I. PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

Program Learning Outcomes align with the Council for Exceptional Children standards (CEC, 2012), the Ohio Standards for the Teaching Profession (OSTP), the International Society for Technology in Education standards (ISTE), and the Ohio Preparation Requirements.

Council for Exceptional Children Standards
Standard 1 Learner Development and Individual Learning Differences - Beginning special education professionals understand how exceptionalities may interact with development and learning and use this knowledge to provide meaningful and challenging learning experiences for individuals with exceptionalities.

Standard 2 Learning Environments - Beginning special education professionals create safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with exceptionalities become active and effective learners and develop emotional well-being, positive social interactions, and self-determination.

Standard 3 Curricular Content Knowledge - Beginning special education professionals use knowledge of general and specialized curricula to individualize learning for individuals with exceptionalities.

Standard 4 Assessment - Beginning special education professionals use multiple methods of assessment and data sources in making educational decisions.

Standard 5 Instructional Planning and Strategies - Beginning special education professionals select, adapt, and use a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities.

Standard 6 Professional Learning and Ethical Practice - Beginning special education professionals use foundational knowledge of the field and their professional ethical principles and practice standards to inform special education practice, to engage in lifelong learning, and to advance the profession.

Standard 7 Collaboration - Beginning special education professionals collaborate
with families, other educators, related service providers, individuals with exceptionailities, and personnel from community agencies in culturally responsive ways to address the needs of individuals with exceptionalities across a range of learning experiences.

II. PROCEDURES USED FOR ASSESSMENT

A. Direct Assessment

Key Assessment #1 OAE for Intervention Specialist – Prior to Student Teaching
Key Assessment #2 IS course grades/GPA – End of Program GPA
Key Assessment #3 Unit Plan – EDS 6610/4610
Key Assessment #4 Student Teaching Field Experience Form – EDS 6730/4730
Key Assessment #5 edTPA - EDS 6990/4990
Key Assessment #6 Transitions Assessment – EDS 6650/4650
CEC Standards, Key #4
Key Assessment - OAE Foundations of Reading 090

B. Scoring of Student Work

Key Assessment #1 OAE for Intervention Specialist – Prior to Student Teaching – Externally Scored by Pearson
Key Assessment #2 IS course grades/GPA – End of Program GPA – WSU Calculation
Key Assessment #3 Unit Plan – EDS 6610/4610 – Rubric scored by course instructor
Key Assessment #4 Student Teaching Field Experience Form – EDS 6730/4730 – Rubric scored by University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher
Key Assessment #5 edTPA - EDS 6990/4990 – Rubric scored externally by Pearson
Key Assessment #6 Transitions Assessment – EDS 6650/4650 – Rubric scored by course instructor
CEC Standards, Key #4 - Scored by all faculty
Key Assessment #7 OAE 090 Foundations of Reading – Prior to Student Teaching – Externally Scored by Pearson

C. Indirect Assessment

Exit surveys, completer surveys, employer surveys- we survey all graduating students on how well they feel they mastered the learning out come in the program. In addition, we survey employers about the performance if our graduates and host an annual stakeholder meeting with alumni, cooperating teachers, students, faculty, and supervisors to get feedback on the performance of the program.

III. ASSESSMENT RESULTS/INFORMATION:
Key Assessment #1 OAE for Intervention Specialist – Prior to Student Teaching – Externally Scored by Pearson

Key Assessment #2 IS course grades/GPA – End of Program GPA – WSU Calculation

Key Assessment #3 Unit Plan – EDS 6610/4610 – Rubric scored by course instructor

Key Assessment #4 Student Teaching Field Experience Form – EDS 6730/4730 – Rubric scored by University Supervisor and Cooperating Teacher

Key Assessment #5 edTPA - EDS 6990/4990 – Rubric scored externally by Pearson

Key Assessment #6 Transitions Assessment – EDS 6650/4650 – Rubric scored by course instructor

CEC Standards, Key #4

OAE 090 Foundations of Reading

Key Assessment #1 73.1% pass rate
WSU was at or below the state average in each of the 4 tested domains.

Key Assessment #2 - All candidates have met the GPA requirements.

Key Assessment #3 - All candidates passed

Key Assessment #4 Data from CPAST. - In general, most candidates met or exceeded expectations on all criteria.

Key Assessment #5 - Of the 8 candidates, 7 passed the edTPA. Areas of improvement include Task 1.3 in which candidates scored below state level. Task 3.11 and 3.15 were at or above state level.

Key Assessment #6 - All candidates passed this assessment. In general, the candidates met the expectations related to the learning outcomes and some exceeded expectations. 3 candidates did not meet expectations on criterion 6.

CEC Standards, Key #4 - All candidates at least met the standards. Candidates exceeded standards on criterions 2, 3, 5, and 6.

OAE 090 Foundations of Reading- all candidates passed

Key Assessment #1 Improved in 9 of 10 competencies from 2018-19 year
Decreased in competency score related to formal/informal assessments

Key Assessment #2 Candidates are successful in program courses, all of which align to CEC standards.
Key Assessment #3 Candidates are able to effectively plan a unit that aligns with the CEC standards and meet student needs

Key Assessment #4 Strengths collaborating with others; responding to critical feedback; providing a safe environment; and professional dispositions.

Key Assessment #4 Areas to Improve facilitating students ability to think critically; use of effective assessment techniques

Key Assessment #5 Strengths candidates skills at deepening students learning and analyzing student language use/subject specific learning

Key Assessment #5 Areas to Improve using knowledge of students to inform teaching/learning; analyzing teaching effectiveness; and analysis of student learning

Key Assessment #6 Candidates are able to effectively develop a transition plan for adults with disabilities

IV. ACTIONS TO IMPROVE STUDENT LEARNING

This report is shared with the faculty (full-time and adjunct) who teach in the Intervention Specialist program. Additionally, the report is posted in the Intervention Specialist Pilot page. The IS program faculty and other college personnel meet monthly to discuss data and to make program decisions.

Based on 2020-21 data, the program continued monitoring and supporting all students. Additionally, a greater focus on writing Individualized Educational Plans (IEPs) was incorporated into coursework. The program faculty also re-examined the required coursework and made modifications to the Program of Study based on that.

2.3.21 Meeting Minutes are attached - The IS committee reviewed the annual data for CAEP requirements.

V. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Additional documentation, when provided, is stored in the internal Academic Program Assessment of Student Learning SharePoint site.