## **Core Course Assessment Plan**

| Section 1: General Information  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Course Dept.: EC Course #: 2100   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term when assessment will occur: ⊠ Spring □ Fall  | Year: 2018  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course Title: Economics of state and society  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section Types and #:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ■ Dayton face-to-face   | □ Lake face-to-face   |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Dayton online   | □ Lake online   |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Dayton Honors   | □ Lake Honors   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attributes: Integrative Writing in Core Multicultural Competency in   | Core  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service Learning in Core  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dept. Core Assessment Lead: Evan Osborne evan Name  | o.osborne@wright.edu<br>email                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| List of faculty who will assess the students' work:  Members of the Economics Department:  Allen Herner  Kevin Willardsen |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Section 2: Assessment Plan  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Course Outcomes (See 1, 2, and 3 on Instruction shee  | et)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Critically describe some of the political, socia   | l, economic, or cultural systems or practices around the world. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Demonstrate awareness and compare diverse traditions both locally and around the world.                                |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Use economic knowledge to evaluate contemporary issues.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assignments (Select the appropriate assessments for   | all three outcomes – see 4 on the instruction sheet)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| □ Written assignment that addresses outcome(s) Title  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Written test or exam essay question for outcome(s) 1, 2, 3. Provide the question(s) below.

#### Please note: Students will be asked to answer one of the following questions.

1. Consider "American-style healthcare is literally killing Greeks." (1, 2, 3)

A. Shortly before the publication of this article, Greece had a major economic crisis, which in many respects is still ongoing. The author quotes an unnamed doctor saying about very sick but treatable patients, "If we could just get them for a few days in the hospital we could save them, but..." Presumably most doctors, and indeed most Greeks overall, feel equally frustrated about Greece's health-care circumstances. Given that most if not all people there see health-care provision as extremely valuable, and Greece is a fairly wealthy country, why don't people just build more clinics and hospitals, and why aren't the machinery and personnel needed to run them provided? (I do not ask this question to taunt, but to elicit reflection on why health-care services that everyone seems to think are desirable in the abstract nonetheless are not necessarily forthcoming.)

B. How if at all does the article provide reasons for his characterization that it is "American-style" healthcare that is to blame for Greece's health problems? Is that a helpful term in understanding those problems?

- 2. Consider "Desperate for slumber in Delhi, homeless encounter a sleep mafia." (1, 2, 3)
- A. What scarce resources are needed to provide the services described in the article? What do you think their opportunity costs might be?
- B. What of value is being provided to those who buy the services, relative to the available alternatives?
- C. A researcher quoted in the article says, referring to the "sleep mafia," that "they are exploiting [their customers]. There is a slew of public policies that are supposed to bypass this kind of exploitation." Define "exploitation" in such a way that means the government is justified in trying to prevent exploitation by this definition. By this definition explain, given the available alternatives, whether what people like Mr. Khan are doing qualifies as "exploitation."
- 3. Consider "Iraqi families sell organs to overcome poverty." (1, 2, 3)
- A. A person who has been convicted for being a kidney trafficker is quoted as saying "I used to look at it as a humanitarian cause, but after a few months in this trade I started questioning the morality mostly because of the miserable conditions of the organ sellers. It broke my heart seeing young people doing this for money." Does removing from such poor people the option to legally sell one of their kidneys leave them better off? Does it make people who need kidney transplants better off?
- B. The article indicates that the law in Iraq prohibits selling of kidneys, instead only allowing donations by relatives. All laws impose costs on some people of course. What is the cost of this law, and what groups of people bear it?

| ⊔ Mul   | tiple Choice Marker ques | stions – 3 to 4 question | s per o | utcome. List the | e outcome | and question  | numbers.            |    |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|----|
| 1.      | Outcome Number           | Questions                |         |                  |           |               |                     |    |
| 2.      | Outcome Number           | Questions                |         |                  |           |               |                     |    |
| 3.      | Outcome Number           | Questions                |         |                  |           |               |                     |    |
| □ Pilot | asynchronous discussio   | n that addresses outco   | me(s).  | Provide the outo | come num  | ber and the o | discussion question | ٦. |
|         |                          |                          | 1.      | Outcome Numb     | ber       | Discussion Qu | uestion             |    |
|         |                          |                          |         |                  |           |               |                     |    |

| 2. | Outcome Number Discussion Question |
|----|------------------------------------|
|    |                                    |
| 3. | Outcome Number Discussion Question |
|    |                                    |
|    |                                    |

<u>Collecting and submitting the assignment(s)</u> (See 5 on the instruction sheet)

Spring 2018, Pilot

Rubric Selection. Select the items you feel best match your assignment(s) in the rubric(s) on the next few pages.

# **Element 3 Rubric**

Select the item(s) you will use in your rubric by highlighting the item(s). You may select one or more of them.

If you have an MC, IW, and/or an SRV/SRVI attribute, please also see pages 4 and 5. (EO note: Suitable items are underlined and italicized.)

| Item                                  | Mastery<br>4  | Partial Mastery 3  | Progressing 2  | Emerging<br>1   |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Global Self-Awareness                 | Effectively addresses significant issues in the natural and human world based on articulating one's identity in a global context.   | Evaluates the global impact<br>of one's own and others'<br>specific local actions on the<br>natural and human world.   | Analyzes ways that<br>human actions<br>influence the natural<br>and human world.   | Identifies some connections<br>between an individual's<br>personal decision-making and<br>certain local and global issues.  |
| Perspective Taking                    | Evaluates and applies diverse perspectives to complex subjects within natural and human systems in the face of multiple and even conflicting positions (i.e. cultural, disciplinary, and ethical.)  | Synthesizes other perspectives (such as cultural, disciplinary, and ethical) when investigating subjects within natural and human systems.   | Identifies and explains multiple perspectives (such as cultural, disciplinary, and ethical) when exploring subjects within natural and human systems.  | Identifies multiple perspectives while maintaining a value preference for own positioning (such as cultural, disciplinary, and ethical).  |
| Cultural Diversity                    | Adapts and applies a deep understanding of multiple worldviews, experiences, and power structures while initiating meaningful interaction with other cultures to address significant global problems.   | Analyzes substantial connections between the worldviews, power structures, and experiences of multiple cultures historically or in contemporary contexts, incorporating respectful interactions with other cultures.                                     | Explains and connects two or more cultures historically or in contemporary contexts with some acknowledgement of power structures, demonstrating respectful interaction with varied cultures and worldviews. | Describes the experiences of others historically or in contemporary contexts primarily through one cultural perspective, demonstrating some openness to varied cultures and worldviews. |
| Personal and Social<br>Responsibility | Takes informed and responsible action to address ethical, social, and environmental challenges in global systems and evaluates the local and broader consequences of individual and collective interventions.   | Analyzes the ethical, social, and environmental consequences of global systems and identifies a range of actions informed by one's sense of personal and civic responsibility.   | Explains the ethical, social, and environmental consequences of local and national decisions on global systems.  | Identifies basic ethical dimensions of some local or national decisions that have global impact.  |
| Understanding Global<br>Systems       | Uses deep knowledge of the historic and contemporary role and differential effects of human organizations and actions on global systems to develop and advocate for informed, appropriate action to solve complex problems in the human and natural worlds. | Analyzes major elements of global systems, including their historic and contemporary interconnections and the differential effects of human organizations and actions, to pose elementary solutions to complex problems in the human and natural worlds. | Examines the historical and contemporary roles, interconnections, and differential effects of human organizations and actions on global systems within the human and the natural worlds.                     | Identifies the basic role of some global and local institutions, ideas, and processes in the human and natural worlds.  |

| Applying Knowledge to | 2 |
|-----------------------|---|
| Contemporary Global   |   |
| Contexts              |   |

Applies knowledge and skills to implement sophisticated, appropriate, and workable solutions to address complex global problems using interdisciplinary perspectives independently or with others.

Plans and evaluates more complex solutions to global challenges that are appropriate to their contexts using multiple disciplinary perspectives (such as cultural, historical, and scientific). Formulates practical yet elementary solutions to global challenges that use at least two disciplinary perspectives (such as cultural, historical, and scientific).

Defines global challenges in basic ways, including a limited number of perspectives and solutions.

## If this is an MC course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them.

| Item  | Mastery<br>4   | Partial Mastery<br>3   | Progressing 2   | Emerging<br>1   |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Cultural self- awareness                      | Articulates insights into own cultural rules and biases (e.g. seeking complexity; aware of how her/his experiences have shaped these rules, and how to recognize and respond to cultural biases, resulting in a shift in self-description.)  | Recognizes new perspectives about own cultural rules and biases (e.g. not looking for sameness; comfortable with the complexities that new perspectives offer.)  | Identifies own cultural rules<br>and biases (e.g. with a<br>strong preference for those<br>rules shared with own<br>cultural group and seeks the<br>same in others.)  | Shows minimal awareness of own cultural rules and biases (even those shared with own cultural group(s)) (e.g. uncomfortable with identifying possible cultural differences with others.)                        |
| Knowledge of cultural<br>worldview frameworks | Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.  | Demonstrates adequate understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices. | Demonstrates partial understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices. | Demonstrates surface understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices. |
| Empathy                                       | Interprets intercultural experience from the perspectives of own and more than one worldview and demonstrates ability to act in a supportive manner that recognizes the feelings of another cultural group.  | Recognizes intellectual<br>and emotional<br>dimensions of more<br>than one worldview<br>and sometimes uses<br>more than one<br>worldview in<br>interactions.   | Identifies components of other cultural perspectives but responds in all situations with own worldview.   | Views the experience of others but does so through own cultural worldview.  |
| Verbal and nonverbal communication            | Articulates a complex understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication (e.g., demonstrates understanding of the degree to which people use physical contact while communicating in different cultures or use direct/indirect and explicit/implicit meanings) and is able to skillfully negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences. | Recognizes and participates in cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and begins to negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.   | Identifies some cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and is aware that misunderstandings can occur based on those differences but is still unable to negotiate a shared understanding.    | Has a minimal level of understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication; is unable to negotiate a shared understanding.  |

| Curiosity | Asks complex questions about other cultures, seeks out and articulates answers to these questions that reflect multiple cultural perspectives.            | Asks deeper questions about other cultures and seeks out answers to these questions.   | Asks simple or surface questions about other cultures.  | States minimal interest in learning more about other cultures.  |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|
| Openness  | Initiates and develops interactions with culturally different others. Suspends judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others. | Begins to initiate and develop interactions with culturally different others. Begins to suspend judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others. | Expresses openness to most, if not all, interactions with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, and is aware of own judgment and expresses a willingness to change. | Receptive to interacting with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, but is unaware of own judgment. |

## If this is an IW course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them.

| Item   | Mastery<br>4  | Partial Mastery<br>3  | Progressing 2  | Emerging<br>1   |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).                                 | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.  | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).          | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |
| Content<br>Development   | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.  | Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.  | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.   | Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.  |
| Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary). | Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices | Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices | Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation                             | Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation.  |
| Sources and<br>Evidence  | Demonstrates skillful use of<br>high-quality, credible,<br>relevant sources to develop<br>ideas that are appropriate for<br>the discipline and genre of<br>the writing  | Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.  | Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.             | Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.   |

| Control of Syntax<br>and Mechanics | Uses graceful language that<br>skillfully communicates<br>meaning to readers with<br>clarity and fluency, and is<br>virtually error-free. | Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors. | Uses language that<br>generally conveys<br>meaning to readers with<br>clarity, although writing<br>may include some errors. | Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage. |
|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|

# If this is a SRV/SRVI course, you will use this section. You may select one or more of them.

| Item   | Mastery<br>4   | Partial Mastery 3  | Progressing 2  | Emerging<br>1   |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Demonstrate understanding of how course content relates to a community problem.                      | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work. | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context). | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |
| Demonstrate ability<br>to apply course<br>learning content in<br>a community<br>project.             | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work. | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context). | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |
| Demonstrate skills required to engage in an informed and respectful way with members of a community. | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work. | Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context). | Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions). | Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience). |

Submit the entire form to Pilot – Core Course Assessment Plan 2017-18. The next section is for UCRC Review only.

#### **Section 3: UCRC Committee Review ONLY**

| Item                                       | Complete/NA | Revision Requested | Comments |
|--|-------------|--------------------|----------|
| Learning Outcomes for<br>Global Traditions | Complete    |                    |          |
| Rubric for LOs                             | Complete    |                    |          |
| Rubric for MC Attribute                    | NA          |                    |          |
| Rubric for IW Attribute                    | NA          |                    |          |
| Rubric for SRV/SRVI<br>Attribute           | NA          |                    |          |

| Assigned Departmental<br>Reviewers | Complete | At least two specific individuals must be listed as reviewers. An instructor cannot review their own course, but can review another section. |  |
|------------------------------------|----------|--|--|
|------------------------------------|----------|--|--|

**Committee Review Completed:** First review is completed. Second review is needed on above revisions.

Committee Chair Signature Maue Helle

Date 12/15/2013