3MT® Competition Judging Rubric

PRESENTER:

SCHOOL:

INSTITUTE:

FACULTY:

UNIVERSITY:

3MT TITLE:

SCORING CALIBRATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does not meet expectations</td>
<td>Demonstrates competency but some significant weaknesses</td>
<td>Good, but some flaws</td>
<td>Excellent, almost flawless</td>
<td>Outstanding, no flaws</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please include a score between 1 and 5 for each line item below (half marks allowed 0.5).

**COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT**

Presentation provided clear motivation, background, and significance to the research question

Presentation clearly described the research strategy/design and the results/findings of the research

Presentation clearly described the conclusions, outcomes, and impact of the research

Score out of 15

Please include a score between 1 and 5 for each line item below (half marks allowed 0.5).

**ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION**

The oration was delivered clearly, and the language was appropriate for a non-specialist audience

The PowerPoint slide was well-defined and enhanced the presentation

The presenter conveyed enthusiasm for their research, captured and maintained audience’s attention

Score out of 15

**OVERALL SCORE**

/30

**COMMENTS**

This very brief comment will be used to provide feedback to unsuccessful entrants. Please identify one positive and one area for improvement. e.g. **xxx was good but you need to work on xxx**