres, monitoring and adjusting reading strategies and expectations based on generic nuances of particular texts.	Articulates distinctions among genres and their characteristic conventions.	Reflects on reading experiences across a variety of genres, reading both with and against the grain experimentally and intentionally.	Applies tacit genre knowledge to a variety of classroom reading assignments in productive, if unreflective, ways.
Relationship to Text	Evaluates texts for scholarly significance and relevance within and across the various disciplines, evaluating them according to their contributions and consequences.	Uses texts in the context of scholarship to develop a foundation of disciplinary knowledge and to raise and explore important questions.	Engages texts with the intention and expectation of building topical and world knowledge.	Approaches texts in the context of assignments with the intention and expectation of finding right answers and learning facts and concepts to display for credit.
Analysis	Evaluates strategies for relating ideas, text structure, or other textual features in order to build knowledge or insight within and across texts and disciplines.	Identifies relations among ideas, text structure, or other textual features to evaluate how they support an advanced understanding of the text as a whole.	Recognizes relations among parts or aspects of a text, such as effective or ineffective arguments or literary features, in considering how these contribute to a basic understanding of the text as a whole.	Identifies aspects of a text (e.g., content, structure, or relations among ideas) as needed to respond to questions posed in assigned tasks.

	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Benchmark 1
Interpretation	Provides evidence not only that s/he can read by using an appropriate epistemological lens but that s/he can also engage in reading as part of a continuing dialogue within and beyond a discipline or a community of readers.	Articulates an understanding of the multiple ways of reading and the range of interpretive strategies particular to one's discipline(s) or in a given community of readers.	Demonstrates that s/he can read purposefully, choosing among interpretive strategies depending on the purpose of the reading.	Can identify purpose(s) for reading, relying on an external authority such as an instructor for clarification of the task.
Reader's Voice	Discusses texts with an independent intellectual and ethical disposition so as to further or maintain disciplinary conversations.	Elaborates on the texts (through interpretation or questioning) so as to deepen or enhance an ongoing discussion.	Discusses texts in structured conversations (such as in a classroom) in ways that contribute to a basic, shared understanding of the text.	Comments about texts in ways that preserve the author's meanings and link them to the assignment.

B. Integrated Writing Rubric (if applicable) If this is an IW course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them. Please highlight in yellow.

Benchmark for Rubric _____

Item	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Emerging 1
Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).	Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.	Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).	Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions).	Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience).
Content Development	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.
Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary).	Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices	Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices	Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation	Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation.
Sources and Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing	Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.
Control of Syntax and Mechanics	Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.	Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.

C. Multicultural Competence Rubric (if applicable) If this is an MC course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them.

Benchmark for Rubric _____

Item	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Emerging 1
Cultural self- awareness	Articulates insights into own cultural rules and biases (e.g., seeking complexity; aware of how her/his experiences have shaped these rules, and how to recognize and respond to cultural biases, resulting in a shift in self-description.)	Recognizes new perspectives about own cultural rules and biases (e.g., not looking for sameness; comfortable with the complexities that new perspectives offer.)	Identifies own cultural rules and biases (e.g., with a strong preference for those rules shared with own cultural group and seeks the same in others.)	Shows minimal awareness of own cultural rules and biases (even those shared with own cultural group(s)) (e.g. uncomfortable with identifying possible cultural differences with others.)
Knowledge of cultural worldview frameworks	Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates adequate understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates partial understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates surface understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.
Empathy	Interprets intercultural experience from the perspectives of own and more than one worldview and demonstrates ability to act in a supportive manner that recognizes the feelings of another cultural group.	Recognizes intellectual and emotional dimensions of more than one worldview and sometimes uses more than one worldview in interactions.	Identifies components of other cultural perspectives but responds in all situations with own worldview.	Views the experience of others but does so through own cultural worldview.
Verbal and nonverbal communication	Articulates a complex understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication (e.g., demonstrates understanding of the degree to which people use physical contact while communicating in different cultures or use direct/indirect and explicit/implicit meanings) and is able to skillfully negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.	Recognizes and participates in cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and begins to negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.	Identifies some cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and is aware that misunderstandings can occur based on those differences but is still unable to negotiate a shared understanding.	Has a minimal level of understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication; is unable to negotiate a shared understanding.
Curiosity	Asks complex questions about other cultures, seeks out and articulates answers to these questions that reflect multiple cultural perspectives.	Asks deeper questions about other cultures and seeks out answers to these questions.	Asks simple or surface questions about other cultures.	States minimal interest in learning more about other cultures.
Openness	Initiates and develops interactions with culturally different others. Suspends judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others.	Begins to initiate and develop interactions with culturally different others. Begins to suspend judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others.	Expresses openness to most, if not all, interactions with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, and is aware of own judgment and expresses a willingness to change.	Receptive to interacting with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, but is unaware of own judgment.

Submit the entire form to the Pilot Assessment Plan Drop Box located in the Course: Element 4 Core Course Assessment 2022-2023 – located in Continuous Year.

MUS 1210 FINAL REPORT

I. Core Learning Outcomes Assessed (list):

1. Critically analyze significant creative, literary, philosophical or religious works.

II. Procedures Used for Assessment

For each learning outcome addressed by this report, state where and when data were collected (in a course, exam, or performance) and how they were evaluated (e.g. rubric, rating scale, key questions from exams, etc.). Specify the course or courses where students demonstrated the outcomes (if applicable) and the assignment(s) that you used for assessment purposes (e.g., capstone project, final examination, research paper, student presentation, performance, portfolio, etc.).

Data was collected from Spring, 2023, MUS 1210-01, Dayton Campus. Listening Essays were used for assessment.

Procedures:

Essays were selected at random implementing the following process: Going in alphabetical order, using last names, the essay of the first student of each letter of the alphabet. If a letter of the alphabet had three or more students whose last name began with that letter, the first and last essays were chosen.

Once the essays were selected at random, each essay was made anonymous, all identification marks were removed as were citations. Papers were then assigned to assessors to score one to four on the selected portion of the rubric for specific assessment (element 4). Assessors were not assigned to assess papers from a course that they taught.

After scores were collected from the score sheet for each the rubric required for the course (Element 4), the aggregate score was collected and in this report. If a course used the same artifacts for each of the sections, then an aggregate score of all sections was collected and averaged between the number divided by the number of essays assessed. All supporting documentation is included in a folders for each course assessed.

Please write an essay discussing Mozart's Symphony No. 40 in G minor. You could write quite a bit about this work and not just because it is nearly 30 minutes long. Each movement is a wonderful example of a movement of a typical classical symphony in form and function, although with more than a few surprises. *What forms and texture are you able to discern in each movement? Where do the melodies go within the orchestra? For that matter, what is the makeup of the ensemble? What strikes you about how each movement starts? About how each movement ends? Are there any atypical idiosyncrasies you can hear? How are they different from what a "run of the mill" classical symphony would typically do? Where does Mozart take the mode both within and without each movement?*

RUBRIC SELECTED: Comprehension

4=Recognizes implications of the arrangement or composition's contexts, perspectives, or issues beyond the assigned task within the classroom or beyond the composer's explicit message including broader social and political implications of the time period.

3=Uses the text, general background knowledge, and/or specific knowledge of how compositional techniques are representative of the time period and draws basic inferences about context, purpose, and influential impact of the work.

2=Evaluates how compositional techniques are representative of the time period and draws basic inferences about context, purpose, or influential impact of the work.

1=Apprehends vocabulary appropriately to paraphrase or summarize the information about the composition and composer.

III. Summary of Assessment Results:

What did you find from your assessments? What did your data reveal about how well students are achieving the Core Learning Outcomes that you listed above? After analyzing your data, present a summary of the data, clearly indicating what any numbers represent (e.g. percentages? means? medians?). Please number each corresponding assessment, summary, and analysis

SCORE TOTALS: ARTS AND HUMANITIES ELEMENT 4

Score-#of Students receiving that score

1-1 (6.25); **2**-3 (18.75%) **3**-6(37.5%) **4**-6 (37.5%)

75% received a score of 3 or higher (assessment benchmark not met)

Benchmark Met Yes or **X**No

If not met, please identify conditions (if any) that may have impacted these findings.

This was a listening Journal and was more informal writing style. There is later discussion in this report if the rubric could have been altered or better chosen.

Benchmark: 80 % achieve a 3 level of performance rating on the rubric.

IV. ACTIONS TAKEN/PLANNED TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING IN THE CORE

The results of the assessment results have been shared with the curriculum committee, who also acted as assessors. Results will also be shared with Dan Zehringer, Director of SoFPA.

Accessors found that the essays and the questions in the essay prompt, as well as the intent of the essay itself, did not match with the comprehension rubric that was selected. Finding a way to connect the subject matter (Mozart's Symphony 40) to time and place, so that the student is required to draw those connections between compositional style and the period audience for which it was intended. Also, it was suggested that, because the essay focused on listening, the phrase "compositional techniques" something that doesn't imply score study (or essay could require students to follow a score while listening). "Critically analyze" implies a depth that a listening essay does not warrant, unless specified by the instructor.

Another idea that will be discussed will be to consider changing the rubric, or further altering it so that it matches the given assessed assignment. Though the committee made some changes, the rubric as currently worded still did not perfectly match the assignment. The curriculum committee plans to further study the possibility of changing the rubric for future assessments.