

2010 - 2011 Delaware Study

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Wright State University

2010-2011 Delaware Study- National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Wright State University to the Research Institutions - High Research Activity (RH)

The following tables compare Wright State University's main campus data to a peer group of institutions consisting of the RH Institutions according to the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education. The following thirty-two institutions are included in the peer group:

- American University in Cairo
- Ball State University
- Baylor University
- Bowling Green State University
- Clemson University
- Cleveland State University
- Florida Atlantic University
- Florida International University
- Fordham University
- Indiana Univ- Purdue Univ at Indianapolis
- Kent State University
- Loyola University of Chicago
- Missouri University of Science and Tech
- New Mexico State University
- Northern Arizona University
- Northern Illinois University
- Ohio University
- University of Akron
- University of Alabama - Tuscaloosa
- University of Idaho
- University of Memphis
- University of Mississippi
- University of Missouri - Kansas City
- University of Missouri - St. Louis
- University of Montana, The
- University of New Hampshire
- University of North Carolina - Greensboro
- University of North Dakota
- University of Rhode Island
- University of Southern Mississippi
- West Virginia University
- Wright State University

Departmental CIP Codes Listed by WSU College

- The following tables are grouped by WSU's colleges and listed by department with the corresponding peer norm data.
- Each department has been assigned a Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) code that relates to the discipline and subject matter taught within the department.
- A few departments are listed under the same general CIP code.
- The corresponding peer norm data is listed by CIP code.

Wright State University

2010-2011 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Student FTE per Faculty FTE

The following tables compare Fall 2010 WSU's **Student FTE per Faculty FTE** to the Research Institution Peer Norms.

Student FTE for the fall term is calculated by dividing the number of undergraduate student credit hours by 15 and graduate student credit hours by 9.

Student credit hours are linked to the department according to the funding unit of the faculty of record who taught the course section.

For courses that are co-taught the student credit hours are prorated according to faculty type or department, as needed.

The **Faculty FTE** is presented according to faculty type:

- **Tenure and Tenured-Track Faculty:**
Includes Full Professors, Associate Professors, and Assistant Professors. Department Chairs are included in this section.
The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner. Matrix department faculty FTE is prorated between the College of Science & Math and the School of Medicine. The School of Medicine is not included in this study.
- **Other Regular Faculty:**
Includes Non-Tenure Track Instructors and Lectures. The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner.
- **Supplemental Faculty:**
Includes adjunct faculty and any non-faculty who taught Fall term, but whose primary job responsibility is not teaching. Deans are included in this section. Supplemental Faculty FTE is calculated by dividing the number of course credit hours taught by twelve (12 CCH = 1 FTE).
- **All Faculty:**
Includes the FTE from the above categories *plus* the Teaching Assistant FTE. The Teaching Assistant FTE is calculated based on headcount of every Teaching Assistant that taught Fall term (1 headcount = .33 FTE).

Wright State University

2010-2011 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE

The data collected for this portion of the study refers to financial information for the Fiscal Year 2010-11, and student credit hour data for the Academic Year 2010-11 (Fall, Winter, Spring terms).

- Direct Instructional Expense includes:
 - 1) *Salaries*: All wages paid to support the instructional function in a given department or program during the fiscal year. This includes faculty, clerical, professionals (e.g., lab technicians), graduate student stipends (but not tuition waivers), and any other personnel who support the teaching function and whose salaries and wages are paid from the department's instructional budget.
 - 2) *Benefits*: Expenditures for benefits associated with the personnel for whom salaries and wages were reported on the previous entry.
 - 3) *Other Than Personnel Costs*: This category includes non-personnel items such as travel, supplies and expense, non-capital equipment purchases, etc., that are typically part of a department or program's cost of doing business. Excluded from this category are items such as central computing costs, centrally allocated computing labs, graduate student tuition remission and fee waivers, etc.

- Student FTE for the academic year is calculated by the following:
 - Undergraduate Student Credit Hours divided by 45.
 - Graduate Student Credit Hours divided by 27.

Note: The *Academic Data Series* includes expenses such fee waivers and data processing charges, whereas the *Delaware Study* excludes these types of expenses.

Wright State University

2010-2011 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

College Averages and Peer Norm Averages

- WSU's departmental CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "**% of the Peer Norm.**"
- College averages of Student FTE per Faculty FTE are indicated for each faculty category.
Corresponding peer norm averages are also indicated. Both **College Averages** and **Peer Norm Averages** are weighted.
- There are several instances in which there is no corresponding peer norm data for a particular WSU department/CIP code. This occurs because the peer analysis included CIP codes when at least five institutions reported data for that particular CIP code. CIP codes that had less than five institutions reporting data were not included in the peer analysis.
- When WSU department/CIP code does not have corresponding peer norm data the WSU department/CIP code data is not included in the College average which is indicated by ***.
- There are a few instances in which there is no WSU department/CIP code data for a particular type of faculty.
In these cases the corresponding peer norm data is not included in the peer norm average which is also indicated by ***.
- There are some instances when WSU's department/CIP code data was identified as an outlier in the peer analysis. In these cases the data is shown, but it is not included in the College average. This is indicated by a * next to the data point. When this occurs the corresponding peer norm is also removed from the peer norm average calculation and it is indicated by **.

Comparison of Wright State University to Research Institutions Peer Norms

This report compares WSU to the peer norms according to the following method:

- 1) Every WSU department's CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "**% of the Peer Norm.**"
- 2) For every college the overall average of all the departments within the college is calculated as a weighted average. The weighted average is also calculated for all the corresponding peer norms. The college's overall weighted average is compared to the overall peer norm weighted average and this is shown a percentage.

Student FTE per Faculty FTE

Comparison of WSU Departments to Peer CIP Norms

Wright State University
National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity
2010-2011 Delaware Study Report
Wright State University Colleges vs. Peer Norm Averages

	Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE													Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE	
	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm Avg.
	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.			
COLA Average / Total	146.3	13.6	112.8%	45.0	22.6	108.8%	82.0	21.4	124.7%	282.5	16.4	114.2%	3.2%	\$3,911	78.9%
Peer Norm Average		12.0			20.8			17.2			14.3		6.3%	\$4,959	
RSCB Average / Total	53.5	20.1	117.0%	9.0	33.5	109.2%	14.0	24.7	86.2%	76.5	23.7	111.2%	22.1%	\$6,406	108.0%
Peer Norm Average		17.2			30.7			28.6			21.3		15.2%	\$5,932	
CECS Average / Total	62.2	13.5	115.7%	7.0	23.0	101.7%	17.8	20.6	119.0%	94.3	14.4	126.9%	23.5%	\$9,106	93.4%
Peer Norm Average		11.7			22.6			17.3			11.4		15.8%	\$9,754	
CEHS Average / Total	40.3	18.5	135.7%	16.5	16.2	85.0%	49.6	17.1	103.2%	106.4	17.1	113.8%	36.4%	\$5,062	98.3%
Peer Norm Average		13.7			19.1			16.5			15.0		33.9%	\$5,150	
CONH Average / Total	20.9	16.1	128.6%	22.0	7.7	64.1%	8.3	22.6	153.7%	51.2	13.5	114.0%	17.7%	\$8,705	106.8%
Peer Norm Average		12.5			12.0			14.7			11.8		21.3%	\$8,147	
COSM Average / Total	124.0	14.6	93.3%	17.0	32.5	82.9%	37.5	36.1	140.9%	209.2	18.4	109.6%	6.9%	\$5,805	106.4%
Peer Norm Average		15.6			39.1			25.6			16.8		5.7%	\$5,458	
Campus Average / Total	447.2	15.1	111.0%	116.5	20.6	86.1%	209.2	22.8	119.7%	820.1	17.3	111.6%	12.5%	\$5,575	98.7%
Peer Norm Average		13.6			23.9			19.1			15.5		10.5%	\$5,646	

Wright State University

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2010-2011
Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

**COLA
TOTAL STUDENT FTE**

		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE													Direct Instructional		
		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD	Expense per Total Student FTE		
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	Expense	% of Peer Norm
5.02	Women's Studies	WMS55	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	1.7	18.5	106.9%	1.7	18.5	128.5%	5.1%	\$1,525	30.4%
5.02	Peer Norm			9.8	***		24.6	***		17.3			14.4		2.4%	\$5,011	
9.01	Communications	COM55	9.0	11.8	83.1%	3.0	23.5	121.1%	5.8	14.8	75.9%	17.8	14.8	84.6%	0.9%	\$4,875	105.4%
9.01	Peer Norm			14.2			19.4			19.5			17.5		3.8%	\$4,627	
16.01	Modern Languages	MOL55	8.0	10.3	104.0%	8.0	16.2	87.1%	15.7	15.1	96.2%	31.7	14.2	97.3%	0.3%	\$3,891	91.1%
16.01	Peer Norm			9.9			18.6			15.7			14.6		2.6%	\$4,272	
24.01	COLA Administration	COLA5	0.0	-	-	1.0	10.4	67.1%	4.3	28.3	255.0%	5.3	24.9	* 232.7%	0.0%	\$811	9.9%
24.01	Peer Norm			10.5	***		15.5			11.1			10.7	**	1.1%	\$8,175	
23.01	English	ENG55	17.7	11.3	120.2%	20.5	14.8	85.5%	11.3	20.0	114.3%	56.8	15.7	115.4%	4.4%	\$4,225	92.5%
23.01	Peer Norm			9.4			17.3			17.5			13.6		5.1%	\$4,568	
30.06	Applied Behavioral Science	ABS55	0.4	19.6	***	0.0	-	-	0.3	18.9	***	0.8	19.3	***	19.1%	\$5,600	***
30.06	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
38.00	Classics, Religion & Philosophy	CLS55	13.4	20.5	137.4%	1.0	41.6	144.3%	3.3	41.6	166.6%	17.7	25.6	133.5%	2.1%	\$3,497	69.1%
38.00	Peer Norm			14.9			28.8			25.0			19.2		2.3%	\$5,059	
44.07	Social Work	SOW55	5.0	9.1	82.0%	1.0	18.7	-	1.3	18.6	93.5%	7.3	12.2	87.1%	0.7%	\$8,393	143.1%
44.07	Peer Norm			11.1			16.7			19.9			14.0		54.2%	\$5,866	

COLA
TOTAL STUDENT FTE

Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE																	Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE		
			Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD				
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	StdT FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	StdT FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	StdT FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	StdT FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm		Expense	% of Peer Norm		
54.01	History	HST55	15.9	20.7	133.5%	1.0	84.0	*	312.3%	6.2	51.9	173.0%	23.0	31.8	*	171.0%	4.1%	\$2,598	52.9%
54.01	Peer Norm			15.5			26.9	**			30.0			18.6	**		5.0%	\$4,911	
45.10	Political Science	PLS55	13.0	27.3	* 177.3%	1.0	77.1	*	260.5%	3.7	43.8	213.7%	17.7	33.5	*	199.4%	2.3%	\$3,293	61.9%
45.10	Peer Norm			15.4	**		29.6	**			20.5			16.8	**		7.7%	\$5,316	
45.11	Sociology & Anthropology	SOC55	12.6	16.6	94.3%	3.6	73.9		160.3%	3.4	26.6	88.4%	19.6	28.9		122.5%	2.5%	\$3,079	78.7%
45.11	Peer Norm			17.6			46.1				30.1			23.6			3.2%	\$3,914	
45.07	Urban Affairs & Geography	URS55	7.0	17.6	87.6%	1.0	43.5		150.0%	2.8	30.8	136.3%	10.8	23.4		116.4%	17.1%	\$3,961	95.8%
45.07	Peer Norm			20.1			29.0				22.6			20.1			5.9%	\$4,133	
50.05	Theatre Arts	THA55	17.3	9.8	91.6%	0.0	-	-		10.8	7.3	29.3%	28.1	8.8		66.7%	0.0%	\$16,170	* 214.7%
50.05	Peer Norm			10.7			12.7	***			24.9			13.2			5.3%	\$7,531	**
50.07	Art & Art History	ART55	11.0	10.8	95.6%	0.4	50.1	*	272.3%	3.3	23.0	149.4%	14.7	14.6		109.0%	0.5%	\$6,635	98.5%
50.07	Peer Norm			11.3			18.4	**			15.4			13.4			3.8%	\$6,735	
50.09	Music	MUS55	16.0	9.0	112.5%	3.5	39.4	*	348.7%	8.2	8.6	94.5%	29.7	13.4		136.7%	2.8%	\$6,136	67.7%
50.09	Peer Norm			8.0			11.3	**			9.1			9.8			9.9%	\$9,068	
COLA Average / Total			146.3	13.6	112.8%	45.0	22.6		108.8%	82.0	21.4	124.7%	282.5	16.4		114.2%	3.2%	\$3,911	78.9%
Peer Norm Average				12.0			20.8				17.2			14.3			6.3%	\$4,959	
Campus Average / Total			447.2	15.1	111.0%		20.6		86.1%		22.8	119.7%		17.3		111.6%	12.5%	\$5,575	98.7%
Peer Norm Average				13.6			23.9				19.1			15.5			10.5%	\$5,646	

* Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2010-2011 Comparison of Raj Sooin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

RSCB TOTAL STUDENT FTE

		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE														Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE			
		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm			
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE				% of Peer Norm		
52.01	RSCB Administration	RSCB5	1.5	-	-	0.0	-	-	0.7	43.0	***	-	2.2	13.3	***	-	0.0%	\$24,680	-
52.01	Peer Norms			-			-			-				-			20.3%	-	
52.03	Accountancy	ACC55	9.0	23.6	130.4%	2.0	42.3	114.0%	0.7	11.0	37.2%	11.7	26.1	109.2%	18.8%		\$6,871	113.5%	
52.03	Peer Norms			18.1			37.1			29.6			23.9		18.7%		\$6,053		
52.08	Finance	FIN55	5.0	19.6	114.6%	2.0	25.9	99.6%	1.7	28.6	82.4%	8.7	22.8	106.0%	18.1%		\$6,266	93.1%	
52.08	Peer Norms			17.1			26.0			34.7			21.5		21.0%		\$6,728		
45.06	Economics Center for Economic Educ	ECN55 ECO55	11.0	14.2	87.7%	1.0	28.8	76.8%	6.2	23.6	72.0%	18.2	18.2	87.9%	17.1%		\$6,595	117.2%	
45.06	Peer Norms			16.2			37.5			32.8			20.7		7.8%		\$5,625		
52.02	Management	MGT55	9.0	30.0	* 168.5%	2.0	37.9	134.4%	2.7	27.0	99.6%	13.7	30.6	142.3%	30.5%		\$5,116	85.3%	
52.02	Peer Norms			17.8	**		28.2			27.1			21.5		14.2%		\$5,997		
52.12	Info Sys & Operations Mgr	ISO55	11.0	22.3	115.5%	2.0	30.4	117.8%	1.2	30.3	103.4%	14.2	24.1	108.6%	28.5%		\$6,558	90.6%	
52.12	Peer Norms			19.3			25.8			29.3			22.2		12.7%		\$7,238		
52.14	Marketing	MKT55	7.0	21.6	118.0%	0.0	-	-	1.0	21.1	82.7%	8.0	21.5	98.2%	16.0%		\$8,362	135.7%	
52.14	Peer Norms			18.3			29.1	***		25.5			21.9		13.0%		\$6,164		
RSCB Average / Total			53.5	20.1	117.0%	9.0	33.5	109.2%	14.0	24.7	86.2%	76.5	23.7	111.2%	22.1%		\$6,406	108.0%	
Peer Norm Average				17.2			30.7			28.6			21.3		15.2%		\$5,932		
Campus Average / Total			447.2	15.1	111.0%		20.6	86.1%		22.8	119.7%		17.3	111.6%	12.5%		\$5,575	98.7%	
Peer Norm Average				13.6			23.9			19.1			15.5		10.5%		\$5,646		

* Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in RSCB Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2010-2011
Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

CECS
TOTAL STUDENT FTE

			Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE													Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE	
			Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm			
11.01	Computer Science	CSC55	18.7	11.9	105.3%	5.0	18.8	73.2%	7.3	29.9	122.0%	33.6	15.9	113.6%	14.3%	\$6,776	79.7%
11.01	Computer Engineering	CSE55		11.3			25.7			24.5			14.0		13.7%	\$8,503	
11.01	Peer Norm																
14.01	CECS Administration	CECS5	1.0	2.2	*** -	1.0	8.7	*** -	1.4	35.5	*** -	4.0	14.8	*** -	10.7%	\$3,892	-
14.01	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		9.8%	-	
14.05	Biomed & Hum Factors I	BME55	10.0	14.6	144.6%	1.0	43.9	40.7%	3.6	10.4	81.9%	16.0	14.3	157.1%	38.9%	\$9,366	52.8%
14.05	Peer Norm			10.1			107.8			12.7			9.1		38.5%	\$17,745	
14.10	Electrical Engineering	EEG55	15.6	14.1	124.8%	0.0	-	-	2.2	18.1	136.1%	20.4	12.7	119.8%	45.0%	\$11,687	98.2%
14.10	Peer Norm			11.3			20.8	***		13.3			10.6		19.3%	\$11,905	
14.19	Mechanical & Materials I	MEG55	17.0	14.0	115.7%	0.0	-	-	3.3	12.9	65.2%	20.3	13.8	124.3%	17.0%	\$10,898	94.9%
14.19	Peer Norm			12.1			18.1	***		19.8			11.1		14.3%	\$11,481	
CECS Average / Total			62.2	13.5	115.7%	7.0	23.0	101.7%	17.8	20.6	119.0%	94.3	14.4	126.9%	23.5%	\$9,106	93.4%
Peer Norm Average				11.7			22.6			17.3			11.4		15.8%	\$9,754	
Campus Average / Total			447.2	15.1	111.0%		20.6	86.1%		22.8	119.7%		17.3	111.6%	12.5%	\$5,575	98.7%
Peer Norm Average				13.6			23.9			19.1			15.5		10.5%	\$5,646	

* Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2010-2011
Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

CEHS
TOTAL STUDENT FTE

		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE													Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE		
		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD			
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm		Expense	% of Peer Norm
13.01	CEHS Administration Div. of Professional Develo Academic Support Services	CEHSA DPD55 ESS55	1.5	-	-	0.0	-	-	2.8	6.9	43.1%	4.3	4.4	* 31.2%	76.4%	\$12,741	189.1%
13.01	Peer Norm			12.0	***		17.2	***		16.0			14.1	**	40.7%	\$6,737	
13.04	Educational Leadership	EDL55	11.5	16.2	120.9%	6.5	18.9	105.0%	7.4	15.9	81.1%	25.4	16.8	119.1%	50.7%	\$5,602	102.6%
13.04	Peer Norm			13.4			18.0			19.6			14.1		65.0%	\$5,461	
13.13	Teacher Education Professional Field Experien	TED55 PFE55	16.3	21.5	160.4%	4.0	14.2	95.3%	16.9	15.1	75.5%	37.3	17.8	129.9%	43.9%	\$5,435	76.2%
13.13	Peer Norm			13.4			14.9			20.0			13.7		14.6%	\$7,130	
31.05	Health, Physical Ed, Recrea	HPR55	4.0	7.9	55.6%	3.0	11.6	58.0%	12.8	19.6	113.3%	19.8	16.0	103.2%	0.7%	\$4,183	96.1%
31.05	Peer Norm			14.2			20.0			17.3			15.5		8.9%	\$4,353	
13.11	Human Services	EHS55	7.0	21.5	156.9%	3.0	17.7	93.7%	9.7	21.0	98.1%	19.7	20.7	* 156.8%	42.3%	\$4,091	64.8%
13.11	Peer Norm			13.7			18.9			21.4			13.2	**	54.5%	\$6,318	
CEHS Average / Total			40.3	18.5	135.7%	16.5	16.2	85.0%	49.6	17.1	103.2%	106.4	17.1	113.8%	36.4%	\$5,062	98.3%
Peer Norm Average				13.7			19.1			16.5			15.0		33.9%	\$5,150	
Campus Average / Total			447.2	15.1	111.0%		20.6	86.1%		22.8	119.7%		17.3	111.6%	12.5%	\$5,575	98.7%
Peer Norm Average				13.6			23.9			19.1			15.5		10.5%	\$5,646	

* Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2010-2011
Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

CONH TOTAL STUDENT FTE			Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE												Direct Instructional Expense per Total		
			Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
<u>CIP Code</u>	<u>Department Name</u>	<u>Dept.</u>	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty fTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm			
51.38	CONH Administration Nursing & Health	CONHA CONHT	20.9	16.1	130.9%	22.0	7.7	59.2%	8.3	22.6	176.6%	51.2	13.5	91.8%	17.7%	\$8,705	102.4%
51.38	Peer Norm			12.3			13.0			12.8			14.7		21.3%	\$8,499	
CONH Average / Total			20.9	16.1	128.6%	22.0	7.7	64.1%	8.3	22.6	153.7%	51.2	13.5	114.0%	17.7%	\$8,705	106.8%
Peer Norm Average				12.5			12.0			14.7			11.8		21.3%	\$8,147	
Campus Average / Total			447.2	15.1	111.0%		20.6	86.1%		22.8	119.7%		17.3	111.6%	12.5%	\$5,575	98.7%
Peer Norm Average				13.6			23.9			19.1			15.5		10.5%	\$5,646	

* Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

Wright State University

**National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2010-2011
Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms**

COSM TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE														Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE	
		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			% SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm	
		# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Std FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm				
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.															
26.01	Biological Sciences	BIO55	20.4	17.5	107.4%	2.5	48.5	113.3%	1.3	11.7	45.7%	32.1	15.3	93.3%	8.7%	\$6,507	85.9%
26.01	Peer Norm			16.3			42.8			25.6			16.4		6.5%	\$7,577	
26.02	Biochem & Molecular Bio	BMB55	4.3	10.0	*** -	0.0	-	-	1.2	34.5	*** -	5.4	15.3	*** -	32.0%	\$11,345	*** -
26.02	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
26.09	Biomedical Sciences	BCM5	1.8	27.4	*** -	0.0	-	-	3.1	3.8	*** -	4.9	12.3	*** -	8.7%	\$14,281	*** -
26.09	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
26.04	NeuroSci, Cell Bio&Phys	ANT55	6.5	7.8	*** -	2.0	53.1	*** -	0.0	-	-	9.8	16.0	*** -	17.7%	\$6,349	*** -
26.04	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
27.01	Mathematics & Statistics	MTH55	32.2	12.7	96.2%	7.0	28.5	85.1%	11.8	29.5	108.1%	52.2	18.3	94.8%	3.9%	\$5,444	124.8%
27.01	Peer Norm			13.2			33.5			27.3			19.3		2.9%	\$4,361	
30.01	COSM Administration	COSMA	1.0	24.9	*** -	0.0	-	-	1.3	21.1	*** -	2.3	22.8	*** -	3.6%	\$1,943	*** -
30.01	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		-	-	
40.05	Chemistry	CHM55	14.0	21.1	119.9%	1.0	55.3	-	1.2	54.4	181.9%	23.5	17.7	95.7%	4.2%	\$6,879	96.2%
40.05	Peer Norm			17.6			48.6			29.9			18.5		6.6%	\$7,148	
40.06	Earth & Environmental Sci	GLY55	10.8	6.3	47.0%	1.0	13.1	30.0%	4.7	44.9	173.4%	22.5	13.0	97.0%	9.6%	\$7,563	97.8%
40.06	Peer Norm			13.4			43.7			25.9			13.4		7.1%	\$7,730	
40.08	Physics	PHY55	12.1	11.2	84.2%	1.0	16.5	44.7%	3.0	29.6	102.8%	17.5	13.9	89.1%	4.6%	\$8,678	116.7%
40.08	Peer Norm			13.3			36.9			28.8			15.6		5.4%	\$7,439	
42.01	Psychology	PSY55	21.0	16.4	89.6%	1.0	247.6	* 628.4%	9.4	43.3	159.2%	37.0	27.1	126.0%	4.2%	\$4,102	87.7%
42.01	Peer Norm			18.3			39.4	**		27.2			21.5		7.8%	\$4,675	
51.10	Clinical Laboratory Sci.	CLB55	0.0	-	-	1.5	29.4	*** -	0.61	7.9	*** -	2.1	23.2	*** -	0.0%	\$6,593	*** -
51.10	Peer Norm			-			-			-			-		3.9%	-	
COSM Average / Total			124.0	14.6	93.3%	17.0	32.5	82.9%	37.5	36.1	140.9%	209.2	18.4	109.6%	6.9%	\$5,805	106.4%
Peer Norm Average				15.6			39.1			25.6			16.8		5.7%	\$5,458	
Campus Average / Total			447.2	15.1	111.0%		20.6	86.1%		22.8	119.7%		17.3	111.6%	12.5%	\$5,575	98.7%
Peer Norm Average				13.6			23.9			19.1			15.5		10.5%	\$5,646	

* Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.