2012 - 2013 Delaware Study

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

1

2012-2013 Delaware Study- National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Wright State University to the Research Institutions - High Research Activity (RH)

The following tables compare Wright State University's main campus data to a peer group of institutions consisting of the RH Institutions according to the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education. The following thirty-six institutions are included in the peer group:

To request further information regarding the list of peer instituions, please contact

Wright State University's

Office of Budget Planning & Resource Analysis.

http://www.wright.edu/business-and-finance/financial-and-business-operations/budget-planning-resource-analysis/overview

Departmental CIP Codes Listed by WSU College

- The following tables are grouped by WSU's colleges and listed by department with the corresponding peer norm data.
- * Each department has been assigned a Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) code that relates to the discipline and subject matter taught
- A few departments are listed under the same general CIP code.
- The corresponding peer norm data is listed by CIP code.

2012-2013 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Student FTE per Faculty FTE

The following tables compare Fall 2012 WSU's **Student FTE** per **Faculty FTE** to the Research Institution Peer Norms.

Student FTE for the fall term is calculated by dividing the number of undergraduate student credit hours by 15 and graduate student credit hours by 9.

Student credit hours are linked to the department according to the funding unit of the faculty of record who taught the course section.

For courses that are co-taught the student credit hours are prorated according to faculty type or department, as needed.

The **Faculty FTE** is presented according to faculty type:

- Tenure and Tenured-Track Faculty:
 - Includes Full Professors, Associate Professors, and Assistant Professors. Department Chairs are included in this section.
 - The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner. Matrix department faculty FTE is prorated between the College of Science & Math and the School of Medicine. The School of Medicine is not included in this study.
- Other Regular Faculty:
 - Includes Non-Tenure Track Instructors and Lectures. The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner.
- Supplemental Faculty:
 - Includes adjunct faculty and any non-faculty who taught Fall term, but whose primary job responsibility is not teaching. Deans are included in this section. Supplemental Faculty FTE is calculated by dividing the number of course credit hours taught by twelve (12 CCH = 1 FTE).
- All Faculty:
 - Includes the FTE from the above categories *plus* the Teaching Assistant FTE. The Teaching Assistant FTE is calculated based on headcount of every Teaching Assistant that taught Fall term (1 headcount = .33 FTE).

2012-2013 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE

The data collected for this portion of the study refers to financial information for the Fiscal Year 2012-13, and student credit hour data for the Academic Year 2012-13 (fall and spring terms).

- Direct Instructional Expense includes:
 - 1) *Salaries:* All wages paid to support the instructional function in a given department or program during the fiscal year. This includes faculty, clerical, professionals (e.g., lab technicians), graduate student stipends (but not tuition waivers), and any other personnel who support the teaching function and whose salaries and wages are paid from the department's instructional budget.
 - 2) Benefits: Expenditures for benefits associated with the personnel for whom salaries and wages were reported on the previous entry.
 - 3) Other Than Personnel Costs: This category includes non-personnel items such as travel, supplies and expense, non-capital equipment purchases, etc., that are typically part of a department or program's cost of doing business. Excluded from this category are items such as central computing costs, centrally allocated computing labs, graduate student tuition remission and fee waivers, etc.
- Student FTE for the academic year is calculated by the following:

For quarter calendar institutions, undergraduate student credit hours are divided by 45 and graduate student credit hours are divided by 27 For semester calendar institutions, undergraduate student credit hours are divided by 30 and graduate student credit hours are divided by 18

Note: The *Academic Data Series* includes expenses such fee waivers and data processing charges, whereas the *Delaware Study* excludes these types of expenses.

2012-2013 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

College Averages and Peer Norm Averages

- WSU's departmental CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "% of the Peer Norm."
- College averages of Student FTE per Faculty FTE are indicated for each faculty category.
 Corresponding peer norm averages are also indicated. Both College Averages and Peer Norm Averages are weighted.
- There are several instances in which there is no corresponding peer norm data for a particular WSU department/CIP code. This occurs because the peer analysis included CIP codes when at least five institutions reported data for that particular CIP code. CIP codes that had less than five institutions reporting data were not included in the peer analysis.
- When WSU department/CIP code does not have corresponding peer norm data the WSU department/CIP code data is not included in the College average which is indicated by ***.
- There are a few instances in which there is no WSU department/CIP code data for a particular type of faculty.

 In these cases the corresponding peer norm data is not included in the peer norm average which is also indicated by ***.
- There are some instances when WSU's department/CIP code data was identified as an outlier in the peer analysis. In these cases the data is shown, but it is not included in the College average. This is indicated by a * next to the data point. When this occurs the corresponding peer norm is also removed from the peer norm average calculation and it is indicated by **.

Comparison of Wright State University to Research Institutions Peer Norms

This report compares WSU to the peer norms according to the following method:

- 1) Every WSU department's CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "% of the Peer Norm."
- 2) For every college the overall average of all the departments within the college is calculated as a weighted average. The weighted average is also calculated for all the corresponding peer norms. The college's overall weighted average is compared to the overall peer norm weighted average and this is shown a percentage.

Student FTE per Faculty FTE Comparison of WSU Departments to Peer Norms

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

2012-2013 Delaware Study Report Wright State University Colleges vs. Peer Norm Averages

					Fall Ter	m Total St	udent F7	TE per Fac	ulty FTE						structional
	Te	Tenured and nure-Track Fa		Otl	ner Regular Fa	aculty	Su	pplemental Fa	aculty	Inclu	All Faculty des Teaching As		% SCH		per Total nt FTE
	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm Avg.
COLA Average / Total	140.3	11.7	101.6%	66.0	19.0	102.0%	69.2	18.3	112.2%	290.0	14.2	100.7%	3.4%	\$5,504	95.5%
Peer Norm Average		11.5			18.6			16.3			14.1		6.6%	\$5,764	
RSCB Average / Total	51.0	17.3	103.9%	10.0	28.1	90.4%	17.9	26.5	87.1%	78.9	20.8	95.2%	18.2%	\$7,510	124.8%
Peer Norm Average		16.7			31.1			30.4			21.8		12.3%	\$6,017	
CECS Average / Total	63.1	11.6	99.7%	9.6	21.1	84.6%	16.2	20.6	102.7%	93.6	13.4	105.2%	20.4%	\$10,781	115.9%
Peer Norm Average	03.1	11.6	99.770	9.0	24.9	04.070	10.2	20.0	102.770	93.0	12.7	103.270	15.3%	\$9,300	113.970
CEHS Average / Total	44.3	14.5	114.0%	14.5	12.8	83.8%	36.4	14.9	96.1%	95.1	14.5	104.6%	31.2%	\$6,847	123.8%
Peer Norm Average		12.7			15.3			15.5			13.9		27.8%	\$5,532	
CONH Average / Total	20.0	18.3	164.9%	19.0	6.2	48.1%	6.8	12.1	85.8%	45.8	12.4	98.4%	21.6%	\$9,798	109.0%
Peer Norm Average		11.1			12.9			14.1			12.6		22.2%	\$8,991	
					•••						10.6	106 =06	- 404		405004
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average	120.1	12.5 15.2	82.1%	20.0	39.8 35.1	113.5%	36.2	36.3 26.8	135.6%	195.5	18.6 17.4	106.7%	7.4% 6.0%	\$6,023 \$5,682	106.0%
J															
WSU Average / Total Peer Norm Average	438.8	13.1	99.2%	139.1	20.0	89.7%	182.8	21.7 19.3	112.4%	798.9	15.7 15.7	100.0%	11.4% 9.6%	\$6,797 \$6,108	111.3%
reci Noilli Average		13.2			22.3			19.5			13.7		9.0%	\$0,100	

Wright State University

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2012-2013

Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

		Fall Term Total Student FTE per Faculty FTE Tenured and														Direct Inst	ructional
COLA TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Other Regular Faculty				upplemental	l Faculty	Inc	All Facu cludes Teaching	,	%	Expense p Student		
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Pee Norm	SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
5.02 Women's Studies5.02 Peer Norm	WMS55	-	9.3	***	-	-	24.4	***	1.0	29.3 16.7	175.4%	1.0	29.3 14.8	* 198.0% **	5.7% 2.3%	\$2,267 \$5,029	45.1%
9.01 Communications 9.01 Peer Norm	COM55	8.0	9.8 13.1		74.8%	3.0	27.2 19.1	142.4%	4.4	20.2 18.0	112.2%	15.4	16.1 15.7	102.5%	0.0%	\$5,911 \$4,740	124.7%
16.01 Modern Languages16.01 Peer Norm	MOL55	8.0	9.1 10.0		91.0%	9.0	15.4 15.4	100.0%	8.5	15.4 13.8	111.6%	25.5	13.4 13.5	99.3%	0.0%	\$4,916 \$4,881	100.7%
24.01 COLA Administration 24.01 Peer Norm	COLA5	-	12.0	***		1.0	8.9 13.4	66.4%	0.4	7.5 13.0	57.7%	1.4	8.5 13.2	64.4%	0.8%	\$210,510 * \$8,028 **	2622.2%
23.01 English 23.01 Peer Norm	ENG55	19.7	10.1 9.3		108.6%	29.5	10.1 15.8	63.9%	8.0	19.3 17.7	109.0%	62.5	11.6 12.9	89.9%	5.3% 5.1%	\$5,555 \$5,320	104.4%
30.06 Applied Behavioral Science 30.06 Peer Norm	ABS55	0.4	15.3	***	-	-	-		0.3	9.8	***	0.7	13.3	*** <u>-</u>	41.0%	\$5,730 -	
38.01 Classics, Religion & Philosophy 38.01 Peer Norm	CLS55	13.4	15.1 14.6		103.4%	1.0	32.6 27.4	119.0%	2.5	41.7 27.0	154.4%	16.9	20.1 17.9	112.3%	2.4% 2.5%	\$4,610 \$5,183	88.9%
44.07 Social Work 44.07 Peer Norm	SOW55	5.0	9.5 11.2		84.8%	1.0	14.2 19.6	72.4%	2.3	16.8 19.6	85.7%	8.3	12.0 15.3	78.4%	10.7% 49.1%	\$6,389 \$6,588	97.0%

		Tenured and Other Reguler Fearlts Symplemental Fearlts All Faculty													Direct Ins	tructional	
COLA TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Tenured ar Tenure-Track I		Other Regular Faculty				St	upplemental I	Faculty	Inc	All Fact		nts	%	_	per Total nt FTE
CIP Code Department Name Dept	# Facul	,	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	9/	% of Peer Norm	SCH GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
54.01 History HSTS 54.01 Peer Norm	5 14.9	9 14.5 14.1	102.8%	3.0	47.7 24.6		193.9%	3.6	51.4 24.6	208.9%	21.4	25.3 16.2		156.2%	4.3% 5.4%	\$3,444 \$5,526	62.3%
45.10 Political Science PLS5 45.10 Peer Norm	5 12.0	19.9	140.1%	1.0	77.8 24.8	*	313.7%	4.3	31.7 19.6	161.7%	17.3	26.2 15.2	*	172.4%	3.1% 8.5%	\$4,599 \$6,171	74.5%
45.11 Sociology & Anthropology SOC5 45.11 Peer Norm	5 12.0	5 16.5 16.2	101.9%	4.6	64.2 36.0		178.3%	3.3	31.1 24.9	124.9%	20.4	29.6 20.9		141.6%	2.1% 3.5%	\$3,133 \$4,956	63.2%
45.07 Urban Affairs & Geography URSS 45.07 Peer Norm	5 6.0	13.0 17.6	73.9%	2.0	31.9 30.1		106.0%	8.0	7.4 17.5	42.3%	23.5	8.6 16.7		51.5%	14.4% 7.7%	\$5,456 \$5,534	98.6%
50.05 Theatre Arts THAS 50.05 Peer Norm	5 17.4	7.6 9.5	80.0%	4.0	4.3 11.9		36.1%	7.8	9.9 12.8	77.3%	29.3	7.8 11.3		69.0%	0.9% 6.2%	\$15,674 \$9,590	163.4%
50.07 Art & Art History ARTS 50.07 Peer Norm	5 10.0	9.3 9.7	95.9%	1.4	25.5 14.2		179.6%	2.3	23.9 15.1	158.3%	13.7	13.3 11.3		117.7%	0.0% 4.1%	\$6,256 \$7,593	82.4%
50.09 Music MUS: 50.09 Peer Norm	5 13.0	5.4 8.1	66.7%	5.5	24.9 10.2	*	244.1%	12.8	8.1 12.0	67.5%	32.9	9.9 8.7		113.8%	2.7% 9.5%	\$8,043 \$10,260	78.4%
COLA Average / Total	140.	3 11.7	101.6%	66.0	19.0		102.0%	69.2	18.3	112.2%	290.0	14.2		100.7%	3.4%	\$5,504	95.5%
Peer Norm Average WSU Average / Total Peer Norm Average	438.	11.5 8 13.1 13.2	99.2%	139.1	18.6 20.0 22.3		89.7%	182.8	16.3 21.7 19.3	112.4%	798.9	14.1 15.7 15.7		100.0%	6.6% 11.4% 9.6%	\$5,764 \$6,797 \$6,108	111.3%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2012-2013

Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

						Dir Instruc											
RSC	B L STUDENT FTE		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty # % of			0	ther Regula	ır Faculty	Su	ipplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Faculty udes Teaching A	ssistants	% SCH	Expense p Studen	er Total
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.		Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
52.01 52.01	RSCB Administration Peer Norms	RSCB5	-	13.6	***		24.7	***	0.5	11.6 31.4	36.9%	0.5	11.6 21.0	55.2%	22.4% 19.0%	\$599,711 ** -	** _
52.03 52.03	Accountancy Peer Norms	ACC55	9.0	24.0 18.5	129.7%	2.0	33.4 34.6	96.5%	0.0	33.8	***	11.0	25.7 23.3	110.3%	16.7% 14.2%	\$6,763 \$6,338	106.7%
52.08 52.08	Finance Peer Norms	FIN55	5.0	14.1 15.3	92.2%	2.0	25.8 27.2	94.9%	4.0	32.8 26.2	125.2%	11.0	23.0 20.3	113.3%	14.0% 13.8%	\$6,603 \$6,609	99.9%
45.06 45.06	Economics Center for Economic Educ Peer Norms	ECN55 ECO55	10.0	15.6	94.0%	2.0	34.1	88.3%	4.5	23.9	57.7%	16.5	20.1	93.9%	15.0%	\$8,050 \$5,650	142.5%
52.02 52.02		MGT55	10.0	15.4 15.9	96.9%	2.0	14.4 26.9	53.5%	6.4	27.9 26.2	106.5%	18.4	19.6 19.5	100.5%	23.5%	\$6,736 \$6,772	99.5%
52.12 52.12	Info Sys & Operations Mgt Peer Norms	ISO55	10.0	14.8 17.0	87.1%	2.0	32.9 26.4	124.6%	2.3	17.8 25.8	69.0%	14.3	17.8 20.2	88.1%	20.9%	\$8,589 \$7,254	118.4%
52.14 52.14	Marketing Peer Norms	MKT55	7.0	20.0 16.8	119.0%	-	28.5	***	0.3	42.7 24.4	175.0%	7.3	20.8 19.8	105.1%	18.3% 9.8%	\$9,350 \$7,041	132.8%
	Average / Total rm Average		51.0	17.3 16.7	103.9%	10.0	28.1 31.1	90.4%	17.9	26.5 30.4	87.1%	78.9	20.8	95.2%	18.2% 12.3%	\$7,510 \$6,017	124.8%
	verage / Total rm Average		438.8	13.1 13.2	99.2%	139.1	20.0 22.3	89.7%	182.8	21.7 19.3	112.4%	798.9	15.7 15.7	100.0%	11.4% 9.6%	\$6,797 \$6,108	111.3%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in RSCB Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2012-2013

Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

					irect										
CECS TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Те	Tenured and nure-Track Fa		Other Regular Faculty				pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facu		% SCH	Expense	uctional e per Total ent FTE
CIP <u>Code Department Name Dept.</u>	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
11.01 Computer Science CSC55 Computer Engineering CSE55	20.0	12.3	109.8%	6.0	20.1	74.7%	3.1	28.1	106.8%	30.4	14.9	105.7%	14.1%	\$8,987	106.4%
11.01 Peer Norm		11.2			26.9			26.3			14.1		12.8%	\$8,447	
14.01 CECS Administration CECS5	-	-	-	-		-	2.6	19.0	*** -	2.6	19.0	*** _	0.4%	\$11,642	*** _
14.01 Peer Norm		-			-			-			-			-	
14.05 Biomed & Hum Factors I BME5514.05 Peer Norm	13.3	8.9 8.1	109.9%	2.6	29.9 15.2	196.7%	3.3	14.1 13.4	105.2%	20.9	11.7 7.2	162.5%	31.9% 30.8%	\$10,700 \$14,559	73.5%
14.10 Electrical Engineering EEG5514.10 Peer Norm	13.8	14.2 10.6	134.0%	1.0	3.7	15.7%	1.9	26.7 17.7	150.8%	18.3	13.7 10.5	130.5%	34.1% 20.5%	\$11,473 \$12,318	93.1%
14.19 Mechanical & Materials MEG5514.19 Peer Norm	16.0	10.6 12.8	82.8%	-	20.4	***	5.3	18.2 21.3	85.4%	21.3	12.5 12.5	100.0%	14.0% 11.9%	\$13,302 \$10,596	125.5%
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	63.1	11.6 11.6	99.7%	9.6	21.1 24.9	84.6%	16.2	20.6	102.7%	93.6	13.4 12.7	105.2%	20.4%	\$10,781 \$9,300	115.9%
WSU Average / Total Peer Norm Average	438.8 13.1 99.29			139.1	20.0 22.3	89.7%	182.8	21.7 19.3	112.4%	798.9	15.7 15.7	100.0%	11.4% 9.6%	\$6,797 \$6,108	111.3%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2012-2013

Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

						Fa	ll Term To	tal Studen	t FTE p	er Facult	ty FTE					_	irect
CEI	HS l student fte		Te	Tenured a		0	ther Regular I	Faculty	Su	ipplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Faculiudes Teaching	2	% SCH	Expense	uctional e per Total ent FTE
CIP			,	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	,	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	,	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	# Faculty		% of Peer	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer
Code		ept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
13.01	CEHS Administration CE Div. of Professional Develo DP Academic Support Services ES		-	-	-	1.0	0.0	0.0%	-	-	-	1.0	0.0	* 0.0%	-	\$52,489	* 677.4%
13.01	Peer Norm	1555		10.1	***		13.7			16.4	***		12.2	**	34.9%	\$7,749	**
																. ,	
13.04	Educational Leadership ED	L55	12.0	11.2	92.6%	3.5	14.1	110.2%	4.9	14.8	97.4%	20.4	12.5	100.8%	41.5%	\$8,752	111.7%
13.04	Peer Norm			12.1			12.8			15.2			12.4		60.8%	\$7,835	
13.13	Professional Field Experien PF	D55 E55	19.3	16.6	155.1%	5.0	14.8	113.8%	9.3	15.0	122.0%	33.5	15.9	130.3%	40.4%	\$8,458	109.1%
13.13	Peer Norm			10.7			13.0			12.3			12.2		13.5%	\$7,755	
31.05 31.05	Health, Physical Ed, Recrea HF Peer Norm	R55	5.0	13.8 14.3	96.5%	3.0	13.8 20.4	67.6%	9.9	11.6 17.2	67.4%	17.9	12.6 15.8	79.7%	0.5% 7.7%	\$5,042 \$4,778	105.5%
13.11	Human Services EH	IS55	8.0	14.7	108.9%	2.0	10.6	90.6%	12.3	17.5	102.3%	22.3	15.9	121.4%	34.5%	\$4,633	75.7%
	Peer Norm	1000	0.0	13.5	100.770	2.0	11.7	70.070	12.3	17.1	102.370	22.3	13.1	121.470	60.8%	\$6,117	73.770
																, , ,	
CEHS	Average / Total		44.3	14.5	114.0%	14.5	12.8	83.8%	36.4	14.9	96.1%	95.1	14.5	104.6%	31.2%	\$6,847	123.8%
Peer N	orm Average			12.7	- 110,0		15.3			15.5			13.9	7 11074	27.8%	\$5,532	
	Average / Total		438.8	13.1	99.2%	139.1	20.0	89.7%	182.8	21.7	112.4%	798.9	15.7	100.0%	11.4%	\$6,797	111.3%
Peer N	orm Average			13.2			22.3			19.3			15.7		9.6%	\$6,108	

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2012-2013

Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

					Fal	ll Term To	tal Studer	nt FTE	per Facult	y FTE					Dir	ect
CONH TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Тє	Tenured an enure-Track Fa		Ot	ther Regular F	Faculty	Sı	ipplemental F	aculty	Inc	All Facult	_	% SCH	Instruc Expense p Studen	oer Total
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.					Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	Peer Faculty Stdt FT		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty Stdt FTE/ FTE Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
51.38 Nursing & Health	CONHT	20.0	18.3	166.4%	19.0	6.2	45.9%	6.8	12.1	70.8%	45.8	12.4	99.2%	21.6%	\$9,798	102.1%
51.38 Peer Norm			11.0			13.5			17.1			12.5		22.2%	\$9,592	
CONH Average / Total Peer Norm Average		20.0	18.3 11.1	164.9%	19.0	6.2 12.9	48.1%	6.8	12.1 14.1	85.8%	45.8	12.4 12.6	98.4%	21.6% 22.2%	\$9,798 \$8,991	109.0%
WSU Average / Total Peer Norm Average		438.8	13.1 13.2	99.2%	139.1	20.0 22.3	89.7%	182.8	21.7 19.3	112.4%	798.9	15.7 15.7	100.0%	11.4% 9.6%	\$6,797 \$6,108	111.3%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2012-2013 Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

	Tenured and Other Death For the Control of the Cont															Direct Instructio		ctional
COSM TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Т	Tenured enure-Tracl		О	ther Regula	ar Fac	culty	Su	ipplemental	Faculty	Inc	All Facu udes Teaching	_	ants	% SCH	Expens Stud	_	
CIP Code Department Name Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	′	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense		% of Peer Norm
26.01 Biological Sciences BIO55 26.01 Peer Norm	18.2	12.5 15.1	82.8%	3.5	67.8 36.6	,	185.2%	2.3	38.6 28.7	134.5%	30.9	18.0 17.1		105.3%	7.8% 7.7%	\$6,764 \$6,761		100.0%
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bio BMB526.02 Peer Norm	5 4.3	2.3 14.0	16.4%	-	32.0	***	-	0.8	11.0 36.1	30.5%	5.1	3.7 13.8		26.8%	44.2% 5.4%	\$34,219 \$7,372		
26.09 Biomedical Sciences BCMS 26.09 Peer Norm	1.8	8.2	*** _	-	-		-	2.6	7.7	*** _	4.4	7.9	***		64.3% 10.1%	\$12,898	***	
26.04 NeuroSci, Cell Bio&Phys ANT5: 26.04 Peer Norm	6.0	10.0	*** _	2.0	57.4	***	-	0.0	-	-	8.0	21.8	***		22.9% 22.9%	\$6,661 -	***	
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics MTH527.01 Peer Norm	31.7	12.8 13.5	94.8%	7.0	25.5 34.9		73.1%	9.8	35.0 29.1	120.3%	48.6	19.1 20.0		95.5%	4.7% 3.3%	\$5,427 \$4,518		120.1%
30.01 COSM Administration COSM 30.01 Peer Norm	A 2.0	14.7	***	-	-		-	1.4	18.9	*** _	3.4	16.5	***		6.4%	\$22,902	***	-
40.05 Chemistry CHM5 40.05 Peer Norm	14.0	14.8 17.6	84.1%	1.0	109.2 49.1	*	222.4%	2.5	44.5 39.0	114.1%	21.5	20.0 17.6		113.6%	8.1% 6.2%	\$6,755 \$7,024		96.2%
40.06 Earth & Environmental Sci GLY5: 40.06 Peer Norm	9.8	9.2 12.6	73.0%	1.0	2.4 30.4		7.9%	3.8	50.6 28.9	175.1%	18.2	15.6 13.8		113.0%	11.0% 7.9%	\$7,625 \$8,686		87.8%
40.08 Physics PHY5: 40.08 Peer Norm	11.4	17.5 13.6	128.7%	1.0	17.7 35.9		49.3%	3.8	12.9 19.4	66.5%	17.8	14.9 15.0		99.3%	5.6% 6.5%	\$6,563 \$7,645		85.8%
42.01 Psychology PSY55 42.01 Peer Norm	21.0	11.3 16.8	67.3%	3.0	75.0 44.4		168.9%	9.2	41.0 33.1	123.9%	36.1	23.2 20.1		115.4%	4.1% 6.5%	\$4,910 \$5,134		95.6%
51.10 Clinical Laboratory Sci. CLB5: 51.10 Peer Norm	-	10.3	***	1.5	10.8 11.1		97.3%	0.06	11.7 13.6	86.0%	1.6	10.8 11.3		95.6%	1.2% 6.5%	\$13,572 11073.0		122.6%
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average	120.1	12.5 15.2	82.1%	20.0	39.8 35.1		113.5%	36.2	36.3 26.8	135.6%	195.5	18.6 17.4		106.7%	7.4% 6.0%	\$6,023 \$5,682		106.0%
WSU Average / Total Peer Norm Average	438.8	13.1 13.2	99.2%	139.1	20.0 22.3		89.7%	182.8	21.7 19.3	112.4%	798.9	15.7 15.7		100.0%	11.4% 9.6%	\$6,797 \$6,108		111.3%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.