

**3MT<sup>®</sup> Competition Judging Rubric**

**PRESENTER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**SCHOOL:** \_\_\_\_\_

**INSTITUTE:** \_\_\_\_\_

**FACULTY:** \_\_\_\_\_

**UNIVERSITY:** \_\_\_\_\_

**3MT TITLE:** \_\_\_\_\_

**SCORING CALIBRATION:**

1 Does not meet expectations	2 Demonstrates competency but some significant weaknesses	3 Good, but some flaws	4 Excellent, almost flawless	5 Outstanding, no flaws
---------------------------------	--	---------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------

Please include a score between 1 and 5 for each line item below (half marks allowed 0.5).

<b>COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT</b>	
Presentation provided clear motivation, background, and significance to the research question	
Presentation clearly described the research strategy/design and the results/findings of the research	
Presentation clearly described the conclusions, outcomes, and impact of the research	
Score out of 15	

Please include a score between 1 and 5 for each line item below (half marks allowed 0.5).

<b>ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION</b>	
The oration was delivered clearly, and the language was appropriate for a non-specialist audience	
The PowerPoint slide was well-defined and enhanced the presentation	
The presenter conveyed enthusiasm for their research, captured and maintained audience's attention	
Score out of 15	

<b>OVERALL SCORE</b>	/30
----------------------	-----

**COMMENTS**

This very brief comment will be used to provide feedback to unsuccessful entrants. Please identify one positive and one area for improvement. **e.g. xxx was good but you need to work on xxx**

---



---



---



---