

Assignments. Select **one** of the options below for assessment of one or more outcomes

Written assignment(s) that addresses/address outcome(s). Include outcome #, title and description for each assignment.

Outcome #: 2 Title:

Description of assignment: Lab Practical written multiple choice exam

Essay question(s). Provide the question(s) and outcome(s) below.

1. Outcome #: _____ Essay Question: _____
2. Outcome #: _____ Essay Question: _____
3. Outcome #: _____ Essay Question: _____

Pilot asynchronous written discussion that addresses outcome(s). Provide the outcome # and question(s).

1. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____
2. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____
3. Outcome #: _____ Discussion Question: _____

Multiple Choice or T/F Marker questions – 3 to 4 questions per outcome. List the outcome and question numbers. A rubric is not used for Marker questions. "All the above" should not be used as the correct answer more than once. **Courses that are IW or SRV/SRVI must use written assignments for those attributes.** Complete the benchmark: We expect 50 % of students to answer 50 % of the question(s) correctly.

1. Outcome #: _____ 2 _____
 - a) Question: From the graph and data provided, determine the equivalence point and the pKa of this unknown acid. (graph of pH vs NaOH is provided)
 - b) Question: What is the value of E_{cell} at 25°C when $[\text{Cu}^{2+}] = 0.100\text{M}$ and $[\text{Zn}^{2+}] = 1.90\text{M}$. (Nernst equation and cell potentials of a range of standard reduction potentials are provided).
 - c) Question: Safety: Which of the following methods is the safest way to dispense 12 m HCl?
 - d) Question: A student carried out the synthesis of Ni(II)(acac)_2 (MM 265.91) from 0.148g of $\text{Ni(II)(NO}_3)_2\text{Hexahydrate}$ (MM 290.79) with the same process as our experiment. As in our experiment there is a 1:1 relationship between the Ni in the reactant and the product. What is the theoretical yield for Ni(II)(acac)_2
2. Outcome #: _____
 - a) Question: _____
 - b) Question: _____
 - c) Question: _____
 - d) Question: _____
3. Outcome #: _____
 - a) Question: _____
 - b) Question: _____
 - c) Question: _____
 - d) Question: _____

Collecting and submitting the student assignment(s)

_____ Will upload assignment(s) to Pilot

_____ Will give access to assignment(s) on Pilot

Other: _____

Rubric Selection (A, B). Select the items you feel best match your assignment(s) in the rubric(s) on the next pages. Please highlight in yellow. **If this course has an IW attribute, please also see section B.**

A. Element 6 Rubric. Select the item(s) you will use in your rubric by highlighting in yellow the item(s). You may select one or more of them. As there is overlap, choose the items that best fit the assignment you select for assessment. The items below are taken from the Association of American Colleges and Universities (AACU) Value Rubrics for Critical Thinking and Inquiry and Analysis.

IF YOU ARE USING MARKER QUESTIONS FOR THE OUTCOME, DO NOT USE THIS RUBRIC.

Item	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Emerging 1
AACU Critical Thinking VALUE Rubric Items				
Explanation of issues	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/ or backgrounds unknown.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description.
Evidence <i>Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion</i>	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/ evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/ evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/ evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.
Influence of context and assumptions	Thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions).

	when presenting a position.			Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.
Student's position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue. Limits of position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) are acknowledged. Others' points of view are synthesized within position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue. Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious.
Conclusions and related outcomes (implications and consequences)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.
Item	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Emerging 1
AACU <u>Inquiry and Analysis</u> VALUE Rubric Items				
Topic selection	Identifies a creative, focused, and manageable topic that addresses potentially significant yet previously less-explored aspects of the topic.	Identifies a focused and manageable/ doable topic that appropriately addresses relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that while manageable/ doable, is too narrowly focused and leaves out relevant aspects of the topic.	Identifies a topic that is far too general and wide-ranging as to be manageable and doable.

Existing Knowledge, Research, and/or Views	Synthesizes in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/ approaches.	Presents in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/ approaches.	Presents information from relevant sources representing limited points of view/ approaches.	Presents information from irrelevant sources representing limited points of view/ approaches.
Design Process	All elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are skillfully developed. Appropriate methodology or theoretical frameworks may be synthesized from across disciplines or from relevant sub disciplines.	Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are appropriately developed, however, more subtle elements are ignored or unaccounted for.	Critical elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are missing, incorrectly developed, or unfocused.	Inquiry design demonstrates a misunderstanding of the methodology or theoretical framework.
Analysis	Organizes and synthesizes evidence to reveal insightful patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	Organizes evidence to reveal important patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.	Organizes evidence, but the organization is not effective in revealing important patterns, differences, or similarities.	Lists evidence, but it is not organized and/ or is unrelated to focus.
Conclusions	States a conclusion that is a logical extrapolation from the inquiry findings.	States a conclusion focused solely on the inquiry findings. The conclusion arises specifically from and responds specifically to the inquiry findings.	States a general conclusion that, because it is so general, also applies beyond the scope of the inquiry findings.	States an ambiguous, illogical, or unsupported conclusion from inquiry findings.
Limitations and Implications	Insightfully discusses in detail relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Discusses relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Presents relevant and supported limitations and implications.	Presents limitations and implications, but they are possibly irrelevant and unsupported.

B. If this is an IW course, you will use the items on this page. You may select one or more of them. Please highlight in yellow.

Item	Mastery 4	Partial Mastery 3	Progressing 2	Emerging 1
Includes considerations of audience, purpose, and the circumstances surrounding the writing task(s).	Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.	Demonstrates adequate consideration of context, audience, and purpose and a clear focus on the assigned task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with audience, purpose, and context).	Demonstrates awareness of context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins to show awareness of audience's perceptions and assumptions).	Demonstrates minimal attention to context, audience, purpose, and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., expectation of instructor or self as audience).
Content Development	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and shape the whole work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.
Formal and informal rules inherent in the expectations for writing in particular forms and/or academic fields (please see glossary).	Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices	Demonstrates consistent use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s), including organization, content, presentation, and stylistic choices	Follows expectations appropriate to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s) for basic organization, content, and presentation	Attempts to use a consistent system for basic organization and presentation.
Sources and Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing	Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.

Control of Syntax and Mechanics	Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The language in the portfolio has few errors.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.	Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.
--	---	--	---	--

SECTION 3: UCRC COMMITTEE REVIEW ONLY. DO NOT delete this section.

Item	Complete / NA / Revision Requested	Comments
Learning Outcomes for Element 6 Natural Science	Complete	Outcome 2 was selected on page one for you.
Assignments matched to Element 6 LOs	Revision Requested	Please provide the answer options to the multiple choice questions.
Rubric for LOs	N/A	
Rubric for IW Attribute	N/A	
Assigned Approved Reviewers	Complete	

Committee Review Completed

Committee Chair Signature Dr. Ann M. Bowling Date December 2018

Core Assessment Element 6 Report Template

A separate report needs to be submitted for each assessment plan approved by the Undergraduate Core Oversight Committee (UCOC).

This report must be uploaded to the Pilot course called Element 6 Core Course Assessment 2018-19 (continuous year) by Tuesday, October 1, 2019. The Final Report Dropbox link can be accessed via Content > Dropbox (Plans, Reports) > Final Report Dropbox.

Date Report Submitted: **10/15/19**

Element: Core Element 6 – Natural Science

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Course and Sections Assessed: **General Chemistry II lab 1220L sections -01G, -02H, -03J**

Assessment Plan:

The assessment plan approved by the UCOC is filed on the pilot page under Content > Plans > (corresponding course folder)

Describe the final assessment plan that was implemented and explain any changes made to the approved plan. The assessment was made using Fall 2018 data rather than Spring 2019 data because the large class size of 159 made recording individual question answers impractical without Scantrons and because of the disruption with the strike. The Fall 2018 data was hand counted for the four questions for 49 students.

Questions a), c), and d) were presented in a written multiple choice/ short answer exam. Question b) was part of the lab practical exam but did not require any in lab measurements as part of the answer.

Question b) had been written somewhat different from the one proposed. Essentially changing the concentrations to 1 M rather than those proposed.

Question d):

A different question requiring analysis and calculations was used to assess Fall of 2018:

“Calculate the pH of 100.0 mL of a buffer containing 0.100 M NH_4Cl and 0.100 M NH_3 after the addition of 1.0 mL of 6 M NaOH. (pK_a is 9.26; must show work in the space below to receive credit.”

- a) 8.66
- b) 9.27
- c) 9.86 **Correct Answer**
- d) 10.39

Assessment Data Collection:

Describe the data that were collected. Explain any variations to the data collection from the approved assessment plan.

Questions a) c) and d) were collected as multiple choice answers. Question b) was an equation and a numerical answer.

Assessment Results:

Present the results from the Watermark Aqua review of student artifacts provided to you by Carl Brun, Academic Affairs; and/or

Present the results from a review of marker questions. The analysis of marker questions must be completed by the department faculty.

Number of students scored: 49

a) part A	a) Part b	b)	c)	d)
79.6%	57.1%	88.8%	95.9%	70.4%

These results exceeded our expectation of 50% of students to answer 50% of the questions correctly.

Assessment Feedback:

Describe how the results were shared with the instructors of the courses assessed and the department chair.

The student data and assessor results were shared with the department chair and the relevant faculty via email.

Describe any changes taken to the course and assessment plan based on the assessment of the courses.

Because of the positive results no recommendation for changes in the course based on this assessment are planned at this time. Future assessment may result in questions more similar to the original proposal or different questions. It is recommended that Scantrons be used to track individual question answers for larger classes.

Describe how and when the assessment results will be shared with the department curriculum committee.

The assessment results will be shared with the head of the chemistry undergraduate studies committee.

Assessment Administration Feedback

The assessment of the courses was part of the Core assessment cycle. The assessment plan was reviewed and approved by the UCOC. The UCOC provided a presentation on tools available to assist with the assessment, including Watermark Aqua.

Describe any changes you recommend about the oversight of the assessment process by the UCOC and the Academic Affairs office.

No changes are recommended at this time.

UCOC Report Review

Item	Complete/NA	Revision Requested	Comments
Identified Outcome Assessed	XX		
Identified Procedure for Assessment	XX		
Summary of Results	XX		
Results Shared with Instructor, Dept Curriculum Committee, etc.	XX		
Plan for Improvements	XX		

Committee Review Completed XXX

Committee Chair Signature Dr. Anne M. Bowling Date 2/13/2023