2011 - 2012 Delaware Study

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

2011-2012 Delaware Study- National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Wright State University to the Research Institutions - High Research Activity (RH)

The following tables compare Wright State University's main campus data to a peer group of participating RH Institutions according to the Carnegie Classification of Institutions of Higher Education. Thirty-five institutions are included in the peer group.

To request further information regarding the list of peer instituions, please contact

Wright State University's

Office of Budget Planning & Resource Analysis.

http://www.wright.edu/business-and-finance/financial-and-business-operations/budget-planning-resource-analysis/overview

Departmental CIP Codes Listed by WSU College

- The following tables are grouped by WSU's colleges and listed by department with the corresponding peer norm data.
- Each department has been assigned a Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) code that relates to the discipline and subject matter taught within the department.
- A few departments are listed under the same general CIP code.
- The corresponding peer norm data is listed by CIP code.

2011-2012 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Comparison of Student FTE per Faculty FTE

The following tables compare Fall 2011 WSU's **Student FTE** per **Faculty FTE** to the Research Institution Peer Norms.

Student FTE for the fall term is calculated by dividing the number of undergraduate student credit hours by 15 and graduate student credit hours by 9.

Student credit hours are linked to the department according to the funding unit of the faculty of record who taught the course section.

For courses that are co-taught the student credit hours are prorated according to faculty type or department, as needed.

The **Faculty FTE** is presented according to faculty type:

- Tenure and Tenured-Track Faculty:
 - Includes Full Professors, Associate Professors, and Assistant Professors. Department Chairs are included in this section.
 - The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner. Matrix department faculty FTE is prorated between the College of Science & Math and the School of Medicine. The School of Medicine is not included in this study.
- Other Regular Faculty:
 - Includes Non-Tenure Track Instructors and Lectures. The FTE for faculty with split appointments is prorated among departments as listed in Banner.
- Supplemental Faculty:
 - Includes adjunct faculty and any non-faculty who taught Fall term, but whose primary job responsibility is not teaching. Deans are included in this section. Supplemental Faculty FTE is calculated by dividing the number of course credit hours taught by twelve (12 CCH = 1 FTE).
- All Faculty:
 - Includes the FTE from the above categories *plus* the Teaching Assistant FTE. The Teaching Assistant FTE is calculated based on headcount of every Teaching Assistant that taught Fall term (1 headcount = .33 FTE).

2011-2012 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

Direct Instructional Expense per Total Student FTE

The data collected for this portion of the study refers to financial information for the Fiscal Year 2011-12, and student credit hour data for the Academic Year 2011-12 (fall, winter, spring terms).

- Direct Instructional Expense includes:
 - 1) *Salaries:* All wages paid to support the instructional function in a given department or program during the fiscal year. This includes faculty, clerical, professionals (e.g., lab technicians), graduate student stipends (but not tuition waivers), and any other personnel who support the teaching function and whose salaries and wages are paid from the department's instructional budget.
 - 2) Benefits: Expenditures for benefits associated with the personnel for whom salaries and wages were reported on the previous entry.
 - 3) Other Than Personnel Costs: This category includes non-personnel items such as travel, supplies and expense, non-capital equipment purchases, etc., that are typically part of a department or program's cost of doing business. Excluded from this category are items such as central computing costs, centrally allocated computing labs, graduate student tuition remission and fee waivers, etc.
- Student FTE for the academic year is calculated by the following:

For quarter calendar institutions, undergraduate student credit hours are divided by 45 and graduate student credit hours are divided by 27 For semester calendar institutions, undergraduate student credit hours are divided by 30 and graduate student credit hours are divided by 18

Note: The *Academic Data Series* includes expenses such fee waivers and data processing charges, whereas the *Delaware Study* excludes these types of expenses.

2011-2012 Delaware Study - National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

College Averages and Peer Norm Averages

- WSU's departmental CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "% of the Peer Norm."
- College averages of Student FTE per Faculty FTE are indicated for each faculty category.
 Corresponding peer norm averages are also indicated. Both College Averages and Peer Norm Averages are weighted.
- There are several instances in which there is no corresponding peer norm data for a particular WSU department/CIP code. This occurs because the peer analysis included CIP codes when at least five institutions reported data for that particular CIP code. CIP codes that had less than five institutions reporting data were not included in the peer analysis.
- When WSU department/CIP code does not have corresponding peer norm data the WSU department/CIP code data is not included in the College average which is indicated by ***.
- There are a few instances in which there is no WSU department/CIP code data for a particular type of faculty.
 In these cases the corresponding peer norm data is not included in the peer norm average which is also indicated by ***.
- There are some instances when WSU's department/CIP code data was identified as an outlier in the peer analysis. In these cases the data is shown, but it is not included in the College average. This is indicated by a * next to the data point. When this occurs the corresponding peer norm is also removed from the peer norm average calculation and it is indicated by **.

Comparison of Wright State University to Research Institutions Peer Norms

This report compares WSU to the peer norms according to the following method:

- 1) Every WSU department's CIP code data is compared to the corresponding CIP peer norm data. This is shown as a "'% of the Peer Norm."
- 2) For every college the overall average of all the departments within the college is calculated as a weighted average. The weighted average is also calculated for all the corresponding peer norms. The college's overall weighted average is compared to the overall peer norm weighted average and this is shown a percentage.

Student FTE per Faculty FTE Comparison of WSU Departments to Peer Norms

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity

2011-2012 Delaware Study Report

Wright State University Colleges vs. Peer Norm Averages

					Fall Te	rm Total St	udent FT	ΓE per Fac	ulty FTE						
	Те	Tenured and nure-Track Fa		Otl	ner Regular F	aculty	Suj	pplemental F	aculty	Inclu	All Faculty		% SCH GRAD	Expense	structional per Total nt FTE
	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm Avg.		Expense	% of Peer Norm Avg.
COLA Average / Total Peer Norm Average	145.3	12.3 11.3	108.7%	50.0	19.1 19.2	99.9%	80.5	20.3	123.5%	285.0	15.5 13.7	113.5%	2.8% 6.0%	\$4,803 \$5,255	91.4%
DCCD Assess / Total	55.0	18.2	100.4%	10.0	36.7	107.9%	13.4	26.1	91.8%	78.4	21.8	98.9%	22.6%	PC 240	98.1%
RSCB Average / Total Peer Norm Average	55.0	18.2	100.4%	10.0	36.7	107.9%	13.4	28.4	91.8%	78.4	21.8	98.9%	13.0%	\$6,249 \$6,367	98.1%
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	62.2	12.9 12.5	103.1%	7.0	18.3 25.4	71.9%	18.6	19.3 20.1	95.8%	95.4	13.5 11.1	121.9%	20.8% 17.5%	\$10,332 \$9,879	104.6%
CEHS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	41.7	14.8 12.5	119.0%	17.2	14.8 16.0	93.0%	46.8	16.6 15.3	108.4%	105.6	16.4 13.1	125.0%	36.0% 29.9%	\$5,156 \$5,221	98.8%
CONH Average / Total Peer Norm Average	24.0	16.6 12.3	135.3%	20.0	7.8 12.2	64.0%	7.1	26.9 13.3	202.2%	51.1	14.6 11.8	123.3%	19.1% 22.2%	\$8,618 \$8,710	98.9%
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average	119.7	15.0 15.6	96.2%	16.3	29.7 35.6	83.4%	46.0	33.8 26.5	127.4%	207.5	19.7 16.7	118.6%	6.9% 5.7%	\$5,739 \$5,485	104.6%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	447.9	14.3 13.7	104.5%	120.5	19.2 22.5	85.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9	119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9	113.5%	11.9% 9.5%	\$6,008 \$5,888	102.0%

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2011-2012

Comparison of College of Liberal Arts vs. Peer Norms

						Fall Tern	n Total Stude	ent FTE	per Facul	ty FTE					Direct Ir	structional
COLA TOTAL STUDENT FTE		7	Tenured Fenure-Track		(Other Regular	Faculty	Su	pplemental Fa	eulty	Incl	All Faculudes Teaching	,	% SCH		e per Total ent FTE
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
5.02 Women's Studies 5.02 Peer Norm	WMS55	-	9.1	***	-	20.2	***	0.8	30.1 23.1	130.3%	0.8	30.1 14.9	* 202.1% **	2.2% 2.4%	\$1,286 \$5,273	24.4%
9.01 Communications 9.01 Peer Norm	COM55	8.0	14.5 14.7	98.6%	3.0	11.6 22.2	52.3%	7.0	16.3 17.0	95.9%	18.0	14.7 16.5	89.1%	0.0% 3.4%	\$4,547 \$4,258	106.8%
16.01 Modern Languages16.01 Peer Norm	MOL55	8.0	11.2 10.7	104.67%	9.0	12.9 17.5	73.7%	13.7	15.7 14.6	107.5%	30.7	13.7 14.4	95.1%	0.0% 2.6%	\$4,172 \$4,644	89.8%
24.01 COLA Administration 24.01 Peer Norm	COLA5	-	9.1	***	1.0	2.7 13.9	19.2%	1.3	5.0 14.1	35.2%	2.3	4.0 10.3	38.6%	0.0% 5.6%	\$26,559 \$6,999	379.5%
23.01 English 23.01 Peer Norm	ENG55	19.7	12.6 10.2	123.5%	22.5	14.1 16.8	83.9%	8.2	18.8 18.7	100.5%	57.9	15.8 13.6	116.2%	3.9% 5.0%	\$4,344 \$4,900	88.7%
30.06 Applied Behavioral Science 30.06 Peer Norm	ABS55	0.4	23.8	***	0.0	-	-	0.2	24.8	-	0.6	24.1	*** _	100.0%	\$12,529	*** _
38.01 Classics, Religion & Philosophy 38.01 Peer Norm	CLS55	13.4	18.3 14.7	124.5%	1.0	40.5 29.4	137.8%	3.3	37.2 27.3	136.3%	17.7	23.1 18.4	125.5%	0.0% 2.2%	\$3,829 \$4,936	77.6%
44.07 Social Work 44.07 Peer Norm	SOW55	5.0	10.3 12.8	80.5%	1.0	21.6 18.7	-	1.7	18.0 21.1	85.3%	7.7	13.5 14.9	90.6%	0.0% 43.2%	\$10,564 \$6,249	169.1%

							Fall Ter	m To	otal Stude	ent FTE	per Facul	ty FTE					Direct Ins	structional
COLA TOTAL STUDENT FTE		Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty				C	Other Regula	ır Fac	ulty	Su	pplemental Fa	culty	Incl	All Facul udes Teaching		%	_	per Total nt FTE
CIP Code Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% o Pee Norr	GKAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
54.01 History 54.01 Peer Norm	HST55	16.9	27.9 15.4	*	181.2%	1.0	110.4 29.6	*	373.0%	4.5	41.6 21.3	195.3%	22.4	34.3 17.3	* 198.3 **	% 3.6% 5.2%	\$2,845 \$4,812	59.1%
45.10 Political Science 45.10 Peer Norm	PLS55	11.0	29.1 16.1	*	180.7%	2.0	56.7 32.3		175.5%	5.7	34.3 20.6	166.5%	18.7	33.7 17.1	* 197.1 **	% 3.0% 8.2%	\$3,348 \$5,417	61.8%
45.11 Sociology & Anthropology 45.11 Peer Norm	SOC55	12.6	14.3 16.0		89.4%	3.6	56.0 38.6		145.1%	5.8	26.0 28.3	91.9%	22.0	24.2 20.9	115.8	2.5% 3.6%	\$3,145 \$4,283	73.4%
45.07 Urban Affairs & Geography 45.07 Peer Norm	URS55	6.0	18.0 18.7		96.3%	2.0	33.9 33.8		100.3%	2.8	29.7 27.1	109.6%	10.8	23.9 19.0	125.8	% 15.3% 7.7%	\$3,847 \$5,229	73.6%
50.05 Theatre Arts 50.05 Peer Norm	THA55	18.3	10.2 9.9		103.0%	1.0	4.2 12.7		33.1%	8.9	6.9 13.0	53.1%	28.3	9.0 12.0	75.0	6 0.0% 4.3%	\$16,355 \$8,646	189.2%
50.07 Art & Art History 50.07 Peer Norm	ART55	11.0	9.3 10.4		89.4%	0.4	67.0 18.1	*	370.2%	3.3	21.7 14.1	153.9%	14.7	13.7 12.3	111.4	% 0.0% 4.3%	\$7,018 \$7,356	95.4%
50.09 Music 50.09 Peer Norm	MUS55	15.0	7.4 8.3		89.2%	2.5	4.2 9.3		45.2%	13.3	16.1 10.2	157.8%	32.4	12.0 9.1	131.9	2.8% 9.4%	\$6,416 \$9,384	68.4%
COLA Average / Total		145.3	12.3		108.7%	50.0	19.1 19.2		99.9%	80.5	20.3	123.5%	285.0	15.5 13.7	113.5	2.8% 6.0%	\$4,803 \$5,255	91.4%
Peer Norm Average Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average		447.9	14.3 13.7		104.5%	120.5	19.2 19.2 22.5		85.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9	119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9	113.5		\$5,233 \$6,008 \$5,888	102.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COLA Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COLA Total.

** Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COLA Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2011-2012

Comparison of Raj Soin College of Business vs. Peer Norms

								Fall Ter	m Total	Stu	dent F	ΓE per Fa	culty FTE	C				Dire Instruc	
RSC	B L STUDENT FTE		Тє	Tenured enure-Track		ty	Otl	ner Regular	r Faculty		Su	pplemental F	aculty	Incl	All Facul udes Teaching	,	% SCH	Expense p	er Total
CIP Code	Department Name	Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	/ Pe		# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense	% of Peer Norm
52.01 52.01	RSCB Administration Peer Norms	RSCB5	2.0	0.0 15.5		0.0%	-	33.7	***	-	1.3	25.5 29.7	85.7%	3.3	10.2 21.3		0.0% 20.1%	\$116,891 **	** _
52.03 52.03	Accountancy Peer Norms	ACC55	8.0	23.1 19.8		116.7%	2.0	52.7 36.3	145	5.2%	0.2	9.2 26.9	34.2%	10.2	28.7 22.5	127.6%	18.0% 15.3%	\$7,346 \$6,462	113.7%
52.08 52.08	Finance Peer Norms	FIN55	5.0	18.2 16.2		112.3%	2.0	27.5 30.9	89.	.0%	2.0	32.1 27.3	117.6%	9.0	23.4 19.6	119.4%	13.7% 14.5%	\$6,051 \$6,880	87.9%
45.06 45.06	Economics Center for Economic Educ. Peer Norms	ECN55 ECO55	11.0	15.6 17.7		88.1%	2.0	28.7 41.2	69.	.7%	5.1	22.5 39.4	57.1%	18.1	19.0 21.8	87.2%	13.4%	\$6,809 \$5,487	124.1%
52.02 52.02	Management Peer Norms	MGT55	11.0	28.0 16.8	*	166.7%	2.0	36.0 28.0	128	3.6%	2.0	32.4 24.0	135.0%	15.0	29.6 19.6	* 151.0% **	32.6% 12.5%	\$4,804 \$6,796	70.7%
52.12 52.12	Info Sys & Operations Mgt Peer Norms	ISO55	11.0	19.3 17.4		110.9%	2.0	38.6 29.3	131	.7%	1.8	28.0 32.1	87.2%	14.8	23.0 21.0	109.5%	30.9% 10.8%	\$6,345 \$7,043	90.1%
52.14 52.14	Marketing Peer Norms	MKT55	7.0	19.9 16.4		121.3%	0.0	33.4	***	-	1.0	20.3 24.2	83.9%	8.0	19.9 20.4	97.5%	26.8% 10.2%	\$7,629 \$6,943	109.9%
	average / Total rm Average		55.0	18.2 18.1		100.4%	10.0	36.7 34.0	107	7.9%	13.4	26.1 28.4	91.8%	78.4	21.8 22.0	98.9%	22.6% 13.0%	\$6,249 \$6,367	98.1%
	Average / Total rm Average		447.9	14.3 13.7		104.5%	120.5	19.2 22.5	85.	.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9	119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9	113.5%	11.9% 9.5%	\$6,008 \$5,888	102.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in RSCB Average, but Faculty FTE is included in RSCB Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in RSCB Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2011-2012

Comparison of College of Engineering and Computer Science vs. Peer Norms

					I	Fall Ter	m T	otal St	udent I	TE per l	Facu	lty FTI	Ξ					D		
CECS TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty # % of				Othe	er Regular	r Facı	ulty	Su	pplemental	l Facul	lty	Incl	All Facu	_	ants	% SCH	Instr Expense Stud	e per	Total
CIP Code Department Name Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% Pe No	er Fa		Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE		% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense		% of Peer Norm
11.01 Computer Science CSC55 Computer Engineering CSE55	18.7	11.9	108	2%	5.0	15.0		58.6%	8.1	26.1		108.3%	34.7	14.6		113.2%	12.8%	\$8,155		84.2%
11.01 Peer Norm		11.0				25.6				24.1				12.9			14.8%	\$9,684		
14.01 CECS Administration CECS5 14.01 Peer Norm	1.0	0.1	***		1.0	35.0	***	-	2.0	30.2	***	-	4.3	22.2	***	-	1.1% 5.4%	\$1,751 -	**	-
14.05 Biomed & Hum Factors I BME55 14.05 Peer Norm	11.0	10.9 9.0	121	1%	1.0	34.5 13.1		263.4%	3.9	12.2 15.1		80.8%	17.2	12.5 8.5		147.1%	34.0% 34.1%	\$12,427 \$14,615		85.0%
14.10 Electrical Engineering EEG55 14.10 Peer Norm	14.6	14.0 11.6	120	7%	-	- 19.4	***	-	1.6	15.4 15.9		96.9%	18.8	12.2 10.3		118.4%	41.3% 23.4%	\$11,983 \$12,094		99.1%
14.19 Mechanical & Materials MEG55 14.19 Peer Norm	17.0	14.2 14.3	99.	3%	-	14.8	***	-	3.1	12.1 19.2		63.0%	20.4	13.7 11.6		118.1%	19.1% 14.7%	\$11,248 \$11,039		101.9%
CECS Average / Total Peer Norm Average	62.2	12.9 12.5	103	1%	7.0	18.3 25.4		71.9%	18.6	19.3 20.1		95.8%	95.4	13.5 11.1		121.9%	20.8% 17.5%	\$10,332 \$9,879		104.6%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	447.9	14.3 13.7	104	5% 1	20.5	19.2 22.5		85.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9		119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9		113.5%	11.9% 9.5%	\$6,008 \$5,888		102.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CECS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CECS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CECS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2011-2012

Comparison of College of Education and Human Services vs. Peer Norms

				Fa	ll Term T	otal Stude	nt FTE	per Faculty	y FTE					Direct Instruction	
CEHS TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Tenured and Tenure-Track Faculty			Oth	er Regular Fa	nculty	93	Supplemental I	Faculty	Incl	All Faculty udes Teaching As		% SCH	_	per Total nt FTE
	#		% of			% of	#			#		% of	GRAD	_	% of
CIP	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer	# Faculty		Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	% of Peer	Faculty	Stdt FTE/	Peer		Expense	Peer
Code Department Name Dept. CEHS Administration CEHSA	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
13.01 Div. of Professional Develo DPD55 Academic Support Services ESS55	-	-	-	1.5	0.0	0.0%	1.3	7.5	101.4%	2.8	3.4	31.4%	96.5%	\$37,700	* 371.4%
13.01 Peer Norm		9.2	***		13.8			7.4			10.8		40.9%	\$10,151	**
13.04 Educational Leadership EDL55	11.0	11.7	104.5%	6.5	20.2	136.5%	7.8	17.8	121.9%	25.3	15.8	129.5%	50.0%	\$5,646	73.3%
13.04 Peer Norm		11.2			14.8			14.6			12.2		58.3%	\$7,703	
Teacher Education TED55 Professional Field Experien PFE55	18.7	19.5	* 175.7%	3.2	15.8	113.7%	14.8	15.6	103.3%	36.7	17.6	137.5%	41.7%	\$5,747	102.5%
13.13 Peer Norm		11.1	**		13.9			15.1			12.8		15.4%	\$5,609	
31.05 Health, Physical Ed, Recrea HPR5531.05 Peer Norm	4.0	8.9 13.2	67.4%	3.0	12.1 19.3	62.7%	13.1	16.5 17.2	95.9%	20.1	14.3 15.5	92.3%	0.9% 7.3%	\$4,374 \$4,533	96.5%
13.11 Human Services EHS55 13.11 Peer Norm	8.0	22.1 13.6	162.5%	3.0	12.4 15.4	80.5%	9.8	18.3 18.4	99.5%	20.8	18.9 13.2	143.2%	44.3% 59.3%	\$4,308 \$6,301	68.4%
CEHS Average / Total	41.7	14.8	119.0%	17.2	14.8	93.0%	46.8	16.6	108.4%	105.6	16.4	125.0%	36.0%	\$5,156 \$5,221	98.8%
Peer Norm Average		12.5			16.0			15.3			13.1		29.9%	\$5,221	
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	447.9	14.3 13.7	104.5%	120.5	19.2 22.5	85.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9	119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9	113.5%	11.9% 9.5%	\$6,008 \$5,888	102.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CEHS Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CEHS Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CEHS Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2011-2012

Comparison of College of Nursing and Health vs. Peer Norms

				Fall	Term Tot	tal Studer	nt FTE	per Facul	ty FTE					Dire	ect
CONH TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Т	Tenured an enure-Track F		Other Regular Faculty				ipplemental I	Faculty	Incl	All Facult udes Teaching A	_	% SCH	Instruc Expense p Student	er Total
OTT.	#		% of	# .	~	% of	#		% of	# .		% of	GRAD	Г	% of
CIP	-	Stdt FTE/	Peer	-	Stdt FTE/	Peer	,		Peer	Faculty		Peer		Expense	Peer
Code Department Name Dept.	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	fTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm	FTE	Fac FTE	Norm			Norm
51.38 CONH Administration CONHA Nursing & Health CONHT	24.0	16.6	138.3%	20.0	7.8	59.1%	7.1	26.9	184.2%	51.1	14.6	118.7%	19.1%	\$8,618	94.6%
51.38 Peer Norm		12.0			13.2			14.6			12.3		22.2%	\$9,106	
CONH Average / Total	24.0	16.6	135.3%	20.0	7.8	64.0%	7.1	26.9	202.2%	51.1	14.6	123.3%	19.1%	\$8,618	98.9%
Peer Norm Average		12.3			12.2			13.3			11.8		22.2%	\$8,710	
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	447.9	14.3 13.7	104.5%	120.5	19.2 22.5	85.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9	119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9	113.5%	11.9% 9.5%	\$6,008 \$5,888	102.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in CONH Average, but Faculty FTE is included in CONH Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in CONH Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.

National Study of Instructional Costs and Productivity 2011-2012 Comparison of College of Science and Math vs. Peer Norms

					Fal	l Term T	Total S	Studer	nt FTE	per Facu	ılty FTE						Direct I	t Instructional	
COSM TOTAL STUDENT FTE	Те	Tenured nure-Track			Ot	her Regulai	r Facult	ty	Su	pplemental	Faculty	Incl	All Facu udes Teaching		ants	% SCH	Expense Stude	-	
CIP Code Department Name Dept.	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	F	% of Peer form	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	,	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	% of Peer Norm	# Faculty FTE	Stdt FTE/ Fac FTE	,	% of Peer Norm	GRAD	Expense		% of Peer Norm
26.01 Biological Sciences BIO55 26.01 Peer Norm	19.4	18.1 15.1	11	9.9%	2.5	45.2 42.6	10	06.1%	1.8	12.5 33.0	37.9%	32.3	15.1 16.8		89.9%	11.4% 5.9%	\$6,263 \$6,286		99.6%
26.02 Biochem & Molecular Bio BMB55 26.02 Peer Norm	4.3	5.5 15.5		-	0.0	-		-	2.6	22.6 22.4	-	6.8	12.0 13.6		88.2%	20.3%	\$13,607 8316.0		
26.09 Biomedical Sciences BCM5 26.09 Peer Norm	1.8	8.3	***	-	0.0	-		-	4.2	5.6	*** _	6.0	6.4	***	-	67.2% 10.0%	\$39,188	***	-
26.04 NeuroSci, Cell Bio&Phys ANT55 26.04 Peer Norm	6.1	14.1 -	***	-	2.0	26.6	***	-	0.9	57.4	*** _	10.3	18.6	***	-	24.6% 24.6%	\$5,260 -	***	
27.01 Mathematics & Statistics MTH55 27.01 Peer Norm	32.2	13.1 14.4	91	1.0%	7.3	28.1 34.4	8	31.7%	9.9	28.8 27.3	105.5%	52.0	19.4 19.6		99.0%	3.1% 3.5%	\$5,280 \$4,562		115.7%
30.01 COSM Administration COSMA 30.01 Peer Norm	1.0	0.0	***	-	0.0	-		-	1.5	19.7	*** _	2.8	13.1	***	-	8.0%	-		
40.05 Chemistry CHM55 40.05 Peer Norm	14.0	23.8 18.5	12	8.6%	0.0	51.2	***	-	2.4	58.5 36.3	161.2%	23.7	20.0 17.7		113.0%	3.8% 5.9%	\$6,598 \$6,618		99.7%
40.06 Earth & Environmental Sci GLY55 40.06 Peer Norm	9.8	6.8 13.3	51	1.1%	1.0	11.5 43.5	2	26.4%	6.0	37.0 22.3	165.9%	16.8	17.8 14.2		125.4%	12.1% 8.6%	\$8,161 \$7,738		105.5%
40.08 Physics PHY55 40.08 Peer Norm	11.3	13.6 12.7	10	7.1%	1.0	23.1 49.5	4	16.7%	3.6	25.0 24.8	100.8%	17.2	15.5 14.8		104.7%	5.9% 5.9%	\$7,730 \$7,263		106.4%
42.01 Psychology PSY55 42.01 Peer Norm	20.0	15.6 17.9	87	7.2%	1.0	236.9 39.8	* 59	95.2%	12.8	39.8 27.2	146.3%	37.7	28.0 20.7		135.3%	3.9% 6.8%	\$4,069 \$5,023		81.0%
51.10 Clinical Laboratory Sci. CLB5551.10 Peer Norm	0.0	10.6	***	-	1.5	27.9 13.4		-	0.31	11.0 13.0	84.6%	1.8	25.0 13.2		189.4%	0.0% 4.3%	\$3,561 7696.0		46.3%
COSM Average / Total Peer Norm Average	119.7	15.0 15.6	96	5.2%	16.3	29.7 35.6	8	33.4%	46.0	33.8 26.5	127.4%	207.5	19.7 16.7		118.6%	6.9% 5.6%	\$5,739 \$5,485		104.6%
Campus Average / Total Peer Norm Average	447.9	14.3 13.7	10	4.5%	120.5	19.2 22.5	8	35.4%	212.3	22.6 18.9	119.8%	823.0	16.9 14.9		113.5%	11.9% 9.5%	\$6,008 \$5,888		102.0%

^{*} Outlier - Not included in COSM Average, but Faculty FTE is included in COSM Total.

^{**} Peer Norm not included in Peer Norm Average due to corresponding WSU data being an outlier.

^{***} Data point not included in COSM Average or Peer Norm Average due to lack of corresponding data point.