2008 - 2009 Delaware Study

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

2008-2009 Delaware Study- National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Wright State University to the Research Institutions - High Research Activity (RH)

The following tables compare Wright State University's main campus data to a peer group of institutions consisting of the RH Institutions according to the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education. The following institutions (34) are included in the peer group:

- Baylor University
- Bowling Green State University
- Clemson University
- Florida Atlantic University
- Florida International University
- Georgia State University
- Indiana Univ- Purdue Univ at Indianapolis
- Kent State University
- Mississippi State University
- Missouri University of Science and Technology

- New Mexico State University
- North Carolina A&T State University
- Northeastern University
- South Dakota State University
- The University of Montana
- University of Alabama Huntsville
- University of Alabama Tuscaloosa
- University of Arkansas Fayetteville
- University of Central Florida
- University of Idaho
- University of Louisiana at Lafayette
- University of Maine

- University of Memphis
- University of Mississippi
- University of Missouri Kansas City
- University of Missouri St. Louis
- University of New Hampshire
- University of North Carolina Greensboro
- University of North Dakota
- University of Oregon
- University of Rhode Island
- University of Toledo
- West Virginia University
- Wright State University

Departmental CIP Codes Listed by WSU College

- The following tables are grouped by WSU's colleges and listed by department with the corresponding peer norm data.
- Each department has been assigned a Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) code that relates to the discipline and subject matter taught within the department.
- A few departments are listed under the same general CIP code.
- The corresponding peer norm data is listed by CIP code.

2008-2009 Delaware Study - National Study of Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Student FTE per Faculty FTE

The following tables compare Fall 2008 WSU's Student FTE per Faculty FTE to the Research Institution Peer Norms.

For each college the Student FTE per Faculty FTE data is divided into three sections:

- Undergraduate Student FTE per Faculty FTE
- Graduate Student FTE per Faculty FTE
- Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE

For each section the Faculty FTE divisor remains the same according to type of faculty.

The **Faculty FTE** is presented according to faculty type:

• Tenure and Tenured-Track Faculty:

Includes Full Professors, Associate Professors, and Assistant Professors. Department Chairs are included in this section.

The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner. Matrix department faculty FTE is

prorated between the College of Science & Math and the School of Medicine. The School of Medicine is not included in this study.

• Other Regular Faculty:

Includes Non-Tenure Track Instructors and Lectures. The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner.

• Supplemental Faculty:

Includes adjunct faculty and any non-faculty who taught Fall term, but whose primary job responsibility is not teaching. Deans are included in this section. Supplemental Faculty FTE is calculated by dividing the number of course credit hours taught by twelve (12 CCH = 1 FTE).

• All Faculty:

Includes the FTE from the above categories *plus* the Teaching Assistant FTE. The Teaching Assistant FTE is calculated based on headcount of every Teaching Assistant that taught Fall term (1 headcount = .33 FTE).

Student credit hours (which are converted into Student FTE**) are linked to the department by the funding unit of the faculty of record

for the course. In other words, the department that pays the faculty teaching the course receives the student credit hours.

For courses that are co-taught the student credit hours are prorated according to faculty type or department, as needed.

Note: In the *Academic Data Series* the student credit hours follow the department that lists the course (i.e. the course prefix), which is in contrast to the Delaware Study methodology in which the student credit hours follow the funding unit of the faculty of record for the course.

** Student FTE for the fall term is calculated by dividing the number of undergraduate student credit hours by 15, and graduate student credit hours by 9.

2008-2009 Delaware Study - National Costs and Productivity

Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE

- The data collected for this portion of the study refers to financial information for the Fiscal Year 2008-09, and student credit hour data for the Academic Year 2008-09 (Fall, Winter, Spring terms).
- Direct Instructional Expense includes:
 - 1) *Salaries:* All wages paid to support the instructional function in a given department or program during the fiscal year. This includes faculty, clerical, professionals (e.g., lab technicians), graduate student stipends (but not tuition waivers), and any other personnel who support the teaching function and whose salaries and wages are paid from the department's instructional budget.
 - 2) Benefits: Expenditures for benefits associated with the personnel for whom salaries and wages were reported on the previous entry.
 - 3) *Other Than Personnel Costs:* This category includes non-personnel items such as travel, supplies and expense, non-capital equipment purchases, etc., that are typically part of a department or program's cost of doing business. Excluded from this category are items such as central computing costs, centrally allocated computing labs, graduate student tuition remission and fee waivers, etc.
- Student FTE for the academic year is calculated by the following: Undergraduate Student Credit Hours divided by 45. Graduate Student Credit Hours divided by 27.

Note: The *Academic Data Series* includes expenses such fee waivers and data processing charges, whereas the *Delaware Study* excludes these types of expenses.

2008-2009 Delaware Study - National Study of Costs and Productivity

College Averages and Peer Norm Averages

- WSU's departmental CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "% of the Peer Norm."
- College averages of Student FTE per Faculty FTE are indicated for each faculty category and by level of Student FTE. Corresponding peer norm averages are also indicated. Both **College Averages** and **Peer Norm Averages** are weighted.
- There are several instances in which there is no corresponding peer norm data for a particular WSU department/CIP code. This occurs because the peer analysis included CIP codes when at least five institutions reported data for that particular CIP code. CIP codes that had less than five institutions reporting data were not included in the peer analysis.
- When WSU department/CIP code does not have corresponding peer norm data the WSU department/CIP code data is not included in the College average which is indicated by ***.
- There are a few instances in which there is no WSU department/CIP code data for a particular type of faculty or level of Student FTE. In these cases the corresponding peer norm data is not included in the peer norm average which is also indicated by ***.
- There are some instances when WSU's department/CIP code data was identified as an outlier in the peer analysis. In these cases the data is shown, but it is not included in the College average. This is indicated by a * next to the data point. When this occurs the corresponding peer norm is also removed from the peer norm average calculation and it is indicated by **.

Comparison of Wright State University to Research Institutions Peer Norms

This report compares WSU to the peer norms according to the following method:

- 1) Every WSU department's CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "'% of the Peer Norm."
- 2) For every college the overall average of all the departments within the college is calculated as a weighted average. The weighted average is also calculated for all the corresponding peer norms. The college's overall weighted average is compared to the overall peer norm weighted average and this is shown a percentage.

Student FTE per Faculty FTE

Comparison of WSU Departments to Peer CIP Norms

Wright State University National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Delaware Study Report Wright State University Colleges vs. Peer Norm Averages

					Fall Te	rm Total S	student F	TE per F	aculty FTE						structional
	Ter	Tenured an nure-Track Fa		Ot	her Regular l	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facult udes Teaching A	/	% SCH	-	per Total nt FTE
	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm Avg.
COLA Average / Total Peer Norm Average	154.6	14.1 12.3	114.8%	59.0	21.2 19.3	109.5%	56.7	17.7 15.8	111.6%	281.9	16.5 14.4	114.0%	2.9% 5.9%	\$4,878 \$4,903	99.5%
RSCB Average / Total Peer Norm Average	58.3	18.7 17.3	108.7%	9.0	29.3 29.3	100.3%	18.2	24.7 28.8	85.8%	85.5	21.1 22.4	94.4%	19.1% 12.2%	\$7,056 \$5,214	135.3%
CECS Average / Total	62.2	13.0	128.9%	7.0	16.7	86.9%	18.9	18.6	104.1%	93.7	13.7	120.6%	28.9%	\$8,545	87.4%
Peer Norm Average		10.1			19.2			17.8			11.4		17.8%	\$9,778	
CEHS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	50.6	15.9 13.0	122.7%	19.5	14.0 19.1	73.5%	49.3	14.9 15.5	96.0%	119.4	15.1 14.6	103.9%	38.2% 27.3%	\$5,105 \$4,998	102.1%
i cei itoini Average		15.0			17.1			15.5			14.0		21.370	ψτ,220	
CONH Average / Total Peer Norm Average	22.0	16.2 11.8	136.9%	25.5	9.1 10.3	88.5%	1.4	32.1 10.1	✤ 318.5%✤	48.9	13.0 10.5	123.3%	17.1% 19.4%	\$8,485 \$9,597	88.4%
COSM Average / Total	122.9	14.5	99.9%	22.5	40.8	110.4%	36.9	24.2	83.9%	207.7	17.3	96.9%	8.2%	\$6,488	120.8%
Peer Norm Average	122.7	14.5	77.770	22.5	36.9	110.470	5015	28.8	05.770	207.1	17.8	70.770	6.1%	\$5,371	120.070
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	470.7	15.0 13.4	111.8%	142.5	18.8 22.0	85.6%	181.4	18.7 19.6	95.3%	837.1	16.4 15.9	103.2%	13.5% 9.7%	\$6,113 \$5,539	110.4%

COHN's Average and the corresponding Peer Norm Average (weighted average) is displayed here even though CONH's data point is an outlier, but this data is not included in Campus Average or corresponding Campus Peer Norm Average.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

						Fa	ll Tern	Underg	raduate St	tudent I	TE per	Faculty FT	Έ			
CO] UNDE	L A rgraduate student i	FTE	Te	Tenured nure-Track		lty	Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Sup	oplemental	·	Inclu	All Facu Ides Teaching	-	nts
CIP			# Faculty	Stdt FTE/		% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/		% of Peer
Code	Department Name	<u>Dept.</u>	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	1	Norm
5.02	Women's Studies	WMS55	0.0	-		-	0.0	-	-	0.1	7.3	36.3%	0.1	7.3	5	54.0%
5.02	Peer Norm			8.0	***			12.6	***		20.0			13.5		
9.01	Communications	COM55	10.0	17.3		139.0%	4.0	28.0	148.9%	1.4	17.6	101.9%	16.4	18.9	1	23.5%
9.01	Peer Norm			12.5				18.8			17.3			15.3		
16.01	0 0	MOL55	8.7	5.9		72.1%	9.5	14.4	81.8%	11.8	16.3	100.8%	30.3	12.7	ç	98.5%
16.01	Peer Norm			8.1				17.6			16.2			12.9		
24.01	COLA Administration	COLA5	0.0	-		-	1.0	-	-	4.2	3.0	34.4%	5.2	2.4	1	8.6%
24.01	Peer Norm			5.3	***			10.0	***		8.7			12.9		
23.01	English	ENG55	24.3	8.4		114.5%	23.0	15.3	89.5%	4.3	17.9	107.2%	59.9	13.7	1	05.1%
23.01	Peer Norm			7.3				17.1			16.7			13.1		
30.06	Applied Behavioral Science	ABS55	0.4	32.0	***	-	0.0	-	-	0.3	-	*** _	0.8	18.3	***	-
30.06	Peer Norm			-				-			-	-		-		
38.00	Classics, Religion & Philosophy	CLS55	14.4	14.3		104.5%	1.0	36.5	111.9%	4.0	41.0	150.4%	19.4	20.9	1	16.6%
38.00	Peer Norm			13.7				32.6			27.3			18.0		
44.07	Social Work	SOW55	6.0	7.9		141.7%	1.0	12.5	131.5%	0.7	7.1	110.3%	7.7	8.5	1	19.8%
44.07	Peer Norm			5.6				9.5			6.5			7.1		

			Fa	ll Tern	n Underg	raduate S	tudent l	FTE per	Faculty F1	E		
COLA undergraduate student fte	Т	Tenured ar enure-Track F		Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Suj	pplemental	Faculty	Inch	All Facu udes Teaching	2
	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of
CIP Code Department Name Department	Faculty t. FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm
54.01 History HST		22.9	156.4%	1.0	109.9	* 380.6%		39.2	158.9%	20.2	31.0	172.2%
54.01 Peer Norm		14.7			28.9	**		24.7			18.0	
45.10Political SciencePLS45.10Peer Norm	55 12.0	22.0 13.5	162.6%	2.0	54.7 31.7	172.5%	2.4	47.7 20.8	* 229.2% **	16.4	29.7 16.4	* 181.3% **
45.11 Sociology & Anthropology SOC	55 12.6	15.8	107.2%	3.6	81.5	193.5%	4.3	17.9	58.4%	21.1	26.9	139.8%
45.11 Peer Norm		14.7			42.1			30.6			19.3	
45.07 Urban Affairs & Geography URS45.07 Peer Norm	55 7.0	14.2 15.9	89.1%	1.0	35.7 38.3	93.4%	2.8	16.7 17.0	98.0%	10.8	16.9 18.7	90.0%
50.05 Theatre Arts THA	55 18.0	10.9	153.3%	7.0	3.5	27.5%	5.7	7.8	35.0%	30.7	8.7	77.8%
50.05 Peer Norm		7.1			12.9			22.3			11.1	
50.07Art & Art HistoryART50.07Peer Norm	55 10.7	10.3 9.8	104.8%	1.4	16.2 15.0	108.0%	2.7	24.2 14.3	168.8%	14.7	13.4 11.8	113.6%
50.09 Music MUS	55 16.0	6.7	91.0%	3.5	9.5	95.9%	7.6	3.4	37.5%	28.4	11.2	131.3%
50.09 Peer Norm		7.4			9.9			9.1			8.5	
COLA Average / Total Peer Norm Average	154.6	12.9	127.8%	59.0	20.5 18.5	110.8%	56.7	16.9 15.0	112.4%	281.9	15.7 13.0	120.5%
	1-0 -						101 :		22.5			
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	470.7	10.8	104.1%	142.5	17.4 20.4	85.4%	181.4	15.7 17.5	89.9%	837.1	13.3 13.5	98.4%

* Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

		Fall Term Graduate Student FTE per Faculty															
COLA graduate student fte		Те	Tenured a nure-Track		lty	0	ther Regula	r Facı	ılty	Su	pplemental	Facu	lty	Inclu	All Facu Ides Teaching	-	ants
		#			% of	#				#			% of	#			% of
CIP		Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		% of Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
Code Department Name	<u>Dept.</u>	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
5.02 Women's Studies	WMS55	0.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	0.1	8.1		-	0.1	8.1	*	-
5.02 Peer Norm			3.2	***			0.4	***			2.2				1.4	**	
9.01 Communications	COM55	10.0	-		-	4.0	-		-	1.4	-		-	16.4	0.1		-
9.01 Peer Norm			3.3	***			0.2	***			0.4	***			1.7	***	
16.01 Modern Languages	MOL55	8.7	0.3		18.8%	9.5	_		-	11.8	-		-	30.3	0.1		16.7%
16.01 Peer Norm			1.8				0.2	***			-				0.7		
24.01 COLA Administration	COLA5	0.0	_		-	1.0	-		-	4.2	_		-	5.2	-		_
24.01 Peer Norm			0.2	***			0.2	***			-				0.1	***	
23.01 English	ENG55	24.3	2.0		85.7%	23.0	0.1		33.3%	4.3	_		_	59.9	0.9		88.9%
23.01 Peer Norm	LI(055	24.5	2.3		05.770	23.0	0.3		55.570	ч.5	0.2	***		57.7	1.0		00.770
30.06 Applied Behavioral Science	ABS55	0.4	9.3	***		0.0	-		-	0.3	17.6	***	-	0.8	12.9	***	-
30.06 Peer Norm			-				-				-				-		
38.00 Classics, Religion & Philosoph	h CLS55	14.4	0.6		29.1%	1.0	-		-	4.0	-		-	19.4	0.4		40.4%
38.00 Peer Norm			1.9				-				0.6	***			1.1		
44.07 Social Work	SOW55	6.0	-		-	1.0	-		-	0.7	-		-	7.7	-		-
44.07 Peer Norm			7.1	***			6.2	***			6.8	***			7.2	***	

					Fall	Term Gr	aduate Stud	ent FTI	E per Fac	ulty FTE				
COLA graduate student fte		Te	Tenured a nure-Track		0	ther Regular	Faculty	Sı	pplemental	Faculty	Inch	All Facu udes Teaching		ants
		#		% of	#			#		% of	#	~		% of
CIP Code Department Name	Dent	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	Peer Norm	Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		Peer Norm
Code Department Name	<u>Dept.</u>													
54.01 History 54.01 Peer Norm	HST55	14.6	1.6 1.9	82.4%	1.0	0.2	-	4.6	6.3 0.6	* 1140.0% **	20.2	2.6 1.3		191.7%
54.01 Peer Norm			1.9			0.2	~~~		0.0	~~		1.5		
45.10 Political Science	PLS55	12.0	1.9	70.8%	2.0	-	-	2.4	0.6	41.7%	16.4	1.4		72.2%
45.10 Peer Norm			2.7			0.6	***		1.3			2.0		
	00055	12.6	1 7	75.00/	26			4.2			01.1	1.0		(1.20)
45.11 Sociology & Anthropology45.11 Peer Norm	SOC55	12.6	1.7 2.2	75.0%	3.6	0.4	-	4.3	1.2	-	21.1	1.0 1.6		64.3%
+5.11 Teer Norm			2.2			0.4			1.2			1.0		
45.07 Urban Affairs & Geography	URS55	7.0	5.9	* 176.7%	1.0	0.4	100.0%	2.8	3.1	155.6%	10.8	4.7	*	221.1%
45.07 Peer Norm			3.3	**		0.4			2.0			2.1	**	
50.05 Theatre Arts	THA55	18.0	-		7.0	_		5.7			30.7	_		_
50.05 Peer Norm	111455	10.0	2.3	***	7.0	0.7	***	5.7	0.8	***	50.7	1.3	***	-
50.07 Art & Art History	ART55	10.7	-	-	1.4	-	-	2.7	-	-	14.7	-		-
50.07 Peer Norm			1.6	***		0.4	***		0.2	***		1.0	***	
50.09 Music	MUS55	16.0	1.0	64.3%	3.5	0.1	33.3%	7.6	0.1	16.7%	28.4	0.7		66.7%
50.09 Peer Norm			1.6			0.3			0.7			1.0		
COLA Average / Total		154.6	1.4	64.2%	59.0	0.1	22.6%	56.7	0.9	90.0%	281.9	0.9		77.7%
Peer Norm Average		10	2.2	0	27.00	0.5			1.0	, 0.070	2010	1.2		
, C														
Campus Average / Total		470.7	4.5	132.5%	142.5	2.3	113.2%	181.4	4.1	106.7%	837.1	3.5		145.9%
Peer Norm Average			3.4			2.0			3.9			2.4		

* Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

							Fall Teri	n Total S	udent]	FTE per F	Saculty FTE	2				Direct In	structional
COLA total student fte		Te	Tenured enure-Trac		ulty	Oth	ner Regular	Faculty	S	upplemental	l Faculty	Incl	All Facu udes Teaching	2	% SCH	-	per Total nt FTE
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Facult FTE	y Stdt FTE∕ Fac FTE		# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
5.02Women's Studies5.02Peer Norm	WMS55	0.0	- 9.6	***	-	0.0	12.8	-	0.1	15.4 21.9	70.5%	0.1	15.4 14.7	104.6%	40.0% 3.3%	\$37,409 \$4,284	* 873.2% **
9.01Communications9.01Peer Norm	COM55	10.0	17.5 14.5		120.7%	4.0	28.0 19.1	146.69	5 1.4	17.6 17.7	99.4%	16.4	19.0 16.4	115.9%	0.0% 4.2%	\$3,879 \$4,667	83.1%
16.01 Modern Languages16.01 Peer Norm	MOL55	8.7	6.2 9.3		66.7%	9.5	17.9 17.9	100.09	5 11.8	16.3 16.3	100.0%	30.3	12.8 13.5	94.8%	0.5% 3.3%	\$4,234 \$4,371	96.9%
24.01 COLA Administration24.01 Peer Norm	COLA5	0.0	- 5.4	***	-	1.0	10.0	-	4.2	3.0 8.7	34.5%	5.2	2.4 7.8	30.8%	0.0%	\$13,144 \$9,881	133.0%
23.01 English 23.01 Peer Norm	ENG55	24.3	10.4 9.9		105.1%	23.0	15.5 17.5	88.6%	4.3	17.9 16.8	106.5%	59.9	14.6 14.4	101.4%	3.7% 5.2%	\$4,907 \$4,483	109.5%
30.06Applied Behavioral Science30.06Peer Norm	ABS55	0.4	41.3 -	***	-	0.0	-	-	0.3	17.5 -	*** _	0.8	31.1 -	*** _	30.0%	\$4,227	*** _
38.00 Classics, Religion & Philosoph38.00 Peer Norm	y CLS55	14.4	14.9 14.4		103.8%	1.0	36.5 32.3	113.09	6 4.0	41.0 27.7	148.0%	19.4	21.4 18.9	113.2%	1.2% 3.0%	\$3,485 \$4,443	78.4%
44.07 Social Work44.07 Peer Norm	SOW55	6.0	8.0 11.7		68.4%	1.0	12.5 17.2	72.7%	0.7	7.8 13.0	60.0%	7.7	8.6 13.1	65.6%	0.0% 42.2%	\$10,659 \$7,567	140.9%

												Direct Ins	tructional			
COLA total student fte		Te	Tenured and enure-Track Fa	-	Otł	ner Regular	Faculty	Sı	ıpplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facul udes Teaching	2	% SCH	Expense Studen	
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
	HST55	14.6	24.5 16.6	147.6%	1.0	109.9 29.2	* 376.49 **	6 4.6	45.5 25.8	176.4%	20.2	33.5 19.5	171.8%	4.7% 4.8%	\$2,874 \$4,346	66.1%
45.10 Political Science45.10 Peer Norm	PLS55	12.0	23.9 16.4	145.7%	2.0	54.7 32.6	167.89	5 2.4	48.2 21.5	* 224.2% **	16.4	51.2	* 167.7% **	2.8% 6.6%	\$3,717 \$4,879	76.2%
45.11 Sociology & Anthropolo _i 45.11 Peer Norm	SOC55	12.6	17.5 16.4	106.7%	3.6	81.5 41.1	198.39	6 4.3	17.9 31.0	57.7%	21.1	27.9 20.3	137.4%	2.2% 4.7%	\$3,071 \$3,907	78.6%
45.07 Urban Affairs & Geograj45.07 Peer Norm	URS55	7.0	20.1 18.9	106.3%	1.0	36.2 38.8	93.3%	2.8	19.8 19.0	104.2%	10.8	21.5 20.7	103.9%	14.2% 6.8%	\$4,682 \$4,799	97.6%
50.05Theatre Arts50.05Peer Norm	THA55	18.0	10.9 8.7	125.3%	7.0	3.5 13.3	26.3%	5.7	7.8 23.0	33.9%	30.7	8.6 12.1	71.1%	0.0% 5.6%	\$14,992 \$8,164	183.6%
50.07 Art & Art History50.07 Peer Norm	ART55	10.7	10.4 11.1	93.7%	1.4	16.2 15.5	104.59	5 2.7	24.2 14.5	166.9%	14.7	13.4 12.7	105.5%	0.0% 4.8%	\$7,187 \$6,711	107.1%
50.09Music50.09Peer Norm	MUS55	16.0	7.8 8.9	87.6%	3.5	9.5 10.3	92.2%	7.6	3.5 9.8	35.7%	28.4	11.8 9.6	122.9%	3.4% 8.2%	\$6,852 \$8,337	82.2%
COLA Average / Total Peer Norm Average		154.6	14.1 12.3	114.8%	59.0	21.2 19.3	109.59	56.7	17.7 15.8	111.6%	281.9	16.5 14.4	114.0%	2.9% 5.9%	\$4,878 \$4,903	99.5%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average		470.7	15.0 13.4	111.8%	142.5	18.8 22.0	85.6%	181.4	18.7 19.6	95.3%	837.1	16.4 15.9	103.2%	13.5% 9.7%	\$6,113 \$5,539	110.4%

* Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.
** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

					F	all Teri	n Underg	raduate St	udent F	TE per F	aculty FTE			
RSC UNDER	B GRADUATE STUDENT FT	Е		Tenured ar enure-Track F	Faculty	Ot	her Regular	•		ıpplemental	5		All Faculty ludes Teaching A	ssistants
CIP <u>Code</u>	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm
52.01	RSCB Administration	RSCB5	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	2.7	12.8	52.5%	2.7	12.8	63.2%
52.01	Peer Norms			12.5	***		36.4	***		24.4			20.3	
52.03 52.03	Accountancy Peer Norms	ACC55	8.0	15.9 13.3	119.5%	2.0	34.9 32.9	106.1%	0.0	22.6	-	10.0	19.7 18.1	109.2%
52.08	Finance	FIN55	6.0	11.7	97.8%	2.0	27.1	104.4%	1.3	30.7	134.1%	9.3	17.7	103.1%
52.08	Peer Norms			11.9			25.9			22.9			17.1	
45.06	Economics Center for Economic Educ.	ECN55 ECO55	12.0	12.3	88.9%	1.0	35.2	105.0%	5.9	17.5	50.7%	18.9	15.2	76.8%
45.06	Peer Norms			13.9			33.5			34.6			19.8	
52.02 52.02	Management Peer Norms	MGT55	12.3	11.2 13.2	84.8%	2.0	24.0 22.9	104.7%	2.6	32.6 23.9	136.6%	16.9	16.0 16.0	100.0%
52.12	Info Sys & Operations Mgt	ISO55	13.0	8.7	86.1%	2.0	23.2	80.2%	4.7	9.3	42.0%	19.7	10.3	67.8%
52.12	Peer Norms			10.1			28.9			22.1			15.1	
52.14 52.14	Marketing Peer Norms	MKT55	7.0	13.7 12.9	106.2%	0.0	23.4	-	1.0	42.4 25.4	166.9%	8.0	17.3 16.7	103.6%
RSCB A	verage / Total		58.3	11.9	93.3%	9.0	28.2	108.6%	18.2	19.2	78.6%	85.5	15.1	83.0%
	m Average			12.7	,/		25.9			24.4	/ .		18.3	
Campus	Average / Total		470.7	10.8	104.1%	142.5	17.4	85.4%	181.4	15.7	89.9%	837.1	13.3	98.4%
Peer Nor	rm Average			10.4			20.4			17.5			13.5	

* Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in RSCB Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

							Fall T	erm Grao	luate Stud	ent FTE	E per Facu	ilty FTE			
RSC GRAD	C B UATE STUDENT FTE		Т	Tenured enure-Tracl		lty	Ot	her Regular	Faculty	S	upplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facult	/
CIP	D	D	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/		% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer
Code	Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
52.01	RSCB Administration	RSCB5	0.0	-		-	0.0	-	-	2.7	20.4	242.7%	2.7	20.4	248.0%
52.01	Peer Norms			8.3	***			4.0	***		8.4			8.2	
52.03 52.03	Accountancy Peer Norms	ACC55	8.0	8.2 5.9		139.6%	2.0	2.3	-	0.0	- 5.8	-	10.0	6.6 5.0	131.1%
52.08	Finance Peer Norms	FIN55	6.0	3.4 4.8		72.1%	2.0	0.4 1.9	23.5%	1.3	- 5.6	-	9.3	2.3 4.2	55.3%
52.08	Peer Norms			4.8				1.9			5.6	***		4.2	
45.06	Economics Center for Economic Educ.	ECN55 ECO55	12.0	2.3		87.5%	1.0	-	-	5.9	3.0	192.9%	18.9	2.4	129.4%
45.06	Peer Norms			2.7				0.3	***		1.6			1.9	
52.02 52.02	Management Peer Norms	MGT55	12.3	13.1 3.8	*	347.1%	2.0	- 1.8	-	2.6	2.9	-	16.9	9.6 3.4	277.4%
52.12 52.12	Info Sys & Operations Mgt Peer Norms	ISO55	13.0	5.9 3.9		151.4%	2.0	4.9 0.7	* 733.3% **	4.7	5.9 1.8	331.3%	19.7	5.8 2.9	200.0%
52.14 52.14	Marketing Peer Norms	MKT55	7.0	6.8 4.6		148.8%	0.0	- 1.6	-	1.0	- 1.0	-	8.0	5.9 3.8	155.9%
	Average / Total orm Average		58.3	5.2 4.7		110.9%	9.0	0.4 4.8	9.3%	18.2	7.5	153.2%	85.5	6.0 4.0	149.1%
-	s Average / Total orm Average		470.7	4.5 3.4		132.5%	142.5	2.3 2.0	113.2%	181.4	4.1 3.9	106.7%	837.1	3.5 2.4	145.9%

* Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in RSCB Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009

Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

							Fall Terr	n Total Stu	ident F	TE per F	aculty FTE	C				Dir	
RSC totai	B . student fte		Те	Tenured a nure-Track		Ot	her Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Faculty udes Teaching A		% SCH	Instrue Expense j Studer	per Total
CIP			# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer
Code	Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
52.01	RSCB Administration	RSCB5	0.0	-	-	0.0	-	-	2.7	33.3	101.4%	2.7	33.3	116.8%	48.9%	\$14,506	197.0%
52.01	Peer Norms			20.8	***		40.4	***		32.8			28.5		11.4%	\$7,365	
52.03	Accountancy	ACC55	8.0	24.2	126.0%	2.0	34.8	92.1%	0.0	-	-	10.0	26.3	113.9%	16.6%	\$6,590	108.9%
52.03	Peer Norms			19.2			37.8			27.3	***		23.1		15.4%	\$6,049	
52.08 52.08	Finance Peer Norms	FIN55	6.0	15.1 16.3	92.6%	2.0	27.5	96.5%	1.3	30.7	103.4%	9.3	19.9 20.6	96.6%	7.3%	\$7,490 \$6,975	107.4%
52.08	Peer Norms			10.5			28.5			29.7			20.0		17.0%	\$0,975	
45.06	Economics Center for Economic Educ	ECN55 ECO55	12.0	14.7	88.0%	1.0	35.2	93.4%	5.9	20.5	56.3%	18.9	17.6	80.7%	8.8%	\$6,869	134.4%
45.06	Peer Norms			16.7			37.7			36.4			21.8		7.0%	\$5,112	
52.02	Management	MGT55	12.3	24.3	141.3%	2.0	24.0	96.8%	2.6	32.6	114.8%	16.9	25.6	129.2%	26.4%	\$5,480	100.2%
52.02	Peer Norms			17.2			24.8			28.4			19.8		12.6%	\$5,471	
52.12	Info Sys & Operations Mg	ISO55	13.0	14.6	102.1%	2.0	28.1	93.4%	4.7	15.2	63.9%	19.7	16.1	88.5%	25.2%	\$7,106	109.7%
52.12	Peer Norms			14.3			30.1			23.8			18.2		10.3%	\$6,476	
52.14	0	MKT55	7.0	20.5	116.5%	0.0	-	-	1.0	42.4	158.8%	8.0	23.2	113.2%	16.9%	\$7,391	127.7%
52.14	Peer Norms			17.6			25.7	***		26.7			20.5		12.2%	\$5,789	
RSCB A	verage / Total		58.3	18.7	108.7%	9.0	29.3	100.3%	18.2	24.7	85.8%	85.5	21.1	94.4%	19.1%	\$7,056	135.3%
Peer No	rm Average			17.3			29.3			28.8			22.4		12.2%	\$5,214	
	Average / Total		470.7	15.0 13.4	111.8%	142.5	18.8 22.0	85.6%	181.4	18.7 19.6	95.3%	837.1	16.4 15.9	103.2%	13.5% 9.7%	\$6,113 \$5,539	110.4%
Peer No	rm Average			13.4			22.0			19.0			15.9		9.1%	\$2,239	

* Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in RSCB Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

					Fall	Term U	J nderg	raduate S	tudent F	ТЕ ре	er Faculty	FTE		
CEH UNDEF	IS RGRADUATE STUDENT FTE		-	enured e-Tracl	and k Faculty	Other	Regula	Faculty	Suppl	emental	Faculty		All Facul s Teaching	lty Assistants
				Stdt	% of		Stdt	% of		Stdt	% of	#	Stdt	% of
CIP			# Faculty	FTE/	Peer	# Faculty	FTE/	Peer	# Faculty	FTE/	Peer	FacultyF	FTE/	Peer
Code	Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac	Norm	FTE	Fac	Norm	FTE	Fac	Norm	TE	Fac	Norm
13.01	CEHS Administration Div. of Professional Development Academic Support Services	CEHSA DPD55 ESS55	0.5	-	-	1.0	4.2	41.2%	2.3	0.8	10.0%	3.8	1.6	21.6%
13.01	Peer Norm			5.8	***		10.2			8.0			7.4	
13.04 13.04	Educational Leadership Peer Norm	EDL55	14.5	4.7 1.1	* _	4.5	7.2 3.7	196.4%	8.2	10.2 4.9	209.6%	27.2	6.7 2.7	246.3%
13.13	Teacher Education Professional Field Experience	TED55 PFE55	21.6	6.3	62.7%	8.0	7.7	45.8%	17.7	5.2	37.1%	47.3	6.1	53.5%
13.13	Peer Norm			10.0			16.7			14.0			11.3	
31.05 31.05	Health, Physical Ed, Recreation Peer Norm	HPR55	4.0	6.0 9.4	63.8%	4.0	11.9 18.9	63.3%	13.1	15.4 17.0	90.6%	21.1	12.9 13.5	96.0%
13.11 13.11	Human Services Peer Norm	EHS55	10.0	3.1 1.3	247.4%	2.0	5.9 9.5	62.0%	8.0	12.3 8.2	149.6%	20.0	7.1 4.8	147.2%
	Average / Total rm Average		50.6	5.4 8.1	65.8%	19.5	8.1 13.9	58.1%	49.3	9.7 10.1	96.3%	119.4	7.5 9.5	78.2%
	Average / Total rm Average		470.7	10.8 10.4	104.1%	142.5	17.4 20.4	85.4%	181.4	15.7 17.5	89.9%	837.1	13.3 13.5	98.4%

* Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

				F	fall Tern	n Gra	duate Stud	ent FTE	per F	aculty FT	E		
CEHS graduate student fte		-	enured a e-Track		Other	Regul	ar Faculty	Suppl	emental	l Faculty		All Facu s Teaching	lty Assistants
			Stdt	% of		Stdt	% of		Stdt	% of		Stdt	% of
CIP		# Faculty	FTE/	Peer	# Faculty	FTE/	Peer	# Faculty	FTE/	Peer	# Faculty	FTE/	Peer
Code Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac	Norm	FTE	Fac	Norm	FTE	Fac	Norm	FTE	Fac	Norm
CEHS Administration 13.01 Div. of Professional Developmen Academic Support Services	CEHSA DPD55 ESS55	0.5	6.2	101.8%	1.0	4.4	95.2%	2.3	10.2	216.1%	3.8	8.2	153.9%
13.01 Peer Norm			6.1			4.7			4.7			5.3	
13.04 Educational Leadership13.04 Peer Norm	EDL55	14.5	10.2 9.9	103.4%	4.5	6.4 9.6	67.4%	8.2	8.8 11.3	77.5%	27.2	9.2 10.0	92.2%
13.13 Teacher EducationProfessional Field Experience13.13 Peer Norm	TED55 PFE55	21.6	12.2	250.0%	8.0	8.9 4.2	210.5%	17.7	6.8 3.6	190.6%	47.3	9.7 3.6	271.9%
	HPR55	4.0	1.6 3.8	41.2%	4.0	2.9 0.6	520.0%	13.1	0.1 0.8	14.3%	21.1	0.9 1.8	50.0%
13.11 Human Services13.11 Peer Norm	EHS55	10.0	12.4 10.0	124.4%	2.0	13.7	***	8.0	4.6 9.1	50.0%	20.0	8.0 9.4	84.7%
CEHS Average / Total Peer Norm Average		50.6	10.8 7.3	148.4%	19.5	6.6 4.5	148.4%	49.3	5.1 5.4	94.3%	119.4	7.7 5.7	135.1%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average		470.7	4.5 3.4	132.5%	142.5	2.3 2.0	113.2%	181.4	4.1 3.9	106.7%	837.1	3.5 2.4	145.9%

* Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009

Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE I												Direct Instructiona		
CEHS total student fte	Ter	Tenured and ure-Track Fa	-	Othe	er Regular Fac	ulty	Suj	pplemental Fa	culty	Inclu	All Faculty des Teaching Ass	sistants	% SCH	-	per Total nt FTE	
CIP Code Department Name Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm	
CEHS Administration CEHSA 13.01 Div. of Professional Develo DPD55 Academic Support Services ESS55	0.5	6.2	50.8%	1.0	8.6	54.1%	2.3	11.0	84.1%	3.8	9.8	75.2%	75.6%	\$18,377	* 240.3%	
13.01 Peer Norm		12.2			15.9			13.1			13.0		27.3%	\$7,647	**	
13.04Educational LeadershipEDL5513.04Peer Norm	14.5	14.9 10.6	140.6%	4.5	13.6 13.9	97.8%	8.2	19.0 17.0	111.8%	27.2	15.9 13.0	122.3%	45.1% 65.7%	\$5,251 \$6,261	83.9%	
13.13Teacher EducationTED55Professional Field ExperienPFE5513.13Peer Norm	21.6	18.5	120.9%	8.0	16.6 19.4	85.6%	17.7	12.0	72.7%	47.3	15.7	102.6%	48.9%	\$5,075 \$4.015	126.4%	
31.05 Health, Physical Ed, Recrea HPR5531.05 Peer Norm	4.0	7.5 13.1	57.3%	4.0	14.8 20.2	73.3%	13.1	15.6 17.0	91.8%	21.1	13.9 15.6	89.1%	4.0% 8.3%	\$3,981 \$5,093	78.2%	
13.11Human ServicesEHS5513.11Peer Norm	10.0	15.5 11.6	133.6%	2.0	5.9 23.1	25.5%	8.0	16.8 15.2	110.5%	20.0	15.1 13.3	113.5%	40.4% 57.4%	\$6,055 \$7,150	84.7%	
CEHS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	50.6	15.9 13.0	122.7%	19.5	14.0 19.1	73.5%	49.3	14.9 15.5	96.0%	119.4	15.1 14.6	103.9%	38.2% 27.3%	\$5,105 \$4,998	102.1%	
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	470.7	15.0 13.4	111.8%	142.5	18.8 22.0	85.6%	181.4	18.7 19.6	95.3%	837.1	16.4 15.9	103.2%	13.5% 9.7%	\$6,113 \$5,539	110.4%	

* Outlier - Not included inCEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

 $\ast\ast$ Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

				lty FTI	E													
COSM undergraduate student	' FTE	Te	Tenured enure-Tracl		lty	Ot	her Regula	ar Facu	ılty	Su	pplemental	Facu	ılty	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants				
CIP Code Department Name	<u>Dept.</u>	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	1	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE	/	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	
26.01 Biological Sciences26.01 Peer Norm	BIO55	19.4	10.8 11.6		93.1%	4.5	27.7 47.0		58.9%	2.7	4.9 27.3		18.1%	33.9	10.3 15.8		65.0%	
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bio26.02 Peer Norm	BMB55	4.1	4.7 9.3		50.7%	0.0	22.6	***	-	0.0	- 16.1	***	-	4.1	4.7 10.2		46.4%	
26.09 Biomedical Sciences26.09 Peer Norm	BCM5	1.8	2.1	***	-	0.0	-			7.6	-		-	9.7	0.4	***	-	
26.04 NeuroSci, Cell Bio&Phys26.04 Peer Norm	ANT55	7.1	1.7 -	***	-	2.0	49.5	***	-	0.0	-			10.1	- 11.0	***	-	
26.10 Pharm. & Toxicology26.10 Pharm & Toxicology	PTY55	0.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	0.7	26.2	***	-	0.7	26.2	***	-	
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics27.01 Peer Norm	MTH55	31.2	10.2 9.7		104.8%	10.0	29.1 35.3		82.3%	4.8	26.0 28.8		90.3%	48.0	16.8 18.7		90.0%	
30.01 COSM Administration30.01 Peer Norm	COSMA	0.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	1.8	7.0	***	-	1.8	7.0	***	-	
40.05 Chemistry40.05 Peer Norm	CHM55	15.0	21.2 13.9		152.9%	0.0	45.7	***	-	0.7	33.1 29.1		113.8%	22.6	15.1 16.1		93.4%	
40.06 Earth & Environmental Sci.40.06 Peer Norm	GLY55	10.8	12.2 12.7		96.3%	1.5	28.1 33.4		84.0%	3.8	9.9 14.3		69.3%	20.4	10.4 12.5		83.4%	
40.08Physics40.08Peer Norm	PHY55	11.6	11.7 10.7		108.7%	1.0	20.4 32.9		61.9%	2.6	14.7 21.9		67.1%	16.8	11.5 13.1		87.3%	

				F	TE per H	per Faculty FTE										
COSM undergraduate student	FTE	Te	Tenured an enure-Track F		Ot	her Regula	r Fac	ulty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Inch	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% Pe No	er	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm
42.01 Psychology42.01 Peer Norm	PSY55	22.0	8.7 14.3	60.7%	3.0	112.3 35.7	*	315.0%	9.7	34.8 27.7	125	.5%	36.7	23.6 18.5		127.3%
51.10 Clinical Laboratory Sci.51.10 Peer Norm	CLB55	0.0	-	-	0.5	79.5 -	***	-	0.9	6.8 -	*** _		1.4	32.1	***	-
26.13 Env. Health & Env. Sci.26.13 Peer Norm	EHH/ENS	0.0	-	-	0.0	-		-	1.7	-			1.7	-		-
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average		122.9	11.6 11.8	98.3%	22.5	28.1 36.4		77.2%	36.9	23.6 27.6	85.	7%	207.7	15.3 18.3		83.6%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average		470.7	10.8 10.4	104.1%	142.5	17.4 20.4		85.4%	181.4	15.7 17.5	89.	9%	837.1	13.3 13.5		98.4%

* Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM Total. ** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

COSM graduate student fte			Tenured a	Faculty		her Regula	r Facı	ılty		pplemental	Facu	•		All Facul ides Teaching		
		#		% of	#				#			% of	#			% of
CIP	D (Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		% of Peer	•	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
Code Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
26.01 Biological Sciences	BIO55	19.4	3.2	138.1%	4.5	-		-	2.7	1.1		71.4%	33.9	1.9		121.4%
26.01 Peer Norm			2.3			0.4	***			1.6				1.6		
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bio	BMB55	4.1	7.2	144.4%	0.0				0.0	_			4.1	7.2		175.7%
26.02 Peer Norm	DIVIDJJ	4.1	5.0	144.4%	0.0	0.8	***	-	0.0	-	***	-	4.1	4.1		173.7%
20.02 Teer Norm			5.0			0.8				1.4				4.1		
26.09 Biomedical Sciences	BCM5	1.8	10.8	*** _	0.0	-		-	7.6	2.0	***	-	9.7	3.4	***	-
26.09 Peer Norm			-			-				-				-		
		_														
26.04 NeuroSci, Cell Bio&Phys	ANT55	7.1	8.3	*** _	2.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	10.1	5.9	***	-
26.04 Peer Norm			-			-				-				-		
26.10 Pharm. & Toxicology	PTY55	0.0	_	-	0.0	_		-	0.7	_		-	0.7	-		_
26.10 Peer Norm			-			-				-				-		
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics	MTH55	31.2	1.7	83.3%	10.0	-		-	4.8	-		-	48.0	1.1		111.1%
27.01 Peer Norm			2.0			0.2	***			0.2				1.0		
									4.0				1.0			
30.01 COSM Administration	COSMA	0.0	-	-	0.0	-		-	1.8	-		-	1.8	-		-
30.01 Peer Norm			-			-				-				-		
40.05 Chemistry	CHM55	15.0	3.8	125.9%	0.0	_			0.7	_			22.6	2.4		129.4%
40.05 Peer Norm	CIIWIJJ	15.0	3.0	123.970	0.0	0.3	***	-	0.7	1.4	***	-	22.0	1.9		129.470
			5.0			0.5				1.7				1.7		
40.06 Earth & Environmental Sci.	GLY55	10.8	5.3	252.6%	1.5	-		-	3.8	1.8		88.9%	20.4	3.1		200.0%
40.06 Peer Norm			2.1			0.4	***			2.0				1.6		
40.08 Physics	PHY55	11.6	1.1	55.6%	1.0	-		-	2.6	0.7		54.5%	16.8	0.9		66.7%
40.08 Peer Norm			2.0			0.1	***			1.2				1.3		

				ulty FTE											
COSM graduate student fte		Те	Tenured an nure-Track Fa		Ot	ther Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants				
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm		
42.01 Psychology 42.01 Peer Norm	<u>Dept.</u> PSY55	22.0	2.7 3.6	75.0%	3.0	-	- ***	9.7	0.1	5.3%	36.7	1.7 2.4	68.2%		
51.10 Clinical Laboratory Sci.	CLB55	0.0	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.9	-	-	1.4	-	-		
51.10 Peer Norm26.13 Env. Health & Env. Sci.	EHH/ENS	0.0	-		0.0	-		1.7	- 3.2	*** _	1.7	- 3.2	*** _		
26.13 Peer Norm	LIII/LI(5	0.0	-		0.0	-		1.7	-		1.7	-			
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average		122.9	2.9 2.6	110.9%	22.5	-		36.9	0.7 2.3	29.2%	207.7	1.9 1.6	118.8%		
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average		470.7	4.5 3.4	132.5%	142.5	2.3 2.0	113.2%	181.4	4.1 3.9	106.7%	837.1	3.5 2.4	145.9%		

* Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

]	i un i crim i cuu brudent i i D per i ucung i i D														Direct Instruction					
COSM total student fte	Te	Tenured enure-Track		lty	Oth	ner Regula	r Facı	ulty	Sı	pplementa	l Facı	ılty	Inclu	All Facul Ides Teaching	.,	ants	% SCH	Expense Stude	-	
	#			% of	#			% of	#			% of	#			% of	GRAD			% of
CIP	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	<i>,</i>	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer		Expense		Peer
<u>Code</u> <u>Department Name</u> <u>Dept.</u>	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm				Norm
26.01 Biological Sciences BIO55	19.4	14.0		95.9%	4.5	27.7		58.1%	2.7	6.0		20.5%	33.9	12.2	_	68.5%	9.9%	\$7,569	_	130.3%
26.01 Peer Norm		14.6				47.7				29.2				17.8			7.1%	\$5,808		
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bi BMB55	4.1	12.0		83.9%	0.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	4.1	12.0		93.8%	47.8%	\$13.887		168.7%
26.02 Peer Norm		14.3				23.5	***			17.5	***			12.8			9.3%	\$8,230		
	1.0	10.0			0.0					2.0			0.7	2.0			02.004	#22.515		
26.09 Biomedical Sciences BCM5 26.09 Peer Norm	1.8	12.8	***	-	0.0	-		-	7.6	2.0	***	-	9.7	3.9	***	-	83.8% 18.4%	\$22,646	***	-
20.09 100 100 11																	10.470			
26.04 NeuroSci, Cell Bio&Phys ANT55	7.1	10.0	***	-	2.0	49.5	***	-	0.0	-		-	10.1	16.9	***	-	24.3%	\$6,538	***	-
26.04 Peer Norm		-				-				-				-			23.4%	-		
26.10 Pharm. & Toxicology PTY55	0.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	0.7	26.2	***	-	0.7	26.2	***	-	0.0%	-		-
26.10 Peer Norm		-				-				-				-			-	-		
OT 01 Medianetics 9 Statistics MTHES	21.0	11.0		100.00/	10.0	20.1		01 70/	4.0	26.0		01.50/	49.0	17.0		20.10/	2.90/	¢5.505		121 50/
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics MTH5527.01 Peer Norm	31.2	11.9 11.9		100.0%	10.0	29.1 35.6		81.7%	4.8	26.0 28.4		91.5%	48.0	17.9 20.1		89.1%	3.8% 3.2%	\$5,595 \$4,254		131.5%
		11.7				55.0				20.4				20.1			5.270	φ 4 ,234		_
30.01 COSM Administration COSMA	0.0	-		-	0.0	-		-	1.8	7.0	***	-	1.8	7.0	***	-	0.0%	\$19,594	***	-
30.01 Peer Norm		-								-				-			-	-		
	15.0	25.0		150 (0)	0.0				0.7	22.0		106.00/	22.6	17.5		06 70	0.00/	¢7.042		102 (0)
40.05ChemistryCHM5540.05Peer Norm	15.0	25.0 16.6		150.6%	0.0	46.1	***	-	0.7	33.0 30.9		106.8%	22.6	17.5 18.1		96.7%	8.9% 7.0%	\$7,043 \$6,800		103.6%
40.05 1 cci 100111		10.0				40.1				50.9				10.1			7.070	\$0,800		
40.06 Earth & Environmental S GLY55	10.8	17.5		116.7%	1.5	28.1		82.4%	3.8	11.7		55.2%	20.4	13.5		94.4%	15.2%	\$8,167		107.5%
40.06 Peer Norm		15.0				34.1				21.2				14.3			8.2%	\$7,596		
40.08 Physics PHY55	11.6	12.8		96.2%	1.0	20.4		61.4%	2.6	15.4		70.6%	16.8	12.4		85.5%	4.4%	\$10,815		151.2%
40.08 Privsics PHY55 40.08 Peer Norm	11.0	12.8		90.2%	1.0	33.2		01.4%	2.0	21.8		/0.0%	10.8	12.4		03.3%	4.4% 6.8%	\$10,815		131.2%
		15.5				55.2				21.0				17.5			0.070	ψ1,155		

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

		Fail Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE														Direct Instruction			
COSM total student fte	Т	Tenured an enure-Track Fa		Ot	her Regulai	r Facu	lty	Sı	ıpplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facu udes Teaching		ants	% SCH	_	Expense per Total Student FTE		
CIP Code Department Name Dep	# Faculty . FTE	 Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE 	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	r	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense		% of Peer Norm	
CodeDepartment NameDep42.01PsychologyPSY42.01Peer Norm		11.4 17.7	64.4%	3.0	112.3 37.0	*	303.5%	9.7	34.9 30.1	115.9%	36.7	25.3 20.9		121.1%	4.1% 7.4%	\$4,674 \$4,757		98.3%	
51.10 Clinical Laboratory Sci. CLB 51.10 Peer Norm	55 0.0		-	0.5	79.5 -	***		0.9	6.8	*** _	1.4	32.0	***		0.0%	\$3,616	***	-	
26.13 Env. Health & Env. Sci. EHH/E 26.13 Peer Norm	NS 0.0		-	0.0	-		-	1.7	3.3	*** _	1.7	3.3	***	-	100.0%	\$45,402	***		
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average	122.9	14.5 14.5	99.9%	22.5	40.8 36.9		110.4%	36.9	24.2 28.8	83.9%	207.7	17.3 17.8		96.9%	8.2% 6.1%	\$6,488 \$5,371		120.8%	
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	470.7	15.0 13.4	111.8%	142.5	18.8 22.0		85.6%	181.4	18.7 19.6	95.3%	837.1	16.4 15.9		103.2%	13.5% 9.7%	\$6,113 \$5,539		110.4%	

* Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM Total. ** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

			F	all Ter	m Under	ent FTE per Faculty FTE							
CECS undergraduate student fte	Ter	Tenured ar ure-Track F		Oth	er Regular	Faculty	Suţ	pplemental F	Faculty	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			
	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	
CIP	Faculty		Peer	Faculty		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty		Peer	
CodeDepartment NameDept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	
11.01Computer ScienceCSC53Computer EngineeringCSE53	10.0	5.7	110.4%	5.0	17.1	98.8%	10.3	19.9	121.1%	35.6	11.0	113.8%	
11.01 Peer Norm		5.1			17.3			16.4			9.7		
14.01CECS AdministrationCECS14.01Peer Norm	0.0	5.7	-	1.0	7.2 26.5	27.2%	2.5	12.3 16.1	76.3%	3.5	10.8 12.3	87.6%	
14.05 Biomed & Hum Factors Erg. BME514.05 Peer Norm	5 12.9	5.3 3.5	151.9%	1.0	18.3 12.3	149.5%	1.1	4.6 4.9	93.2%	15.3	5.9 3.7	161.8%	
14.10Electrical EngineeringEEG5:14.10Peer Norm	14.3	4.5 5.9	76.1%	0.0	- 19.5	-	3.4	4.3 11.0	39.4%	20.4	4.3 6.7	64.0%	
14.19 Mechanical & Materials Engin MEG514.19 Peer Norm	5 17.0	8.0 8.5	94.5%	0.0	13.2	-	1.7	13.3 15.7	84.3%	19.0	8.3 8.4	99.2%	
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	62.2	5.9 6.4	93.4%	7.0	15.8 17.8	89.2%	18.9	14.6 14.9	98.2%	93.7	8.2 8.2	100.1%	
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	470.7	10.8 10.4	104.1%	142.5	17.4 20.4	85.4%	181.4	15.7 17.5	89.9%	837.1	13.3 13.5	98.4%	

* Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

					lty FTE										
CECS graduate student fte			Te	Tenured a nure-Track		0	ther Regula	r Faculty	Su	pplemental F	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants				
			#		% of	#			#		% of	#			% of
CIP			Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer
Code	Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm
11.01	Computer Science Computer Engineering	CSC55 CSE55	18.0	7.3	165.0%	5.0	1.0	100.0%	10.3	1.4	72.2%	35.6	4.2		158.3%
11.01	Peer Norm			4.4			1.0			2.0			2.7		
14.01 14.01	CECS Administration Peer Norm	CECS5	0.0	3.3	-	1.0	1.0		2.5	0.9	69.1%	3.5	1.0		39.8%
14.01	reel nomi			5.5	مان مان مان		1.0			1.5			2.3		
14.05	Biomed & Hum Factors Erg.	BME55	12.9	8.2	185.0%	1.0	-	-	1.1	16.2	239.3%	15.3	8.0		189.5%
14.05	Peer Norm			4.4			0.1	***		6.8			4.2		
14.10	Electrical Engineering	EEG55	14.3	9.2	251.5%	0.0	_	_	3.4	11.3	377.8%	20.4	8.3	*	_
14.10	Peer Norm	LLC55	11.5	3.7	231.370	0.0	0.8	***	5.1	3.0	577.070	20.1	2.8	**	
14.19	Mechanical & Materials Engir	MEG55	17.0	4.2	190.0%	0.0	-	-	1.7	1.1	41.7%	19.0	3.8		178.9%
14.19	Peer Norm			2.2			0.7	***		2.7			2.1		
CECS	Average / Total		62.2	7.1	187.8%	7.0	1.0	48.6%	18.9	4.0	112.1%	93.7	5.5		199.2%
Peer No	orm Average			3.8			2.1			3.5			2.8		
A	s Average / Total		470.7	4.5	132.5%	142.5	2.3	113.2%	181.4	4.1	106.7%	837.1	3.5		145.9%
Peer No	orm Average			3.4			2.0			3.9			2.4		

* Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE													
CECS total student fte	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty			Incl	All Facult		% SCH	Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE	
CIP Code Department Name Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
11.01Computer ScienceCSC55Computer EngineeringCSE55	18.0	13.0	136.8%	5.0	18.1	95.3%	10.3	21.3	109.8%	35.6	15.2	119.7%	18.7%	\$6,051	63.6%
11.01 Peer Norm		9.5			19.0			19.4			12.7		14.8%	\$9,510	
14.01CECS AdministrationCECS514.01Peer Norm	0.0	- 8.9 ,	-	1.0	8.2 28.1	29.2%	2.5	13.2 19.0	69.5%	3.5	11.8 14.9	79.3%	5.0% 18.6%	\$1,965 \$10,980	17.9%
14.05 Biomed & Hum Factors I BME55 14.05 Peer Norm	12.9	13.5 7.9	170.9%	1.0	18.3 12.4	147.6%	1.1	20.8 11.7	177.8%	15.3	14.0 7.9	177.2%	44.7% 41.4%	\$10,159 \$21,785	46.6%
14.10 Electrical Engineering EEG55 14.10 Peer Norm	14.3	13.7 9.8	139.8%	0.0	20.9	-	3.4	15.6 13.5	115.6%	20.4	12.6 10.1	124.8%		\$10,542 \$14,471	72.8%
14.10 Feet Norm14.19 Mechanical & Materials I MEG5514.19 Peer Norm	17.0	12.2 10.7	114.0%	0.0	- 12.8	- ***	1.7	13.5 14.4 17.8	80.9%	19.0	10.1 12.2 10.6	115.1%		\$11,577 \$12,327	93.9%
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	62.2	13.0 10.1	128.9%	7.0	16.7 19.2	86.9%	18.9	18.6 17.8	104.1%	93.7	13.7 11.4	120.6%	28.9% 17.8%	\$8,545 \$9,778	87.4%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	470.7	15.0 13.4	111.8%	142.5	18.8 22.0	85.6%	181.4	18.7 19.6	95.3%	837.1	16.4 15.9	103.2%	13.5% 9.7%	\$6,113 \$5,539	110.4%

* Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009

				TE										
CONH undergraduate student fte		Ter	Tenured an nure-Track F		Oth	er Regular F	Suj	pplemental	Fac	ulty	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants			
		#		% of	#		% of	#			% of	#		% of
CIP		Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer
Code Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm
51.16 CONH Administration	CONHA	22.0	12.0	152.5%	25.5	7.1	80.5%	1.4	18.7	*	261.7%	48.9	9.7	122.9%
Nursing & Health	CONHT													
51.16 Peer Norm			7.9			8.9			7.1	**			7.9	
CONH Average / Total		22.0	12.0	165.6%	25.5	7.1	82.4%	1.4	18.7	*	297.2%	48.9	9.7	125.5%
Peer Norm Average			7.2			8.7			6.3	*			7.7	
Campus Average / Total		470.7	10.8	104.1%	142.5	17.4	85.4%	181.4	15.7		89.9%	837.1	13.3	98.4%
Peer Norm Average			10.4			20.4			17.5				13.5	

* Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

COHN's average and the corresponding Peer Norm Average (weighted average) is displayed here even though CONH's data point is an outlier, but this data is not included in Campus Average or corresponding Campus Peer Norm Average.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009 Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

					Fall Term Graduate Student FTE per Faculty FTE											
CONH graduate student fte		Te	Tenured and nure-Track Fa		Oth	ner Regular Fa	aculty	Su	pplemental F	aculty	All Faculty Includes Teaching Assistants					
		#		% of	#		% of	#		% of	#		% of			
CIP		Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer			
Code Department Name	Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			
51.16 CONH Administration Nursing & Health	CONHA CONHT	22.0	4.2	90.5%	25.5	2.0	128.6%	1.4	13.3	315.8%	48.9	3.3	125.0%			
51.16 Peer Norm			4.7			1.6			4.2			2.7				
			1.2			•	124.000		10.0	220 404	40.0	2.2	110.00			
CONH Average / Total		22.0	4.2	88.7%	25.5	2.0	134.9%	1.4	13.3	338.4%	48.9	3.3	118.2%			
Peer Norm Average			4.8			1.5			3.9			2.8				
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average		470.7	4.5 3.4	132.5%	142.5	2.3 2.0	113.2%	181.4	4.1 3.9	106.7%	837.1	3.5 2.4	145.9%			

* Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2008-2009

Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

				Fall	Term Tot	al Studer	nt FTE	per Facu	lty]	FTE					Direct	
CONH total student fte	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty			Supplemental Faculty				Inc	All Faculty ludes Teaching A	,	%	Instructional Expense per Total	
	#		% of	#		% of	#			% of	#		% of	SCH		% of
CIP	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	GRAD	Expense	Peer
Code Department Name Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	fTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE		Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
51.16 CONH Administration CONHA	22.0	16.2	136.1%	25.5	9.1	83.5%	1.4	32.1	*	261.0%	48.9	13.0	123.8%	17.1%	\$8,485	87.6%
Nursing & Health CONHT																
51.16 Peer Norm		11.9			10.9			12.3	**			10.5		19.4%	\$9,684	
CONH Average / Total	22.0	16.2	136.9%	25.5	9.1	88.5%	1.4	32.1	*	318.5%	48.9	13.0	123.3%	17.1%	\$8,485	88.4%
Peer Norm Average		11.8			10.3			10.1	*			10.5		19.4%	\$9,597	
Campus Average / Total	470.7	15.0	111.8%	142.5	18.8	85.6%	181.4	18.7		95.3%	837.1	16.4	103.2%	13.5%	\$6,113	110.4%
Peer Norm Average		13.4			22.0			19.6				15.9		9.7%	\$5,539	

* Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

*** Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

COHN's Average and the corresponding Peer Norm Average (weighted average) is displayed here even though CONH's data point is an outlier, but this data is not included in Campus Average or corresponding Campus Peer Norm Average.