Core Course Assessment Plan, 2019-20 Element 2: Mathematics

Please complete all sections; do not delete section information. Submit to Pilot when complete.

SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMA	SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION					
Course Dept. Prefix:MTH	Course #: 2300	<u> </u>				
Semester when assessment will	occur: Fall Year: 2019					
Course Title: <u>Calculus I</u>						
Section Types and number of sections offered in 2019-20. Complete all that apply. X Dayton face-to-face Dayton online Lake face-to-face Dayton Honors Lake Honors						
Attributes: Integrative Writing in Core Multicultural Competency in Core Service Learning in Core						
Dept. Core Assessment Lead:	Joe Zhou Will Zhang Name	xiangqian.zhou@wright.edu weiqun.zhang@wright.edu email				

List at least two assessors; this may include course instructor only if there are multiple sections <u>and</u> multiple instructors of the course. Note - The instructor may not assess his/her students' papers.

Phan Loi
Tom Svobodny

SECTION 2: ASSESSMENT PLAN

It is preferable to have the assessment plan for all sections of a course. If not feasible, please complete an assessment plan for separate sections.

<u>Course Outcomes.</u> Check here if Outcomes have been modified.

The course must address all 5 outcomes but must assess a minimum of 1 outcome. Highlight in yellow the outcome(s) you will assess. If you have modified the outcomes, please insert here in place of standard outcomes.

- 1. Identify the various elements of a mathematical or statistical model;
- 2. Determine the values of specific components of a mathematical/statistical model or relationships among various components;
- 3. Apply a mathematical/statistical model to a real-world problem;
- Interpret and draw conclusions from graphical, tabular, and other numerical or statistical representations of data; and
- 5. Summarize and justify analyses of mathematical/statistical models for problems, expressing solutions using an appropriate combination of words, symbols, tables or graphs.

Assignments. Select one of the options below for assessment of one or more outcomes

□ Written assignment(s) that addresses/address outcome(s). Include outcome #, title and description for each assignment.

Outcome #: _____ Title:

Description of assignment:

Essay question(s). Provide the question(s) and outcome(s) below.

1. Outcome #: <u>3</u> Essay Question: <u>an optimization problem using derivatives</u>

A wave of wavelength $\lambda > 0$ traveling in deep water has speed v given by $v = k \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{8}{\lambda}}$,

where K is a positive constant. As λ varies, does such a wave have a maximum or minimum speed? If so, what is the maximum or minimum speed? Justify your answer.

2. Outcome #: ____ Essay Question: _____ Read information from the graph of a fucntion _____

Let f(x) be the function whose graph is given below. Calculate the following:

- $\int_0^9 f(x) dx$
- $\int_0^9 |f(x)| dx$
- F'(2) where F(x) = $\int_0^{x^2} f(x) dx$



□ Pilot asynchronous written discussion that addresses outcome(s). Provide the outcome # and question(s).

1. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____

Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: ______
Outcome #: Discussion Question: ______

□ Multiple Choice or T/F Marker questions – 3 to 4 questions per outcome. List the outcome and question numbers. A rubric is not used for Marker questions. "All the above" should not be used as the correct answer more than once. **Courses that are IW or SRV/SRVI must use written assignments for those attributes**. Complete the benchmark: We expect _____% of students to answer ____% of the question(s) correctly.

Collecting and submitting the student assignment(s)

_____ Will upload assignment(s) to Pilot ______ Will give access to assignment(s) on Pilot

Other: photo copies provided upon request

<u>Rubric Selection (A, B)</u>. Select the items you feel best match your assignment(s) in the rubric(s) on the next pages. Please highlight in yellow. **If this course has an IW attribute, please also see section B.**

A. Element 2 Rubric._Select the item(s) you will use in your rubric by highlighting in yellow the item(s). You may select one or more of them. As there is overlap, choose the items that best fit the assignment you select for assessment. The items below are taken from the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU) Value Rubrics for Math Literacy.

IF YOU ARE USING MARKER QUESTIONS FOR THE OUTCOME, DO NOT USE THIS RUBRIC.

	Capstone 4	Milestones 2		Benchmark 1
Interpretation Ability to explain information presented in mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams, tables, words)	Provides accurate explanations of information presented in mathematical forms. Makes appropriate inferences based on that information. For example, accurately explains the trend data shown in a graph and makes reasonable predictions regarding what the data suggest about future events.	Provides accurate explanations of information presented in mathematical forms. <i>For</i> <i>instance, accurately explains the</i> <i>trend data shown in a graph.</i>	Provides somewhat accurate explanations of information presented in mathematical forms, but occasionally makes minor errors related to computations or units. For instance, accurately explains trend data shown in a graph, but may miscalculate the slope of the trend line.	Attempts to explain information presented in mathematical forms, but draws incorrect conclusions about what the information means. For example, attempts to explain the trend data shown in a graph, but will frequently misinterpret the nature of that trend, perhaps by confusing positive and negative trends.
Representation Ability to convert relevant information into various mathematical forms (e.g., equations, graphs, diagrams, tables, words)	Skillfully converts relevant information into an insightful mathematical portrayal in a way that contributes to a further or deeper understanding.	Competently converts relevant information into an appropriate and desired mathematical portrayal.	Completes conversion of information but resulting mathematical portrayal is only partially appropriate or accurate.	Completes conversion of information but resulting mathematical portrayal is inappropriate or inaccurate.
Calculation	Calculations attempted are essentially all successful and sufficiently comprehensive to solve the problem. Calculations are also presented elegantly (clearly, concisely, etc.)	Calculations attempted are essentially all successful and sufficiently comprehensive to solve the problem.	Calculations attempted are either unsuccessful or represent only a portion of the calculations required to comprehensively solve the problem.	Calculations are attempted but are both unsuccessful and are not comprehensive.
Application / Analysis Ability to make judgments and draw appropriate conclusions based on the quantitative analysis of data, while recognizing the limits of this analysis	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for deep and thoughtful judgments, drawing insightful, carefully qualified conclusions from this work.	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for competent judgments, drawing reasonable and appropriately qualified conclusions from this work.	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for workmanlike (without inspiration or nuance, ordinary) judgments, drawing plausible conclusions from this work.	Uses the quantitative analysis of data as the basis for tentative, basic judgments, although is hesitant or uncertain about drawing conclusions from this work.

	Capstone 4	Milest	ones 2	Benchmark 1
Assumptions Ability to make and evaluate important assumptions in estimation, modeling, and data analysis	Explicitly describes assumptions and provides compelling rationale for why each assumption is appropriate. Shows awareness that confidence in final conclusions is limited by the accuracy of the assumptions.	Explicitly describes assumptions and provides compelling rationale for why assumptions are appropriate.	Explicitly describes assumptions.	Attempts to describe assumptions.
Communication Expressing quantitative evidence in support of the argument or purpose of the work (in terms of what evidence is used and how it is formatted, presented, and contextualized)	Uses quantitative information in connection with the argument or purpose of the work, presents it in an effective format, and explicates it with consistently high quality.	Uses quantitative information in connection with the argument or purpose of the work, though data may be presented in a less than completely effective format or some parts of the explication may be uneven.	Uses quantitative information, but does not effectively connect it to the argument or purpose of the work.	Presents an argument for which quantitative evidence is pertinent, but does not provide adequate explicit numerical support. (May use quasi-quantitative words such as "many," "few," "increasing," "small," and the like in place of actual quantities.)

B. If this is an IW course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them. Please highlight in yellow.

ltem	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Emerging 1
Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).	Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.	Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).	Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions).	Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience).
Content Development	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.
Formal and informal rules inherent in the	Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a	Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline	Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline	Attempts to use a consistent system for basic

expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary).	wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices	and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices	and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation	organization and presentation.
Sources and Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing	Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.
Control of Syntax and Mechanics	Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.	Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.

The next section is for the University Core Oversight Committee (UCOC) Review only.

UCOC Review

Item	Complete/NA	Revision Requested	Comments
Learning Outcomes for	XX		
Global Traditions			
Rubric for LOs	XX		
Rubric for MC	N/A		
Attribute			
Rubric for IW Attribute	XX		
Rubric for SRV/SRVI	N/A		
Attribute			
Assigned	XX		
Departmental			
Reviewers			

Committee Review Completed XX

Committee Chair Signature _____ M. bowling _____ Da

ate	12/201	9
ALC.		J

Fall 2019 MTH 2300 - Core Assessment Element 2 Report

Date Report Submitted: May 4, 2021 (Delayed due to pandemic)

Element: Core Element 2 – Mathematics

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Course and Sections Assessed: Fall 2019 - MTH 2300 all Sections.

Assessment Plan:

Following the assessment plan, we have included two problems for assessment purposes in the dept common final. One problem is on optimization using derivatives, and the other one is on the relationship between definite integrals and area under a curve. Hardcopies of students' work were collected and a random sample containing 50% were selected and graded based on the two rubrics specified in the assessment plan, also attached below.

Rubrics used for Assessment

	Capstone		Milestones	Benchmark
	4	3	2	1
Interpretation Ability to expl ain informatio n presented in mathematicalf orms (e.g., equ ations, graphs, diagrams, tabl es, words)	Provides accurate explanations of i nformation presented in mathemati cal forms. Makes appropriate infere nces based on that information. For example, accurately explains the trend data shown in a graph and mak es reasonable predictions regarding what t he data suggest about future events.	Provides accur ate explanatio ns of informat ion presented in mathematic al forms. For i nstance, accurate by explains the tr end data shown i n a graph.	Provides somewhat accurate e xplanations of information pr esented in mathematical forms , but occasionally makes mino r errors related to computatio ns or units. <i>For instance, accurate lyexplains trend data shown in a gr aph, but may miscalculate the slope of the trend line.</i>	Attempts to explain information pre sented in mathematical forms, but d raws incorrect conclusions about w hat the information means. For exam ple, attempts to explain the trend data sho wn in a graph, but will frequently misinter pret the nature of that trend, perhaps by co nfusing positive and negative trends.
Calculation	Calculations attempted are esse ntially all successful and suffici ently comprehensive to solve t he problem. Calculations are al so presented elegantly (clearly, concisely, etc.)	Calculations attempted ar e essentially all successf ul and suffic iently compr ehensiveto s olve the pro blem.	Calculations attempted are either unsuccessful or represent only a portion of the calculations requ ired to comprehensively solve th e problem.	Calculations are attempted but are both unsuccessful a nd are not comprehensive.

Assessment Data Collection:

Problem #1: A wave of wavelength $\lambda > 0$ travelling in deep water has speed v given by

$$v = K \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{8}{\lambda}}$$

Where K is a positive constant. As λ varies, does such a wave have a maximum or minimum speed? If so, what is the maximum or minimum speed? Justify your answer.

	Interpretation	Calculation
Score of 4	1	1
Score of 3	4	2
Score of 2	12	12
Score of 1	42	44
Score of 0	3	3
Average Score	1.3226	1.2581

Problem #2:



Calculate the following:

(a)
$$\int_0^9 f(x) dx$$
 (b) $\int_0^9 |f(x)| dx$ (c) $F''(2)$ where $F(x) = \int_0^{x^2} f(t) dt$

	Interpretation	Calculation
Score of 4	5	6
Score of 3	11	9
Score of 2	19	19
Score of 1	23	24

Score of 0	4	4
Average Score	1.8387	1.8226

Assessment Results:

The two problems we used for assessment are very standard exercises as they represent some of the basic outcomes that are expected from students. We have purposely made them more conceptual as compared to direct calculation problems. But the computations required were at the level of proficiency that was expected.

For the first problem, most of the students understood the problem correctly, and about half of them were able to use correct calculations and arguments to complete the problem. For the other half of the students, the most common mistakes occurred when they try to find the derivative of the given function. Some didn't differentiate the square root function or the reciprocal function correctly, some didn't apply the chain rule appropriately. One possible reason is that, despite the function was given, the variable was λ instead of x. Students were so used to see functions in terms of x and seems to easily get confused when the variable is given a different name.

Students performed much better on Problem #2. Most of them solved the first two parts correctly, which showed a good understanding of definite integrals. However, only a few students managed to work out part (c), which involves using the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the chain rule at the same time.

Assessment Feedback:

We uploaded the report together with the raw data (in excel) to the Pilot Page named Calculus Sequence: faculty and committee; where every instructor can login to view the result, including the department chair.

Based on the assessment result and feedback from the instructors, we plan in the future to have more conceptual problems for students to practice. We should also have more exercises with various parameters to help the students familiarize with real-life problems. Possible venues could be homework, labs, and midterms. This way, we will encourage the students to think more every time they do exercise and hopefully this will help them gain a better understanding of the topics.

The results will be shared with the department curriculum committee through our department Pliot page, either before or shortly after it is submitted to the Undergraduate Core Oversight Committee (UCOC).

Assessment Administration Feedback

The whole process of core assessment was well structured and carefully planned. The only thing we would like to suggest is the following: since our department (math and stat) has a relatively large number of core courses, it would be very helpful if we can avoid doing the core assessment for all of them in one academic year, in other words, we can split these core classes into several groups and let each group have a different 5-year cycle for assessment purposes.

UCOC Report Review

Item	Complete/NA	Revision Requested	Comments
Identified Outcome	XX		
Assessed			
Identified Procedure	XX		
for Assessment			
Summary of Results	XX		
Results Shared with	XX		
Instructor, Dept			
Curriculum			
Committee, etc.			
Plan for	XX		
Improvements			

Committee Review Completed XXX

۵. مس ۲۸. مسلوب میں المان کے المان کے