

1 Section 1.7. Linear Independence

Definition 1 A set of p vectors $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ in R^m is called linearly independent if the vector equation

$$x_1\vec{u}_1 + x_2\vec{u}_2 + \dots + x_p\vec{u}_p = \vec{0}$$

has only the trivial solution $x_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Otherwise, the set is called linearly dependent; the coefficients x_1, \dots, x_n are called a linear relation.

Example 2 Any one single vector \vec{u} is always independent. two vectors \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2 are dependent iff $\vec{u}_1 = \lambda\vec{u}_2$.

The notation of linear dependence (or independence) is closely related to homogeneous systems. In fact, let $A = [\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n]$ be a $m \times n$ matrix with column vectors \vec{a}_i , then we have the following relation:

Claim 3 $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has NO non-trivial solution iff its column vectors $\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n$ are linearly independent.

Example 4 (1) Determine whether the set of the following three vectors is dependent:

$$\vec{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) Find a linear relation.

Solution. (1) We need to determine if $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has a non-trivial solution, where $A = [\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3]$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To this end, we perform row operation on the augmented matrix $[A, \vec{0}]$. For simplicity, we only work on A :

$$A \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ since } [A, \vec{0}] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Apparently, x_3 is a free variable. Thus, $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has non-trivial solution, and the set $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\}$ is linearly dependent.

Solution. (2) Finding a linear relation $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3$, i.e.,

$$x_1\vec{u}_1 + x_2\vec{u}_2 + x_3\vec{u}_3 = \vec{0}$$

means to find a non-trivial solution of

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0}.$$

From (1), we find that $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 &= 0 \\ -3x_2 - x_3 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since we only need one non-zero solution, we take $x_3 = 3$ (we could have choose any number here. The only reason I chose 3 is to avoid fraction.) Then $x_2 = -1$, $x_1 = -(2x_2 + 4x_3) = -10$, and

$$-10\vec{u}_1 - \vec{u}_2 + 3\vec{u}_3 = \vec{0}.$$

From the above equation, we see that, for instance,

$$\vec{u}_2 = -10\vec{u}_1 + 3\vec{u}_3,$$

i.e., when $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3\}$ is linearly dependent, \vec{u}_2 is a linear combination of $\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_3\}$. In general,

Theorem 5 (*Characterization of linear dependence*) *Vectors $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ are linearly dependent iff at least one is a linear combination of the rest.*

Proof. Suppose that one is a linear combination of the rest. Without loss of generality, we say that \vec{u}_1 is a linear combination of $\vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$, i.e., there exist $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ such that

$$\vec{u}_1 = \lambda_2\vec{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_p\vec{u}_p.$$

It follows that

$$-\vec{u}_1 + \lambda_2\vec{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_p\vec{u}_p = 0.$$

This implies that $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ are linearly dependent. On the other hand, suppose that $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ are linearly dependent. Then, we have

$$\lambda_1\vec{u}_1 + \lambda_2\vec{u}_2 + \dots + \lambda_p\vec{u}_p = 0,$$

where at least one of p constants $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p\}$ is not zero. Without loss of generality, we say $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then we can solve for \vec{u}_1 as

$$\vec{u}_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)\vec{u}_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda_p}{\lambda_1}\right)\vec{u}_p.$$

Hence, \vec{u}_1 is a linear combination of $\vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$, the rest. ■

Note that when $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ are linearly dependent, it does NOT mean ANY one member is a linear combination of the rest. For instance,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ are linearly dependent.}$$

But

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is not a linear combination of } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In summary, to determine whether $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ in R^m are linearly independent, we need to see whether the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has only trivial solution, where A is the $m \times p$ column matrix $[\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p]$.

Definition 6 We call the number of pivots of a $m \times p$ matrix A the RANK of A , and denote it by $r(A)$.

Obviously, the rank cannot exceed the number of rows or columns, i.e., $r(A) \leq m$, $r(A) \leq p$.

The following theorem follows directly from the last conclusion in Section 1.5.

Theorem 7 A set of vectors $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p$ in R^m is linearly dependent iff $p > r(A)$, where A is the $m \times p$ column matrix $[\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_p]$. In particular, it is linearly dependent if $p > m$, i.e., the number of vectors is more than the dimension.

Example 8 Determine whether the following set is linearly dependent. If it is linearly dependent find a set of linearly independent vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots$ such that $\text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots\} = \text{Span}\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots\}$.

$$\vec{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution. The answer is yes, it is linearly dependent because the number of vectors is great than the dimension.

We next describe all vectors $\vec{b} \in \text{Span}\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots\}$ using parametric vector representation. As we did in Example ??, we need to describe \vec{b} such that $[\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3, \vec{u}_4] \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution. To this end, we perform row operation on $[\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3, \vec{u}_4, \vec{b}]$ to arrive at a Echelon form:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & b_1 \\ 2 & 5 & 1 & 1 & b_2 \\ 3 & 6 & 0 & 1 & b_3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 - 2R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \\ R_3 - 3R_1 \rightarrow R_3}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & b_1 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 & -1 & b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & -6 & -6 & -2 & b_3 - 3b_1 \end{array} \right] \\ \xrightarrow{R_3 - 2R_2 \rightarrow R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & b_1 \\ 0 & -3 & -3 & -1 & b_2 - 2b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_1 - 2b_2 + b_3 \end{array} \right]. \end{array}$$

The system is consistent iff $b_1 - 2b_2 + b_3 = 0$, or

$$b_1 = 2b_2 - b_3.$$

Therefore,

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2b_2 - b_3 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = b_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the set of

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is linearly independent, and $Span\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2\} = Span\{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3, \vec{u}_4\}$.

Homework 1.7:

#5,11,21,23,27,33,37